Verbal Abuses as Impact of Hatred

by Masda Simatupang

Submission date: 24-Aug-2021 03:44PM (UTC+0700) Submission ID: 1635214036 File name: VerbalAbuseasImpactofHatred.pdf (329.71K) Word count: 2925 Character count: 15046

Verbal Abuses as Impact of Hatred

Masda Surti Simatupang¹, Ramot Peter²

¹ Faculty of Letters, Universitas Kristen Indonesia, Jakarta 13630, Indonesia ²Character Building Development Center, Information Systems Department, School of Information Systems, Bina Nusantara University, Jakarta, Indonesia 11480

Keywords: Verbal Abuse, Hatred

Abstract: People are expected to speak politely to others in order to show solidarity. It means that they emphasize shared attitudes and respect to each other. In communication, people speak in polite manner to show respect to and to be appreciated by others. When people talk in a manner based on what they like, for instance with the purpose of hurting others, the verbal abuses, then, take place. People do verbal abuses, in this study because of showing authority and religion fanaticism. The words uttered are sometimes meaningless, but showing hatred, with curses or condemnation. There are some bad impacts of uttering verbal abuses especially stated by public figures. The purpose of this research is to explore some abusive words spoken by the public figures. The researcher analyses the scripts, explains why the words are classified into verbal abuses, and elaborates the impacts of the words to public. The methodology used is descriptive method which explains the verbal abuses from the data obtained from some links from the internet which contain verbal abuses. The results show that the verbal abuses have increased the public hatred. The conclusion is that verbal abuses cause impacts of public hatred.

1 INTRODUCTION

People will try to communicate clearly and politely in order to avoid misunderstanding. When people speak politely, they will speak according to the rules in their community and also based on the cultures which exist in the society. According to Thomas (Thomas, 1995), politeness can be seen if there is the phenomenon of respect one another between a speaker and a listener. The way we speak and write should be suitable with the situation, variety and the language system in social context. However, Sukamto (Sukamto, 2012) states that in communication we need to be aware to consider "being polite". What we think polite may be considered impolite by other speakers, especially if the interlocutors are from different ethnic group, culture or religion. When speaking to other people, we need to know the principle of politeness so there will be harmony in communication. If a speaker communicates using any kinds of words carelessly, which sometimes make the listener offended, the speaker then has done verbal abuse intentionally or not.

To be accurate, verbal abuse is the more intentional and personally directed act of verbal rudeness (Ickes, Park, & Robinson, 2012).

360

Simatupang, M. and Peter, R. Verbal Abuses as Impact of Hatred. DDI: 10.5220/00100080056600364 In Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Social Sciences, Laws, Arts and Humanities (BINUS-JIC 2018), pages 360-364 ISBN: 978-989-758-515-9 Copyright (© 2021 by SCITEPRESS – Science and Technology Publications, Lda. All rights reserved

Humiliating, intimidating, or threatening is included in verbal abuse. Moreover, the attitudes to hurs or harm someone are also considered verbal abuse. The data reported by Smith in Ickes et.al. suggest that people who are the targets of another person's verbal abuse often feel angry and disgusted, particularly in the immediate aftermath of the encounter. Verbal abuse is an act of dehumanization prevailed universally in human history, beginning from the hostility of primitive inter-tribal because of injustice, arbitrariness, violation of human right and genocide. The forms of verbal abuse can be scornful, curse, expletive or other rude words spoken by the intention to humiliate the other speakers. The root of verbal abuse comes systematically from authority, ethnocentrism, and religion.

2 LITERATURE REVIEW

The root of verbal abuse comes systematically from authority, ethnocentrism, and religion. However, this study focusses on religion differences and fanaticism that caused people to utter their hatred. Each root will be discussed thoroughly in the following subsections.

Verbal Abuses as Impact of Hatred

2.1 Authority

Authority is the power to give orders or to make decisions; or the confident quality of someone who knows a lot about something or who is respected or obeyed by other people (Merriam-Webster dictionary). People of the majority group will get authority against the small groups; or bosses to employees. The one who gets the authority is the one who does the verbal abize, as Johnson (Johnson & Indvik, 2001) stated 'abuse' becomes a regular occurrence in established work relationship, such as those between managers and employees, male and female workers, and so on. It can eventually lead to more serious acts, such open conflict, workplace violence, and sexual harassment.

2.2 Ethnocentrism

According to (Cunningham, Nezlek, & Banaji, 2004), ethnocentrism is the tendency to form and maintain negative evaluations and hospitality toward multiple groups that are not one's own. In other words, people have the tendency to believe that the norms and values of their ethnic group are absolute and can be used as the standard to measure other ethnic groups. Indonesia as a multi lingual and multi ethnic nation has the potential to have disintegration if one ethnic group measures others with their own way.

