



## Difference in Academic Performance of Medical Students in Biochemistry: An Analysis Based on Gender and Place of Residence

Jap Mai Cing<sup>a</sup>, Trini Suryowati<sup>a</sup>, Nur Nunu Prihantini<sup>a</sup>, Muhammad Alfarabi<sup>a</sup>,  
Fri Rahmawati<sup>a</sup>, Lusia Sri Sunarti<sup>b</sup>, Forman Erwin Siagian<sup>c\*</sup>  
and Zyachra Maulidya Sunandar<sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Biochemistry, Universitas Kristen Indonesia, Jakarta, Indonesia.

<sup>b</sup> Department of Microbiology, Universitas Kristen Indonesia, Jakarta, Indonesia.

<sup>c</sup> Department of Parasitology and the Centre of Biomedic Research, Universitas Kristen Indonesia, Jakarta, Indonesia.

<sup>d</sup> Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Kristen Indonesia, Jakarta, Indonesia.

### Authors' contributions

*This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

### Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJRB/2022/v10i230218

### Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/85296>

Original Research Article

Received 11 January 2022

Accepted 21 March 2022

Published 22 March 2022

### ABSTRACT

**Aims:** Due to the complexity of teaching new subjects to medical freshmen, especially in basic medical science, and the variability of the academic performance, we want to explore the difference of our student's Biochemistry examination score based on their gender and place of residence.

**Methods:** This is a simple retrospective cross sectional study by analyzing the examination result and compared it based on our student's gender (male or female) and place of residence (living in their own house or in boarding house).

**Result and Discussion:** During data collection, there are 913 active students from all class of 2016-2021 but only 569 freshmen from the class of 2018-2021 which gender and place of residence data are available, there are 155 male (27.24%) and 414 female (72.75%). Female students achieved better mean score compared to their male counterparts. Students which live in their own house also achieved better mean score compared to those who lived in boarding house.

**Conclusion:** there are differences in the achievement of examination scores based on student's gender and place of residence.

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: [forman.siagian@uki.ac.id](mailto:forman.siagian@uki.ac.id);

**Keywords:** Cognitive; psychomotor; examination; male; female; boarding house.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Medical Biochemistry is the branch of Biochemistry that studies the chemical composition and process in the human body [1]. This area of studies is also extended to understand the biochemical exchanges that occur within the human body in relation to their application in the field of medicine [1-3]. Biochemistry is rapidly expanding, becoming one of the most influential areas of science. Combining the core tenets of biology and chemistry, the field plays a huge role in the development of many novel new scientific approaches that contributes to create the vital drugs, therapies and diagnostic tools that are used in daily medical practice [4]. Much like medical science itself, biochemistry is a vast area of research that yields profound discoveries each year. Biochemical techniques advance our understanding of the chemical structures and processes that underpin human health and disease, revealing the underlying transformations between these two physiological states [2-4].

In our curriculum, Biochemistry consists of following topics: Introduction to carbohydrates and lipids, Bioenergetics and oxidative phosphorylation, Bio signal, Amino acids and Peptide, Immunochemistry (Immunoglobulin), Metabolism of carbohydrate, Enzyme-Coenzyme and Vitamins, Body fluid and minerals, Metabolism of Lipids, Metabolism of Purine and Pyrimidine (nucleic acid), Metabolism of proteins, Porphyrins and bile pigment, intracellular transports, digestive Biochemistry, Hormones and Blood. In the theory section, the emphasis is solely on cognitive but in the practicum/lab (praktikum), the focus is in combination of cognitive and psychomotor activity.

Teaching Medical biochemistry to medical freshmen is challenging [5]. Partly because they have never received this lesson before in lower level/secondary education, and a variety of new courses that must be studied simultaneously at the most basic level of medical education, ranging from basic medical sciences such as Biology, Biochemistry, Anatomy and Histology. Beside those subjects, basic humanity studies also given to our medical freshmen and these are not directly related to medical education.

Previous study showed us that female students have a higher mean tutorial score compared to

male students, and those who live at home with their parents also have a higher average score than those who live in a boarding house, by themselves [6]. It will be very interesting to explore whether there are differences in gender and place of residence regarding the academic score of medical biochemistry (theory and practicum/praktikum) among freshmen of medical students and this become the aim of this simple study. The exam is in the form of multiple choice questions (MCQ).

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

This simple cross sectional study conducted from November 15th to December 15th, 2021 at the Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Kristen Indonesia, Jakarta- Indonesia. It was a mixture between data of Biochemistry score that consist of theoretical examination scores and practicum/lab (praktikum) examination scores with data of place of residence obtained from Google-form™ questionnaire. Data of tutorial score from the class of 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020 and 2021 collected through the manager/head of study programme of the Undergraduate Medical Education (Program Pendidikan Sarjana Kedokteran/ P2SK). The inclusion criteria are active students that had complete score for each session. All data initially made available in Microsoft Excel™ and then further classified and processed using SPSS. Simple descriptive statistic operation conducted whenever necessary.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

During data collection, there are 913 active students from all class of 2016 with 172 students (18.8%), class of 2017 with 171 students (18.7%), class of 2018 with 158 students (17.3%), class of 2019 with 140 students (15.3%), class of 2020 with 137 students (15.0%) and class of 2021 with 135 students (14.8%), but only 569 freshmen from the class of 2018, 2019, 2020 and 2021 eligible for further analysis on the differences based on gender and place of residence. In total number, 4,000+ data were collected for further processing and analysis. Data is presented descriptively, and also in the form of tables and graph. But unfortunately, some data regarding place of residence is incomplete so the analysis is only carried out on available data.

