



Submit Article for TAESPJ

6 pesan

Lamhot Naibaho <linaibaho68@yahoo.com>

26 Mei 2018 14.27

Kepada: rogercunn@yahoo.co.uk

Dear

Editor The Asian ESP Journal (TAESPJ)

I have read and used scientific articles published in TAESPJ.

The performance of the TAESPJ was excellent, and the published article helped me conduct research and write scientific reports.

I am Lamhot Naibaho, from Christian University of Indonesia, Jakarta.

I have completed a scientific article entitled ***"Improving Students' Essay Writing Ability through Consultancy Prewriting Protocol at Christian University of Indonesia."***

I hope this article can be published in the TAESPJ because exciting findings in the scientific report can become new treasures in science, especially in education sciences.

I am willing to go through the review process following TAESPJ standards.

Best Regards,

Lamhot Naibaho

Christian University of Indonesia, Jakarta

**TAESPJ_Article_Lamhot.doc**

647K

Dr. Roger Nunn <rogercunn@yahoo.co.uk>

27 Mei 2018 14.12

Kepada: Lamhot Naibaho <linaibaho68@yahoo.com>

Dear

Lamhot Naibaho

Christian University of Indonesia, Jakarta

The TAESPJ Editorial Board has received the article.

I appreciate the interest in TAESPJ.

The article will be processed according to the publication standards at TAESPJ.

I beg you to be patient while waiting for the notification from the TAESPJ Editorial Board.

Always check email because the correspondence is only via email.

Best Regards,

--

Dr. Roger Nunn

Editorial Board TAESPJ

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

Lamhot Naibaho <linaibaho68@yahoo.com>
Kepada: Dr. Roger Nunn <rogercnunn@yahoo.co.uk>

28 Mei 2018 16.40

Dear
Editor The Asian ESP Journal (TAESPJ)

Thank you for your response.
I am happy to wait for the next stage.

Lamhot Naibaho
Christian University of Indonesia, Jakarta
[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

Dr. Roger Nunn <rogercnunn@yahoo.co.uk>
Kepada: Lamhot Naibaho <linaibaho68@yahoo.com>

11 Juni 2018 19.41

Dear

Lamhot Naibaho
Christian University of Indonesia, Jakarta

Thank you for submitting the manuscript to TAESPJ.

I have completed our evaluation, and the reviewers recommend reconsidering the manuscript following minor revisions. Please resubmit the revised manuscript by July 18th, 2018, or ask whether an alternate date would be acceptable. We invite you to send it back after addressing the comments below.

When revising the manuscript, consider carefully all issues mentioned in the reviewers' comments: outline every change made in response to their comments and provide suitable explanations for any remarks not addressed. Please also note that the revised submission may need to be reviewed.

To submit a revised manuscript, please log in as an author at this email, and navigate to the "Revision" folder. TAESPJ values team contribution, and I look forward to receiving the revised manuscript.

Editor and reviewer comments:

Reviewer:

1. **Clarity and Consistency:** The abstract provides a comprehensive overview of the study. However, there are minor inconsistencies in the presentation of mean scores. Please ensure consistency in reporting mean scores, especially in the comparison of pre-test and post-test results across cycles.
2. **Methodology Clarification:** It would be beneficial to provide more details on the consultancy prewriting protocol employed in the study. A brief description or references to specific elements of the protocol could enhance the readers' understanding and potentially facilitate the protocol's replication.
3. **In-depth Analysis of Improvement:** While the study mentions percentage improvements, a more detailed analysis of specific aspects of essay writing that showed improvement would be valuable. Consider breaking down the improvements into components such as organization, coherence, and grammar to provide a nuanced understanding.
4. **Participant Demographics:** The abstract lacks information on the number of participants and their demographic details. Including such information can contribute to the external validity of the study and help readers assess the generalizability of the findings.
5. **Questionnaire Validity and Reliability:** Discuss the steps taken to ensure the validity and reliability of the questionnaire used in the study. This information is crucial for readers to evaluate the robustness of the data collected through this instrument.
6. **Long-term Effects:** Consider addressing the potential long-term effects of implementing the consultancy prewriting protocol. Did the improvement in essay writing ability sustain over time, and if not, what factors might have contributed to any decline?
7. **Pedagogical Implications:** The conclusion briefly mentions that teachers should apply the consultancy prewriting protocol. Expand on the pedagogical implications by providing insights into how educators can effectively integrate this protocol into their teaching methods.

8. **Qualitative Data Integration:** The abstract mentions the use of qualitative data analysis techniques. Elaborate on how qualitative data, such as information from diaries and observations, was integrated into the overall findings. This can enhance the richness of the study.
9. **Language and Style:** While the abstract is generally well-written, consider revising the sentence: "*And the last is the percentage of the pre-test and the post-test in the cycle two is 51.07%.*" for clarity and conciseness.
10. **Future Research Directions:** Conclude the abstract with a brief section on potential avenues for future research. Identifying gaps or areas for further exploration will add depth to the study and guide researchers interested in extending this work.

I hope you can make improvements as soon as possible and send the revised article again via this email.

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

Lamhot Naibaho <linaibaho68@yahoo.com>
Kepada: Dr. Roger Nunn <rogercnunn@yahoo.co.uk>

12 Juni 2018 13.16

Dear
Editor The Asian ESP Journal (TAESPJ)

Thank you for the Editorial Board response to TAESPJ.
I have read the revised instructions from the reviewers.
I will immediately correct the article according to the reviewers.

Best Regards,

Lamhot Naibaho
Christian University of Indonesia, Jakarta
[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

Lamhot Naibaho <linaibaho68@yahoo.com>
Kepada: Dr. Roger Nunn <rogercnunn@yahoo.co.uk>

18 Juli 2018 11.46

Dear
Editor The Asian ESP Journal (TAESPJ)

Thank you for the patience of the TAESPJ Editorial Board.
I was waiting for the revision of my article.

I have made improvements according to the reviewer's instructions.
I will be happy if there are still points that need further improvement in the article.

The revised Article is Attached.

Best Regards,

Lamhot Naibaho
Christian University of Indonesia, Jakarta



Revision2_TAESPJ_Article_Lamhot.doc
621K



ACCEPTANCE LETTER

1 pesan

Dr. Roger Nunn <rogercnunn@yahoo.co.uk>
Kepada: Lamhot Naibaho <linaibaho68@yahoo.com>

3 Agustus 2018 10.23

Dear Author
Lamhot Naibaho

Warm Greetings!

ACCEPTANCE LETTER

It's a great pleasure to inform you that, after the peer review process, the ***"Improving Students' Essay Writing Ability through Consultancy Prewriting Protocol at Christian University of Indonesia"*** article has been **Accepted** for publication in the TAESPJ Regular Issue 2018. Please make a payment publication fee.

Thank you for submitting the paper to this journal. We hope to receive it in the future too.

--

Dr. Roger Nunn
Editorial Board TAESPJ

 **LoA_TAESPJ_Lamhot.pdf**
78K