



REVIEW FORM

Author Name	:	Noh Ibrahim Boiliu at sal
Title	:	Human Values in the Description Era: Analysis of the Paulo Freire Education and Genesis 1 : 26, 27.
TOPIC		
Is the topic relevant to the conference area of interest? Is it contemporary and interesting for researchers?		
Insufficient		Written Comment -Relevant, contemporary and interesting. -Add <u>an</u> before the word <u>analysis</u> . So the title should be " Human Values in Disruptiion Era: An Analysis of the Paulo Freire Eduvation Philosophy and Genesis 1:26, 27 "
Weak		
Good	√	
Very Good		
Excellent		
ABSTRACT & KEYWORDS		
Are all required components included in the abstract? Are the keywords appropriately chosen?		
Insufficient		Written Comment -The required components are included. -The keywords are appropriate.
Weak		
Good	√	
Very Good		
Excellent		
GOAL		
Is the goal explicitly stated in the Introduction? Is its formulation clear and unambiguous?		
Insufficient		Written Comment -The research goal is umbiguous. I cannot see the goal clearly stated in the Introduction, such as what is the main purpose of the research?
Weak		
Good	√	
Very Good		
Excellent		
STRUCTURE		
Is the paper's structure coherent? Is it in coherence with the goal of the paper?		
Insufficient		Written Comment -The structure is in coherence with the goal of the paper.
Weak		
Good		
Very Good	√	
Excellent		
TOOLS & METHODS		
Are methods the author uses adequate and well used?		
Insufficient		Written Comment -Adequate and well used -the method was clearly explained
Weak		
Good		
Very Good	√	

Excellent		
DISCUSSION & CONCLUSION		
Is it related to the results presented before? Do you consider them as coherent?		
Insufficient		Written Comment
Weak		-Related and coherent
Good	√	-The discussion need to be develop and stated after findings (results)
Very Good		-Conclusion is related to the topic but shuld be followed by implication
Excellent		and recommendation
LITERATURE		
Does the author utilize relevant literature?		
Insufficient		Written Comment
Weak		-The author utilized relevant literature, but more recent research related
Good	√	to the topic should be added.
Very Good		
Excellent		
AUTHOR'S KNOWLEDGE		
What is the level of author's knowledge? Does he/she utilize all recent contributions relevant to the topic?		
Insufficient		Written Comment
Weak		-He utilized all recent contributions which are relevant to the topic.
Good	√	
Very Good		
Excellent		
LENGTH		
Is the length of the paper adequate to the significance of the topic? Do you suggest shortening the paper without losing its value?		
Insufficient		Written Comment
Weak		-Adequate and sufficient
Good	√	
Very Good		
Excellent		
FIGURES & TABLES		
Does the author use them suitably? Are legend and notations clear?		
Insufficient		Written Comment
Weak		-Not applicable because there ia no figure and table Appropriate with the
Good		nature of the research
Very Good		
Excellent		
WRITING STYLE		
Is it clear and understandable?		

Insufficient		Written Comment -Clear and understandable	
Weak			
Good	√		
Very Good			
Excellent			
FURTHER COMMENTS ON THE PAPER		Written Comment	
RECOMMENDATION		Y	Strongly Recommended
	√	C	Recommended with minor revision
		N	Recommended with major revision
		R	Not Recommended

REVIEWER	
NAME	SIGN
REVIEWER 1	