In overall, based on gender, out of 569 freshmen which gender and place of residence data are available, there are 155 male (27.24%) and 414 female (72.75%). Regarding our respondent's place of residence, there are 247 (43.41%) people living in boarding houses and 322 (56.59%) living in their own homes. Out of 913 freshmen from 7 batch (1996-2021), the lowest score of the theoretical examination results is 14 and the highest is 94 with mean score 55.49 (SD 14.80) while the lowest practicum score is 15 and the highest is 100 with mean score 62.48 (SD 15.15).

Further analysis of descriptive statistics to explore examination score (theory and practice/laboratory) based on available data regarding gender and place of residence (n=569) showed us as follow:

In both Biochemistry examinations, female students achieved better mean score compared to their male compatriot. And regarding their place of residence, freshmen which lives in their own house achieve better score in both examinations compared to those whose live in boarding houses. It is interesting to conduct further study related with the result, especially in other basic medical courses. Unlike our findings, a study on Biochemistry performance of students at Michigan State University showed opposite result where female students got lower score [7].

Based on gender differences, similar research in other fields of medical science also found mixed results, e.g., in Surgical, Physiology and pharmacology. Alsheikh in Saudi Arabia found

out that female students achieved significantly better scores in surgical disciplines than in medical disciplines and they outperformed male students in overall scores in surgical disciplines [8]. in Physiology, Sinha et al. [9] from India revealed that when they compared students of high achiever group revealed no significant difference in performance of both genders in all modalities of assessments (multiple choice questions (MCQ) and structured long & short answer questions (LSQ)). Whereas, female students of both medium and low achiever groups had significantly high scores in LSQ as compared to male, but no such difference was observed for MCQ. Meanwhile, Faisal et al. [10] in Pakistan divulged in Pharmacology, that out of the 200 medical students enrolled with 102 (51%) were male and 98(41%) were female, there was no significant difference in the academic performance in terms of gender in multiple choice questions and short essay questions. It will be very interesting to know whether the difference in achievement of gender-related test scores is also influenced by other factors such as place of residence, the area of origin of students (urban or rural) and so on.


Further statistical tests were conducted to determine whether there was a significant difference in the achievement of scores on the Biochemistry examination. The objective is to identify differences between two or more groups using non parametric tests (do not assume that available data follow the normal distribution. The test use automatically compares distribution across groups using independent samples Mann Whitney U test.

Hypothesis Test Summary (a)					Hypothesis Test Summary (b)				
	Null Hypothesis	Test	Sig.	Decision		Null Hypothesis	Test	Sig.	Decision
1	The distribution of T_Biokimia is the same across categories of Gender.	Independent-Samples Mann-Whitney U Test	.015	Reject the null hypothesis.	1	The distribution of T_Biokimia is the same across categories of R_K.	Independent-Samples Mann-Whitney U Test	.246	Retain the null hypothesis.
2	The distribution of P_Biokimia is the same across categories of Gender.	Independent-Samples Mann-Whitney U Test	.020	Reject the null hypothesis.	2	The distribution of P_Biokimia is the same across categories of R_K.	Independent-Samples Mann-Whitney U Test	.880	Retain the null hypothesis.
Asymptotic significances are displayed. The significance level is .05.					Asymptotic significances are displayed. The significance level is .05.				

**Fig. 1. The result of statistical analysis: (a) there is a significant difference in the achievement of Biochemistry scores between genders (male and female); (b) There is no statistically significant difference in the achievement of Biochemical scores based on place of residence (house or boarding house)**

**Table 1. Descriptive statistic regarding Biochemistry score from the class of 2018, 2019, 2020 and 2021**

		Mean score	SD	SE	Range score
Theory	Male	56.34	16.17	1.29	20-88
	Female	60.44	14.29	0.7	18-94
	Boarding house	58.49	14.88	1.24	18-89
	Own house	59.96	14.94	0.73	20-94
Practice	Male	63.0	15.8	1.24	24-100
	Female	66.29	14.89	0.73	28-100
	Boarding house	65.36	15.31	0.97	32-100
	Own house	65.42	15.00	0.83	24-100

**Fig. 2. Error bar showed comparison between male vs. female and further divided into those who live in boarding house vs. own house**

Furthermore, we want to show the difference between male and female student and between those who live in their own house vs. boarding house, using standard error bar. Standard error of Mean (SEM) is an estimate of variability of possible values of means of samples. As mean values are considered for calculation of SEM, it is expected that there will be less variability in the values of sample mean than in the original population. This shows that SEM is a measure of the precision with which sample mean  $\bar{X}$  estimate the population mean  $\mu$ . The precision increases as the sample size increases. Thus, SEM quantifies uncertainty in the estimate of the mean [11].