2.3 Religion and Fanaticism

Fanaticism, as expressed in www.dictionary.com is wildly excessive or irrational devotion, dedication, or enthusiasm. In many cases, fanaticism is an exaggerated attitude towards one religion or group. Simatupang (Simatupang, 2013) states that the fanatic person has irrational dedication to his/her religion since he/she will consider his/her religion is the most correct or the purest. Conflict starts with scorn the symbols of a particular religion. For that reason, fanaticism and religion are inseparable for those who are not able to appreciate other persons' religion. Even though to some extent, fanaticism can be categorized as skin color, ethnic, and social class, in relation to verbal abuses, which sometimes can lead to many conflicts, the present study discusses verbal abuses spoken by public figures that deal with religion fanaticism.

3 METHOD

This study investigates verbal abuses spoken by two public figures: Basuki Tjahaja Purnama (Ahok), the previous governor of Jakarta and Amin Rais the advisor of Partai Amanat Nasional political party with the focus on explaining why the spoken utterances are classified into verbal abuses and what the impacts of the words in public. The data are taken randomly from the internet with the links containing verbal abuses or rude words. The research procedures are: first, getting the transcription of the spoken utterances, explaining why the words are categorized as verbal abuses, and elaborating the impacts of the utterances

4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The following is the result of some verbal abuse in context. The words with capital letters are considered as the verbal abuses.

4.1 Results

Table 1: Words in context which are considered as verbal abuses.

Ν	Public	Indone	English	Event
о.	Figure	sian	translation	
Α.	Basuki	UBL	"That's	Live talk
	Tjahaja	"Maka	why I said	show at
	Purnama	nya	(before),	Kompas
	(Ahok)	gua	invite me	TV,
		bilang,	to the	Tuesday
		panggil	(parliame	March 17,
		gua ke	nt) inquiry	2016.
		angket,	rights so I	
		biar	will	
		gua	explain	
		jelasin	everything	
		semua.	. I will	
		Gua	open all	
		bukain	the	
		taik	SHITS!	
		taik	Let all	
		semua	people	
		seperti	know, all	
		apa.	of them	
		Biar	are	
		orang	SHITS,	
		tahu,	that's I	
		emang	said".	
		taik		
		semua		
		gua		
		bilang.		

BINUS-JIC 2018 - BINUS Joint International Conference

B.	Basuki	"Kal	" If the	Live talk]			A GA		
	Tjahaja	1au	bribe of	show at				NIH?		
	Purnama	betul	12,7	Kompas		С.	Amin	"Ahok	"This	Big
	(Ahok)	ada	trillion is	TV,			Rais	ini	Ahok is so	meeting of
		suap	true, why	Tuesday				SONG	ABSURD	RT RW
		12,7	the	March 17,				ONGn	that	DKI
		triliun	representa	2016.				ya	strikes the	Jakarta at
		kenapa	tives					menyu	sky. Very	Permai
		si	canceled					ndul	snobbish.	Traditiona
		DPRD	their					langit.	In history,	1 Market,
		memba	report to					Sombo	no no	on
		talkan	the					ng	snobbish	September
		lapor	Criminal					sekali.	man will	18, 2016
			-							18, 2010
		ke Baresk	Investigati					Tetapi	win. So,	
		rim?	on Body?					dalam	let's	
			How					sejarah	attack	
		Kok	DUMB					tidak	him.	
		GOBL	are you?					ada	Don't let	
		OK	If you					orang	that	
		SEKA	have some					sombo	BEAST	
		LILU	evidence					ng	win".	
		ORAN	that I					me7ian		
		G?	bribe					g. Jadi		
		kala	someone,					kita		
		u ada	(why not)					sama-		
		bukti	report to			- 2		sama		
		meman	the police.					lawan.		
		1 g	IDÎOT			/		Jangan		
		nyuap	How			_		sampai		
		apa lu	IDIOT					DAJA		
		laporin	you are. If					L itu		
		dong	you have		1			nanti		
		BEGO.	evidence		/			menan		
		BEG	that I will			_		g.		
		0	bribe you	ECH		D.	Amin	"Pilih	"Select	A
		BANG	12,7			D.	Rais		the honest	preacher
		ET LU	trillion,				Kais	yang	one who	of Solat
		gitu	why don't					jujur,		Idul Adha
		lho.						yang	loves the	
			you tell					cinta	poor. Not	at a
		seme	(the					rakyat	the ONE	mosque in
		ntara	police)					kecil.	WHO	North
		ada	I'm afraid					Yang	EVICTS	Jakarta on
		bukti	that you					bukan	(people	September
		gua	don't have					TUKA	from their	12, 2016
		mau	GENITA					NG	property),	
		nyuap	LS, do					GUSU	not the	
		lu 12,7	you?"					R,	one who	
		triliun,						bukan	serves the	
		kok lu						yang	capitalists	
		nggak						melade	[°] ".	
		berani						ni		
		laporin						kepenti		
		? Gua						ngan		
		kuatir						pemod		
		LU						al".		
		KEMA				E.	Amin	"Karen	"Because	An oration
		LUAN				E,	Rais	a kalau	if this	in front of
		LUAN					Rais			
								Si	pekok	the
		PUNT			1					0
		PUNY		I	1			"Ahok pe kok'	Ahok is free from	building of The