Error bars can actually reveal the following information about our data: How spread the data are around the mean value (small SD bar = low spread, data are clumped around the mean; larger SD bar = larger spread, data are more variable from the mean). Fig. 2 showed us that when compared between female vs. male students, the error bar line is generally said to be longer in the male group, and this indicates high data variability in that group. The variability of the data also appears to be more significant in the group who live in a boarding house compared to those who live at home; and become more apparent when further comparison conducted between male vs. female regarding their place of

residence. Male students which live in boarding houses appear to have greater value variability than the other groups.

Our findings are different from the findings of Rauschenberger & Sweeder [7] which conducted a similar study on Biochemistry performance of students at Michigan State University in a two-part biochemistry series Biochem I (n = 5,900) and Biochem II (n = 5,214) for students enrolled from 1997 to 2009. They found female students earned statistically lower grades compared to male/ females perform worse than males. This lower grade predicted for females is in addition to the negative impact that female students may have experienced in previous classes. Gender remains an important variable even when we consider only students of a specific major (such as human biology or physiology, two of their largest cohorts). These students would be expected to have a similar set of college courses and experiences, so would minimize variance arising from having discussed certain concepts in other courses. Haist [12] found out that in general, Women performed better than men on the clinically based performance examinations. While for the result of the study conducted by Dixon, [13] no significant gender differences were seen in these two clinical performance measures. Women outperformed men in evaluations of clinical clerkship performance.

Further study need to be conducted in order to study habits differ by gender and also have significant impact on performance outcomes of learners. Given that students' academic success is an important outcome for medical schools, these findings call for implementing these results to enhance curricular changes or at least modification and promote better learning outcomes [14]. Women graduating from this medical school in recent years are the academic equals of their male classmates [13-15]. It is hoped that in the future, some of these women will choose careers in academic medicine and help to redress the gender inequalities [13].

#### 4. CONCLUSION

This is one of only a few studies that have reported gender and place of residence comparisons regarding Biochemistry examination score among medical students at a private medical faculty. The results show that female students achieve better score compared to their male counterparts, and the variability of the score

is higher among male students. And regarding their place of residence, students which live in their own house achieve higher mean score compared to those who lived in the boarding house.

#### COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

1. Afshar M, Han Z. Teaching and Learning Medical Biochemistry: Perspectives from a Student and an Educator. *Med Sci Educ.* 2014;24(3):339-41. DOI: 10.1007/s40670-014-0004-7.
2. Vadakedath S, Kandi V. Modified Conventional Teaching: An Assessment of Clinical Biochemistry Learning Process Among Medical Undergraduate Students Using the Traditional Teaching in Combination with Group Discussion. *Cureus.* 2019;11(8):e5396. DOI:10.7759/cureus.5396
3. Schepartz A. Introducing "Future of Biochemistry: The International Issue". *Biochemistry* 2019; 58(1): 1–6. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.biochem.8b01293>
4. Black PN. A revolution in biochemistry and molecular biology education informed by basic research to meet the demands of 21st century career paths. *J Biol Chem.* 2020; 295(31): 10653 – 61 DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.AW120.011104>
5. Treweek TM, Bommer UA, Larkin T, Lethbridge A. Teaching Medical Biochemistry: Challenges, both old and new. *ANZAHPE 2012 - Professionalism Under Pressure NZ: ANZAHPE; 2012.*
6. Siagian FE, Sunarti LS, Tuamelly GJR. Do Gender and Place of Residence Affect the Tutorial Scores of Medical Students? A Preliminary Study Conducted in a Private Medical School, Jakarta-Indonesia. *Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences.* 2021;23(4):49-55.
7. Rauschenberger MM, Sweeder RD. Gender performance differences in biochemistry. *Biochem. Mol. Biol. Educ.* 2010;38:380-4. Available:<https://doi.org/10.1002/bmb.20448>

8. Deepak KK, Al-Umran KU, Al-Sheikh MH, Al-Rubaish AM, Arabia S. The Influence of Gender on Undergraduate Performance in Multiple Choice Testing in Clinical Disciplines at University of Dammam, Saudi Arabia. *Al Ameen J Med Sci*. 2011;4(2):123 – 30
9. Sinha M, Ghate J, Chatur, DK, Sinha R. Gender difference in performance of undergraduate medical students for subjective and objective evaluation in physiology. *International Journal of Scientific Reports*; 2017;3:22-27.
10. Faisal R, Shinwari L, Hussain S. Academic performance of male in comparison with female undergraduate medical students in Pharmacology examinations. *JPM. The Journal of the Pakistan Medical Association*. 2017;67(2):204-8.
11. Barde MP, Barde PJ. What to use to express the variability of data: Standard deviation or standard error of mean? *Perspect Clin Res*. 2012;3(3):113-6. DOI: 10.4103/2229-3485.100662. PMID: 23125963; PMCID: PMC3487226.
12. Haist SA, Wilson JF, Elam CL, Blue AV, Fosson SE. The Effect of Gender and Age on Medical School Performance: An Important Interaction. *Adv Health Sci Educ Theory Pract*. 2000;5(3): 197-205. DOI: 10.1023/A:1009829611335. PMID: 12386462. Available: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12386462/>
13. Dixon D. Gender Differences in Academic Qualifications and Medical School Performance of Osteopathic Medical Students. *Medical Science Educator*. 17(1):33-7
14. Alzahrani SS, Soo Park Y, Tekian A. Study habits and academic achievement among medical students: A comparison between male and female subjects. *Med Teach*. 2018;40(sup1):S1-S9. DOI: 10.1080/0142159X.2018.1464650. Epub 2018 Jun 16. PMID: 29909709.
15. Salehi S, Cotner S, Azarin SM, Carlson EE, Driessen M, Ferry VE, et al. Gender Performance Gaps Across Different Assessment Methods and the Underlying Mechanisms: The Case of Incoming Preparation and Test Anxiety. *Frontiers in Education*; 2019. DOI:10.3389/educ.2019.00107

© 2022 Cing et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Peer-review history:*  
*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:*  
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/85296>

# IS THERE ANY DIFFERENCE IN ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE OF MEDICAL STUDENTS IN BIOCHEMISTRY? ANALYSIS BASED ON GENDER AND PLACE OF RESIDENCE

*by* Forman Erwin Et Al

---

**Submission date:** 14-Mar-2022 06:20PM (UTC+0700)

**Submission ID:** 1783978203

**File name:** N\_ACADEMIC\_PERFORMANCE\_OF\_MEDICAL\_FRESHMEN\_IN\_BIOCHEMISTRY-1.doc (203K)

**Word count:** 2648

**Character count:** 15007

# IS THERE ANY DIFFERENCE IN ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE OF MEDICAL STUDENTS IN BIOCHEMISTRY? ANALYSIS BASED ON GENDER AND PLACE OF RESIDENCE

Jap Mai Cing,<sup>1</sup> Trini Suryowati,<sup>1</sup> Nur Nunu Prihantini,<sup>1</sup> Muhammad Alfarabi,<sup>1</sup> Fri Rahmawati,<sup>1</sup> Lusia Sri Sunarti,<sup>2</sup> Forman Erwin Siagian,<sup>3</sup> Zyachra Maulidya Sunandar<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dept. of Biochemistry, <sup>2</sup>Dept. of Microbiology, <sup>3</sup>Dept. of Parasitology and the Centre of Biomedic Research, <sup>4</sup>Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Kristen Indonesia, Jakarta-Indonesia

Email: forman.siagian@uki.ac.id

## ABSTRACT

**Introduction:** Due to the complexity of teaching new subjects to medical freshmen, we want to explore the difference of our student's Biochemistry examination score gleaned from their gender and also their place of residence.

**Methods:** This is a simple retrospective cross sectional study by analyzing the examination result and comparison made by their gender (male or female) and their place of residence (living in his/her own house or vice versa in boarding house).

**Result and discussion:** Throughout data collection, actually there are 913 active students from all class of 2016-2021 but only 569 freshmen from the class of 2018-2021 which gender and place of residence data are available, there are 155 male (27.24%) and 414 female (72.75%). Female students achieved better mean score compared to their male counterparts. Students which live in their own house also achieved better mean score compared to those who lived in boarding house.

**Conclusion:** there are differences in the achievement of examination scores based on our student's gender and their place of residence.

**Keywords:** cognitive, psychomotor, examination, male, female, boarding house



## INTRODUCTION

Medical Biochemistry is the branch of Biochemistry that studies the chemical composition and process in the human body.<sup>1</sup> This area of studies is also extended to understand the biochemical exchanges that occur within the human body in relation to their application in the field of medicine.<sup>1-3</sup> Medical biochemistry is actually quickly developing, and in these days is becoming one of the most prominent fields of science. Combining the innards doctrine of life related biology and also chemistry, the field plays an immense role in the development of many novel scientific approaches that all contributes to the development of essential drugs, machinery, therapies and even diagnostic apparatus that are used in daily medical practice.<sup>4</sup> Just exactly as medical science itself, biochemistry as a science is a very vast territory of research that produce profound revelation each year. Biochemical techniques and achievement give an advance to our understanding of the chemical composition and activities that corroborate many aspects of human health and also human disease, releasing the basic transformations between these two condition of physiology and pathology.<sup>2-4</sup>

In our curriculum, Biochemistry consists of following topics: Introduction to carbohydrates and lipids, Bioenergetics and oxidative phosphorylation, Bio signal, Amino acids and Peptide, Immunochemistry (Immunoglobulin), Metabolism of carbohydrate, Enzyme-Coenzyme and Vitamins, Body fluid and minerals, Metabolism of Lipids, Metabolism of Purine and Pyrimidine (nucleic acid), Metabolism of proteins, Porfirins and bile pigment, intracellular transports, digestive Biochemistry, Hormones and Blood. In the theory section, the emphasis is solely on cognitive but in the practicum/lab (*praktikum*), the focus is in combination of cognitive and psychomotor activity.