362

ini	the jail, he	Supreme
sampai	can be the	Court,
bebas,	Ministry	Jakarta, on
dia bisa	of Internal	May 5,
jadi	Affairs or	2017
Menter	the	
i	Minister	
Dalam	of	
Negeri,	Defence	
bisa	and	
jadi	Security,	
Menha	or he can	
nkam,	be	
bisa	somebody	
jadi	"	
apa		
saja''''		

Sources: A (Setiawan & Mukti, 2015), B (Gubernurmuslim, 2016), C (Berita Nusantara Satu, 2016), D (Berita Nusantara Satu, 2016), E (Prasetiyo, 2017)

4.2 Discussion

If any, should be placed before the references section without numbering.

The words which are considered as verbal abuses from table 1 will be explained one by one. The followings are the elaboration of the verbal abuses and the impact to public.

A. "That's why I said (before), invite me to the '(parliament) inquiry rights so I will explain everything. I will open all the SHITS! Let all people know, all of them are SHITS, that's I said".

Utterance A is considered verbal abuse because Ahok mentions the word "shits" in live talk show on Kompas TV that possibly be watched by numerous Indonesian people through television. The word 'Shits" is rude word which is not to be spoken in public. This demonstrates that Ahok exploits inappropriate words to show his authority as the government of Jakarta who does not control his words when speaking to a reporter in front of television audience all over Indonesia. As a result, the public hate Ahok due to his own ill-manner words, and the worse is people label him as an impolite person. As Jakarta governor Ahok must not deliver rude words when speaking in front of public that will impact to public hatred.

B. "...If the bribe of 12,7 trillion is true, why the representatives canceled their report to the Criminal Investigation Body? How DUMB are you?If you have some evidence that I bribe someone, (why not) report to the police. IDIOT ... How IDIOT you are.

If you have evidence that I will bribe you 12,7 trillion, why don't you tell (the police) I'm afraid that you don't have GENITALS, do you?"

In utterance B, the words 'dumb, idiot, genitals' are impolite and intolerable due to the fact that those words are considered rude and should not be mentioned in public. This utterance is spoken by Ahok when answering the reporter's questions. To show that he is Jakarta governor who do not bribe the parliament, Ahok wants to explain that he is clear from the bribe. However, he utters his rude words to shows his authority against the reporter. Controversial to the values of politeness for Indonesian people, the utterances are criticized by many people that create hot issues on TV programs and in social media by delivering "hate speech" against Ahok.

C. "This Ahok is so ABSURD that strikes the sky. Very snobbish. In history, no snobbish man will win. So, let's attack him. Don't let that BEAST win".

Utterance C is spoken by Amin Rais, not only as the advisor of Partai Amanat Nasional political party but also as Muslim scholar and religious leader. He said "absurd, snobbish, and beast" just to show his feeling of antipathy or religion fanaticism. He does not agree if Ahok as non-muslim will be elected as the Jakarta governor. He, then provokes the audience of big business meeting of RT RW DKI Jakarta at Permai Traditional Market by uttering his arguments that Ahok is a 'BEAST' that should be defeated. As a religious leader, he should not have said that, using rude words to attack Ahok and reveal that Ahok is not deserved to be next governor. He provocatively influences other people in the meeting not to elect Ahok for the next governor of Jakarta. The impact is people who admires Amin will never elect Ahok to be the governor; in addition, they increasingly deploy hatred in the society.

D. "Select the honest one who loves the poor. Not the ONE WHO EVICTS (people from their property), not the one who serves the capitalists".

Utterance D is also spoken by Amin Rais. It seems that there is no verbal abuse stated. The words 'one who evicts people from their property' seems alright. Ahok as the governor of Jakarta has relocated people from their houses at some riverside of Kampung Pulo, Kalijodo, and Bukit Duri in Jakarta. The purpose of relocation is to rehabilitate the river flow in order to reduce the flood in Jakarta. The people of riverside were relocated to the better living in flats or apartments in Marunda, Pulo Gebang and Rusunawa West Jatinegara. Amin Rais satirically criticizes Ahok's action in this case, and called Ahok as the ONE WHO EVICTS (people from their property). Amin's utterance is considered verbal abuse due to his religion fanaticism that never agree to have non-muslim as the governor of Jakarta. This has impacted to disagreement of politicians, socialists, and the victims of the eviction that will not elect Ahok as the next governor of Jakarta. This means most congregation follow Amin Rais exclamation as their respect to him as a religious leader.