Teaching Medical biochemistry to medical feshmen is challenging.<sup>5</sup> Partly because they have never received this lesson before in lower level/secondary education, and a variety of new courses that must be studied simultaneously at the most basic level of medical education, ranging from basic medical sciences such as Biology, Biochemistry, Anatomy and Histology. Beside those subjects, basic humanity studies also given to our medical freshmen and these are not directly related to medical education.

Previous study showed us that female medical students achieved higher mean tutorial grade compared to their male compatriot, and those who live at their home with their parents also get higher average score compared to those who live in a boarding house, by themselves.<sup>6</sup> It will be very interesting to explore whether there are differences in gender and place of residence regarding the academic score of medical biochemistry (theory and practicum/*praktikum*) among freshmen of medical students and this become the aim of this simple study.

## METHODS

This simple cross sectional study organized from November 15<sup>th</sup> to December 15<sup>th</sup>, 2021 at the Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Kristen Indonesia, Jakarta- Indonesia. It was a mixture between data of Biochemistry examination score that consist of theoretical examination scores and practicum/lab (*praktikum*) examination scores with data of place of residence

obtained from Google-form™ questionnaire. Data of tutorial score from the class of 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020 and 2021 collected through the manager/head of study programme of the Undergraduate Medical Education (*Program Pendidikan Sarjana Kedokteran/ P2SK*). The inclusion criteria are active students that had complete score for each session. At first, all data made accessible in Microsoft Excel™ and then further classified manually. After that, data then being processed using SPSS. Simple descriptive statistic operation conducted whenever necessary.

## 1 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

During data collection, there are 913 active students from all class of 2016 with 172 students (18.8%), class of 2017 with 171 students (18.7%), class of 2018 with 158 students (17.3%) , class of 2019 with 140 students (15.3%), class of 2020 with 137 students (15.0%) and class of 2021 with 135 students (14.8%), but only 569 freshmen from the class of 2018, 2019, 2020 and 2021 eligible for further analysis on the differences based on gender and place of residence. In total number, 4,000+ data were collected for further processing and analysis. Data is presented descriptively, and also in the form of tables and graph. But unfortunately, some data regarding place of residence is incomplete so the analysis is only carried out on available data.

In overall, based on gender, out of 569 freshmen which gender and place of residence data are available, there are 155 male (27.24%) and 414 female (72.75%). Regarding our respondent's place of residence, there are 247 (43.41%) people living in boarding houses and 322 (56.59%) living in their own homes. Out of 913 freshmen from 7 batch (1996-2021), the lowest score of the theoretical examination results is 14 and the highest is 94 with mean score 55.49 (SD 14.80) while the lowest practicum score is 15 and the highest is 100 with mean score 62.48 (SD 15.15).

Further analysis of descriptive statistics to explore examination score (theory and practice/laboratory) based on available data regarding gender and place of residence (n=569) showed us as follow:

Table 1. Descriptive statistic regarding Biochemistry score from the class of 2018, 2019, 2020 and 2021

		Mean score	SD	SE	Range score
Theory	Male	56.34	16.17	1.29	20-88
	Female	60.44	14.29	0.7	18-94
	Boarding house	58.49	14.88	1.24	18-89
	Own house	59.96	14.94	0.73	20-94
Practice	Male	63.0	15.8	1.24	24-100
	Female	66.29	14.89	0.73	28-100
	Boarding house	65.36	15.31	0.97	32-100
	Own house	65.42	15.00	0.83	24-100

In both Biochemistry examinations, female students achieved better mean score compared to their male compatriot. And regarding their place of residence, freshmen which lives in their own house achieve better score in both examinations compared to those whose live in boarding houses. It is interesting to conduct further study related with the result, especially in other basic medical courses. Unlike our findings, a study on Biochemistry performance of students at Michigan State University showed opposite result where female students got lower score.<sup>7</sup>

Based on gender differences, similar research in other fields of medical science also found mixed results, e.g., in Surgical, Physiology and pharmacology. Alsheikh in Saudi Arabia found out that female students achieved significantly better scores in surgical disciplines than in medical disciplines and they outperformed male students in overall scores in surgical disciplines.<sup>8</sup> in Physiology, Sinha *et al*<sup>9</sup> from India revealed that when they compared students of high achiever population divulged no significant variance in achievement of both genders in all typical of examination ( the multiple choice questions type (MCQ) and with structured long - short answer questions type (LSQ)). Whereas, female students that came from both medium and low achiever populations had significantly higher scores in LSQ as compared to their male compatriot, but actually no such obvious difference was observed for the MCQ type. Meanwhile, Faisal *et al*<sup>10</sup> in Pakistan divulged in Pharmacology, that out of the 200 medical students enrolled with 102 (51%) were male and 98(41%) were female, there was no significant difference in the academic performance in terms of gender in multiple choice questions and short essay questions. It will be very interesting to know whether the difference in achievement of gender-related test scores is also influenced by other factors such as place of residence, the area of origin of students (urban or rural) and so on.

Further statistical tests were conducted to determine whether there was a significant difference in the achievement of scores on the Biochemistry examination. The objective is to identify differences between two or more groups using non parametric tests (do not assume that available data follow the normal distribution. The test use automatically compares distribution across groups using independent samples Mann Whitney U test.

Hypothesis Test Summary (a)					Hypothesis Test Summary (b)				
	Null Hypothesis	Test	Sig.	Decision		Null Hypothesis	Test	Sig.	Decision
1	The distribution of T_Biokimia is the same across categories of Gender.	Independent-Samples Mann-Whitney U Test	.015	Reject the null hypothesis.	1	The distribution of T_Biokimia is the same across categories of R_K.	Independent-Samples Mann-Whitney U Test	.246	Retain the null hypothesis.
2	The distribution of P_Biokimia is the same across categories of Gender.	Independent-Samples Mann-Whitney U Test	.020	Reject the null hypothesis.	2	The distribution of P_Biokimia is the same across categories of R_K.	Independent-Samples Mann-Whitney U Test	.880	Retain the null hypothesis.
Asymptotic significances are displayed. The significance level is .05.					Asymptotic significances are displayed. The significance level is .05.				

Fig. 1 The result of statistical analysis: (a) there is a significant difference in the achievement of Biochemistry scores between genders (male and female). (b) There is no statistically

significant difference in the achievement of Biochemical scores based on place of residence (house or boarding house)

Furthermore, we want to show the difference between male and female student and between those who live in their own house vs. boarding house, using standard error bar. Standard error of Mean (SEM) is an approximate of variability of feasible values of means of samples. As mean values are appraised for computation of SEM, it is anticipated that there will be fewer variability in the merit of typical mean than in the indigenous population. This shows that SEM is an estimate of the exactness with which sample mean  $\bar{X}$  estimate the population mean  $\mu$ . The exactness increases as the sample size increases. Thus, SEM assesses unpredictability in the estimate of the mean.<sup>11</sup>




Fig. 2 Error bar showed comparison between male vs. female and further divided into those who live in boarding house vs. own house.

Error bars can actually reveal the following information about our data: How spread the data are around the mean value (small SD bar = low spread, data are clumped around the mean; larger SD bar = larger spread, data are more variable from the mean). Figure 2 showed us that when compared between female vs. male students, the error bar line is generally said to be longer in the male group, and this indicates high data variability in that group. The variability of the data also appears to be more significant in the group who live in a boarding house compared to those who live at home; and become more apparent when further comparison

conducted between male vs. female regarding their place of residence. Male students which live in boarding houses appear to have greater value variability than the other groups.

Our findings are different from the findings of Rauchenberger & Sweeder<sup>7</sup> which conducted a similar study on Biochemistry score of medical students at Michigan State University in a two-part biochemistry series: the Biochem I and also the Biochem II for students registered from the year of 1997 to 2009. They found female students earned statistically lower grades compared to male/ females perform worse than males. This unfortunate lower grade predicted for female students is in incorporation to the gloomy influence that they may have encountered in their previous classes. Gender remains an important variable even when the consideration only students of a particular major (e.g., human biology or physiology, two of our largest cohorts). These students would be expected to have a similar set of college courses and experiences, so would minimize variance arising from having discussed certain concepts in other courses. Haist<sup>12</sup> found out that in general, Women performed better than men on the clinically based performance examinations. While for the result of the study conducted by Dixon,<sup>13</sup> that revealed no significant gender distinction were seen in these two clinical performance measures. Women still surpassed men in evaluations of clinical clerkship performance.

Further study need to be conducted in order to study habits differ by gender and also have significant impact on performance outcomes of learners. Given that students' academic success is an important outcome for medical schools, these findings call for implementing these results to enhance curricular change or at least modification and promote better learning outcomes.<sup>14</sup> Women passing from medical school in recent years are actually the academic equals of their male compatriot.<sup>13-15</sup> It is expected that in the future, some of these women will also select careers in academic medicine and help to put to rights the gender inequalities.<sup>13</sup>

## CONCLUSION

This is one of only a few studies that have reported gender and place of residence comparisons regarding Biochemistry examination score among medical students at a private medical faculty. The results show that female students achieve better score compared to their male counterparts, and the variability of the score is higher among male students. And regarding their place of residence, students which live in their own house achieve higher mean score compared to those who lived in the boarding house.

## REFERENCES

1. Afshar M, Han Z. Teaching and Learning Medical Biochemistry: Perspectives from a Student and an Educator. Med Sci Educ. 2014;24(3):339-341. doi: 10.1007/s40670-014-0004-7. PMID: 25815241; PMCID: PMC4370413.
2. Vadakedath S, Kandi V. Modified Conventional Teaching: An Assessment of Clinical Biochemistry Learning Process Among Medical Undergraduate Students Using the

Traditional Teaching in Combination with Group Discussion. *Cureus*, 2019; 11(8): e5396. doi:10.7759/cureus.5396