E. "Because if this PEKOK Ahok is free from the jail, he can be the Ministry of Internal Affairs or the Minister of Defence and can be somebody"

Utterance E is stated by Amin Rais, a famous chairman of People's Consultative Assembly, a politician who is always present in Media. Amin mentions a rude word 'pekok', does not exist in Indonesian language. The word 'pekok' is derived from Javanese language (the vernacular language of Amin Rais) means dummy, not having proper behaviour, doing as one's intent to. This utterance is verbal abuse to degrade Ahok's position as somebody with awful attitude. He equates Ahok with someone who has no good behaviour or performance. The category of verbal abuse is still religion fanaticism since people accuse Ahok as religion blasphemer, which Amin approves. This effects public hatred that finally Ahok is sentenced 2 years, which is longer than previously claimed by the prosecutor.

5 CONCLUSION

This research shows that verbal abuses cause bad impacts to public. In this study, the public figures abuse others to show their authority and religion fanaticism. Verbal abuses lead to make 'hate speech' of the others. This research shows that verbal abuses mentioned by the public figures are 'shit, damn, idiot, genitals, absurd, beast, and pekok'. The hate speech is presumed to happen aftermath. In other words, verbal abuses only make the hot issues and public hatred. Verbal abuse uttered by public figures tend to make chaos and hatred. The interest part is that, when Ahok speaks rudely in public about other persons, people hate him; on the contrary, when Amin Rais speaks rudely about Ahok, people hates Ahok more than hates Amin. To avoid the bad impacts of verbal abuses, public figures must select the appropriate and polite words in delivering their speeches or statements in public media.

REFERENCES

- Berita Nusantara Satu. (2016). 'Ahok Di Hina' Ruhut Sitompul: Sadar Kau Amien Rais, Ngoceh Aja Kau Kayak Cucak Rowo. Retrieved from https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ISFWcaZt9KM
- Cunningham, W. A., Nezlek, J., & Banaji, M. H. (2004). Implicit and Explicit Ethnocentrism: Revisiting the Ideologies of Prejudice. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin 30*, 1332-46.
- Gubernurmuslim. (2016). Inilah ucapan kotor, kasar, dan menjijikan yang keluar dari mulut Ahok. Retrieved from
 - http://gubernurmuslim.com/news/index.php/2016/08/3 0/inilah-ucapan-kotor-kasar-dan-menjijikkan-yangkeluar-dari-mulut-ahok/
- Ickes, W., Park, A., & Robinson, R. L. (2012). F#!%ing Rudeness: Predicting the Prospensity to Verbally Abuse Strangers. *Journal of Language and Social Psychology* 31, 75-94.
- Johnson, P. R., & Indvik, J. (2001). Rudeness at Work: Impulse over Restraint, *Public Personnel Management* 30, 457-65.
- Prasetiyo, R. (2017). Berorasi Lantang, Amien Rais Sebut Ahok dengan Embel-embel Sebutan Kasar. Retrieved from
 - https://wow.tribunnews.com/2017/05/06/berorasilantang-amien-rais-sebut-ahok-dengan-embel-embel-
- sebutan-kasar-ini Setiawan, A., & Mukti, F. G. (2015). Ini Penyebab Ahok Bicara Kotor Saat Wawancara Live di TV Online. Retrieved from http://metro.news.viva.co.id/news/read/603717-inipenyebab-ahok-bicara-kotor-saat-wawancara-live-di-
- Simatupang, M. S. (2013). Kekerasan dalam bahasa Proceeding of UKI 60 years. Jakarta: UKI Press.
- Sukamto, E. K. (2012). Politeness Requests by Korean Learners of Indonesian . *Studies in Literature and Language* 5, 1-9.
- Thomas, J. (1995). Meaning in Interaction: An Introduction to Pragmatic. London: Longman.

Verbal Abuses as Impact of Hatred

ORIGINAL	ITY REPORT				
SIMILAF	<mark>6</mark> RITY INDEX	6% INTERNET SOURCES	3% PUBLICATIONS	3% STUDENT PAPE	ERS
PRIMARY	SOURCES				
1	raiyand.	wordpress.com ^e			1%
2	Submitte Student Paper	ed to Griffth Un	iversity		1%
3	Robinsor	ckes, Anna Parl n. "F#!%ing Rud e and Social Ps	leness", Journa		1%
4	Submitte Student Paper	ed to Edina Higł	n School		1%
5	journals. Internet Sourc	sagepub.com		<	<1%
6	cscanada Internet Source			<	<1%
7	pemprov Internet Source	/dkijakarta.blog º	spot.com	<	<1%
8	WWW.SCI1	e e		<	<1%

Exclude quotes	On	Exclude matches
Exclude bibliography	On	

Off