3. Schepartz A. Introducing "Future of Biochemistry: The International Issue". *Biochemistry* 2019, 58, 1, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.biochem.8b01293>
4. Black PN. A revolution in biochemistry and molecular biology education informed by basic research to meet the demands of 21st century career paths. *J Biol Chem*, 2020; 295(31): 10653 – 61 DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.AW120.011104>
5. Treweek, T. M., Bommer, U. A., Larkin, T. & Lethbridge, A. (2012). Teaching Medical Biochemistry: Challenges, both old and new. ANZAHPE 2012 - Professionalism Under Pressure NZ: ANZAHPE.
6. Siagian FE, Sunarti LS, Tuamelly GJR. Do Gender and Place of Residence Affect the Tutorial Scores of Medical Students? A Preliminary Study Conducted in a Private Medical School, Jakarta-Indonesia. *Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences* 2021; 23 (4): 49-55
7. Rauschenberger, M.M. and Sweeder, R.D. (2010), Gender performance differences in biochemistry. *Biochem. Mol. Biol. Educ.*, 38: 380-384. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bmb.20448>
8. Deepak KK, Al-Umran KU, Al-Sheikh MH, Al-Rubaish AM, Arabia S. The Influence of Gender on Undergraduate Performance in Multiple Choice Testing in Clinical Disciplines at University of Dammam, Saudi Arabia. *Al Ameen J Med Sci*; 2011; 4 (2): 123 – 30
9. Sinha M, Ghate J, Chatur, DK, Sinha R. Gender difference in performance of undergraduate medical students for subjective and objective evaluation in physiology. *International Journal of Scientific Reports*; 20173: 22-27.
10. Faisal, R., Shinwari, L., & Hussain, S. (). Academic performance of male in comparison with female undergraduate medical students in Pharmacology examinations. *JPM. The Journal of the Pakistan Medical Association*, 2017;67 (2): 204-8
11. Barde MP, Barde PJ. What to use to express the variability of data: Standard deviation or standard error of mean? *Perspect Clin Res*. 2012 Jul;3(3):113-6. doi: 10.4103/2229-3485.100662. PMID: 23125963; PMCID: PMC3487226.
12. Haist SA, Wilson JF, Elam CL, Blue AV, Fosson SE. The Effect of Gender and Age on Medical School Performance: An Important Interaction. *Adv Health Sci Educ Theory Pract*. 2000;5(3):197-205. doi: 10.1023/A:1009829611335. PMID: 12386462. (<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12386462/>)
13. Dixon D. Gender Differences in Academic Qualifications and Medical School Performance of Osteopathic Medical Students. *Medical Science Educator* 17(1):33-7



14. Alzahrani SS, Soo Park Y, Tekian A. Study habits and academic achievement among medical students: A comparison between male and female subjects. *Med Teach*. 2018 Sep;40(sup1):S1-S9. doi: 10.1080/0142159X.2018.1464650. Epub 2018 Jun 16. PMID: 29909709.
15. Salehi S, Cotner S, Azarin SM, Carlson EE, Driessen M, Ferry VE, et al. Gender Performance Gaps Across Different Assessment Methods and the Underlying Mechanisms: The Case of Incoming Preparation and Test Anxiety. *Frontiers in Education*. 2019 DOI:10.3389/feduc.2019.00107

# IS THERE ANY DIFFERENCE IN ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE OF MEDICAL STUDENTS IN BIOCHEMISTRY? ANALYSIS BASED ON GENDER AND PLACE OF RESIDENCE

## ORIGINALITY REPORT

15%  
SIMILARITY INDEX

15%  
INTERNET SOURCES

4%  
PUBLICATIONS

0%  
STUDENT PAPERS

## PRIMARY SOURCES

1 repository.uki.ac.id 9%  
Internet Source

2 iubmb.onlinelibrary.wiley.com 4%  
Internet Source

3 www.iamse.org 3%  
Internet Source

Exclude quotes On  
Exclude bibliography On

Exclude matches < 3%



## Korespondensi:

**From:** Managing Editor (submission) <submission@sciencedomain.org>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 15, 2022 11:11 AM  
**To:** Forman Erwin Siagian <forman.siagian@uki.ac.id>  
**Subject:** 2022/AJRB/85296 : Manuscript has been submitted

Dear Dr. Forman Erwin Siagian,

Thank you very much for submitting your valuable paper to our journal. We have started the editorial processing of the manuscript with the following details

**Title:** IS THERE ANY DIFFERENCE IN ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE OF MEDICAL STUDENTS IN BIOCHEMISTRY? ANALYSIS BASED ON GENDER AND PLACE OF RESIDENCE

**Journal:** Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry

**Manuscript Number:** 2022/AJRB/85296

We'll contact you very soon after getting peer review reports.

We are pleased to inform you that your paper will be published **with 94% discount** after peer review.

**Original Publication Charge: 500 US\$**  
**Publication charge after discount: 30 US\$**

Thank you for your interest in this journal.

Manuscript withdrawal policy available here: <http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

**Please be safe during this COVID-19 pandemic situation. We wish best of health for you and your family members.**

With Best Regards  
Ms. Ruma Bag

Journal editorial office

**From:** Editor Sciencedomain 39 <editor.sciencedomain39@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 19, 2022 8:16 PM  
**To:** Forman Erwin Siagian <forman.siagian@uki.ac.id>  
**Subject:** Minor review comments for manuscript number: 2022/AJRB/85296

Dear Dr. Forman Erwin Siagian,

We are contacting from [Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry](#) regarding Manuscript no. 2022/AJRB/85296

Title of the Manuscript: **Is There Any Difference In Academic Performance Of Medical Students In Biochemistry? Analysis Based On Gender And Place Of Residence**

We are pleased to inform you that reviewers have reviewed your manuscript and recommended for publication after minor revision.

All review comments (...03.. nos.) are attached with this email.

- Please do the correction as per the review comments in the following file  
File name: Ms\_AJRB\_85296

**Deadline:**

Authors are requested to send revised paper as soon as possible (within 7 days) to accelerate the pre-publication formalities. If we receive the revised version within this deadline, the paper can be published in the current issue of the journal within 7 days. If extra time is required, kindly inform us.


**Revised paper:**

- Comments of all the reviewers should be used during revision. Authors are requested to submit the revised paper with all the corrections highlighted in yellow colour, (for example. **abc.....efg**).
- Authors should write their feedback in the review form in the space for 'author's comment' and send back the filled forms to us along with the revised paper.

You are hereby requested to kindly acknowledge the receipt of this mail.

- Please be safe during this COVID-19 pandemic situation. We wish best of health for you and your family members

---



⌵ Show all 4 attachments (366 KB)   ⌵ Save all to OneDrive - Universitas Kristen Indonesia   ⌵ Download all

↩ Reply   ↩ Reply all   ➦ Forward

---

**From:** Forman Erwin Siagian <forman.siagian@uki.ac.id>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 19, 2022 11:08 PM  
**To:** Editor Sciencedomain 39 <editor.sciencedomain39@gmail.com>  
**Subject:** Fw: Minor review comments for manuscript number: 2022/AJRB/85296

---

**From:** Forman Erwin Siagian <forman.siagian@uki.ac.id>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 19, 2022 11:07 PM  
**To:** PRM Managing Editor sdi.5 <sdi.5@sciencedomain.org>  
**Subject:** Re: Minor review comments for manuscript number: 2022/AJRB/85296

dear Ms Ruma Bag,  
we just finished with the correction/revision.  
we did exactly just as the reviewer comment; and we also filled the Review form in the author reply section.  
Now, we send it all back to you.... and we wait patiently for your further instrcutiion.  
with best regards,  
erwin

---

**From:** SDI 01 <editor.sdi01@sciencedomain.biz>  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 24, 2022 7:26 PM  
**To:** Forman Erwin Siagian <forman.siagian@uki.ac.id>  
**Subject:** Acceptance letter for your manuscript number.2022/AJRB/85296

Dear Colleague,

Please find attached herewith the acceptance letter for your manuscript number.2022/AJRB/85296.

With Best Regards  
Ms. Ruma Bag

**Journal editorial office**

Reg. Offices:

India: Guest House Road, Street no - 1/6, Hooghly, West Bengal, India, Tel: +91 8617752708 | +91 9163821242, WhatsApp: +91 8617752708

Index:

<https://journalajrb.com/index.php/AJRB/abstracting-indexing>

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_85296
Title of the Manuscript:	Is There Any Difference In Academic Performance Of Medical Students In Biochemistry? Analysis Based On Gender And Place Of Residence
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajrb.com/index.php/AJRB/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>This research article is a contribution to the literature; however, it is suggested to correct the wording for a better understanding of the text.</p> <p>Apply and correct the bibliography with APA standards</p> <p>It is suggested to add an index of tables and figures.</p>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	<p>Publish with rectification</p>	

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><b><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></b></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jose Maanuel Salum Tome
Department, University & Country	Universidad Catolica de Temuco, Chile

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_85296
Title of the Manuscript:	Is There Any Difference In Academic Performance Of Medical Students In Biochemistry? Analysis Based On Gender And Place Of Residence
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajrb.com/index.php/AJRB/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1) It will be more interesting research and stronger when we add to a questionnaire with MCQ and LSQ other practical experimentations by marks in exams or fast answers to evaluate time or distance, item by item.</li><li>2) References have to be more recent.</li></ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Slim khiari
Department, University & Country	High Institute of the Sport and the Physical Education of Ksar-Said, University of Manouba, Tunisia

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_85296
Title of the Manuscript:	Is There Any Difference In Academic Performance Of Medical Students In Biochemistry? Analysis Based On Gender And Place Of Residence
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajrb.com/index.php/AJRB/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	-To sound academic, title may be changed to: Difference in Academic Performance of Medical Students In Biochemistry: An Analysis Based on Gender and Place of Residence	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	-Consider underscoring the problem why the Research is conducted in the introductory part -Also include statements why factors of gender and dwelling place are considered.	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	-The researcher/s was able to note in the research that further studies should be done in relation to some other facets and aspects related to the studies. This is really necessary to have a full grasp of the aspects or factors relation to the academic performance of the students.  Congratulations for a well-written Research Paper.	

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gazel V. Castillo
Department, University & Country	Philippines