^{3/11/2023} Gmail

Submit Article for PALARCH

5 pesan

Bernadetha Nadeak
dernadetha.nadeak@uki.ac.id>
Kepada: editor@palarch.nl

5 Mei 2020 14.17

Dear

Editor The Journal of Archaeology of Egypt / Egyptology (PALARCH)

We have read and used scientific articles published in PALARCH. The performance of the PALARCH was excellent, and the published article helped us conduct research and write scientific reports.

I am Bernadetha Nadeak, representing other authors from Universitas Kristen Indonesia, Jakarta. Indonesia. We have completed a scientific article entitled "*Video-Based Learning on Improving Students' Learning Output.*"

We hope this article can be published in the PALARCH because exciting findings in the scientific report can become new treasures in science, especially in education sciences. We are willing to go through the review process following PALARCH standards.

Best Regards,

Bernadetha Nadeak Universitas Kristen Indonesia, Jakarta. Indonesia

PALARCH_Article_Bernadetha.doc 771K

Abdeliazim Mustafa Mohamed <editor@palarch.nl> Kepada: Bernadetha Nadeak <bernadetha.nadeak@uki.ac.id>

Dear

Bernadetha Nadeak, et al. Universitas Kristen Indonesia, Jakarta. Indonesia

The PALARCH Editorial Board has received the team article. I appreciate the team's interest in PALARCH.

The team article will be processed according to the publication standards at PALARCH. I beg you to be patient while waiting for the notification from the PALARCH Editorial Board.

Always check email because the correspondence is only via email.

Best Regards,

Abdeliazim Mustafa Mohamed Editorial Board PALARCH [Kutipan teks disembunyikan] 6 Mei 2020 12.45

Bernadetha Nadeak
bernadetha.nadeak@uki.ac.id>
Kepada: Abdeliazim Mustafa Mohamed <editor@palarch.nl>

7 Mei 2020 13.57

Dear

Editor The Journal of Archaeology of Egypt / Egyptology (PALARCH)

Thank you for your response. We are happy to wait for the next stage.

Bernadetha Nadeak Universitas Kristen Indonesia, Jakarta. Indonesia [Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

Abdeliazim Mustafa Mohamed <editor@palarch.nl> Kepada: Bernadetha Nadeak <bernadetha.nadeak@uki.ac.id> 10 Juni 2020 10.22

Dear

Bernadetha Nadeak, et al. Universitas Kristen Indonesia, Jakarta. Indonesia

Thank you for submitting the manuscript to PALARCH.

We have completed our evaluation, and the reviewers recommend reconsidering the manuscript following minor revisions. Please resubmit the revised manuscript by June 20th, 2020, or ask whether an alternate date would be acceptable. We invite you to send it back after addressing the comments below.

When revising the manuscript, consider carefully all issues mentioned in the reviewers' comments: outline every change made in response to their comments and provide suitable explanations for any remarks not addressed. Please also note that the revised submission may need to be reviewed.

To submit a revised manuscript, please log in as an author at this email, and navigate to the "Revision" folder. PALARCH values team contribution, and I look forward to receiving the revised manuscript.

Editor and reviewer comments:

Reviewer #1:

- 1. The abstract provides a clear overview of the study, but it would benefit from a more concise and standardized structure. Consider reorganizing the abstract to follow the typical structure of background, methods, results, and conclusion. This will make it easier for readers to quickly grasp the essential elements of the research.
- 2. The article mentions that 80% of students showed improvement in their learning outputs, which is a positive result. However, it would be more informative if you include details about the statistical methods used for this analysis. Providing p-values or effect sizes would help readers assess the significance and practical importance of the findings.
- 3. The term "*effectiveness*" is used in the keywords, but the abstract could provide more insight into what aspects of video-based learning were particularly effective. Were there any specific learning outcomes that showed more significant improvement? Offering more specifics on the effectiveness of this teaching method can enhance the article's impact.
- 4. While the abstract briefly outlines the research's findings, it could be strengthened by referencing related studies on video-based learning and its impact on student outcomes. Providing context by citing relevant prior research would help demonstrate the article's contribution to the field.
- 5. The abstract concludes with a suggestion for lecturers to use video as a teaching medium, but it would be beneficial to offer more detailed recommendations. What specific steps should educators take to implement video-based learning effectively in Anatomy Practicum? Providing practical guidance can make the article more valuable to educators and researchers.

I hope you can make improvements as soon as possible and send the revised article again via this email. [Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

Bernadetha Nadeak
 bernadetha.nadeak@uki.ac.id>
 Kepada: Abdeliazim Mustafa Mohamed <editor@palarch.nl>

Dear Editor The Journal of Archaeology of Egypt / Egyptology (PALARCH)

Thank you for the Editorial Board response to PALARCH. We have read the revised instructions from the reviewers. We will immediately correct the article according to the reviewers.

Best Regards,

Bernadetha Nadeak Universitas Kristen Indonesia, Jakarta. Indonesia [Kutipan teks disembunyikan]



Revision Article for PALARCH

4 pesan

Bernadetha Nadeak
bernadetha.nadeak@uki.ac.id>
Kepada: Abdeliazim Mustafa Mohamed <editor@palarch.nl>

20 Juni 2020 12.13

Dear

Editor The Journal of Archaeology of Egypt / Egyptology (PALARCH)

Thank you for the patience of the PALARCH Editorial Board. We were waiting for the revision of our article.

We have made improvements according to the reviewer's instructions. We will be happy if there are still points that need to be improved again in the article. The revised Article is Attached.

Best Regards,

Bernadetha Nadeak Universitas Kristen Indonesia, Jakarta. Indonesia

Revision1_PALARCH_Article_Bernadetha.doc 715K

Abdeliazim Mustafa Mohamed <editor@palarch.nl> Kepada: Bernadetha Nadeak <bernadetha.nadeak@uki.ac.id> 15 Juli 2020 15.54

Dear

Bernadetha Nadeak, et al. Universitas Kristen Indonesia, Jakarta. Indonesia

Thank you for the team's efforts to make improvements to the article. Based on the reviewers' considerations, the article has, at some point, increased. However, the team has to improve on a few more points. Hopefully team are willing to do it.

Please follow the following revision instructions: #Reviewer 2:

- 1. The abstract mentions that 80% of students demonstrated improvement, but it would be valuable to provide information about the initial sample size. Understanding the size of the study group and the characteristics of the participants can help assess the generalizability of the findings. Were there any demographic or academic background variations within the student group?
- 2. The abstract briefly mentions using classroom action research, but it would be beneficial to provide more details about the study design, control groups, and potential sources of bias. Describing the specific actions taken to ensure the validity of the results and control for confounding variables is essential for rigorous scientific reporting.
- 3. It's important to clarify how "learning output" was measured. What specific criteria or assessments were used to evaluate student performance before and after video-based learning? Providing this information is essential for readers to evaluate the study's reliability and the validity of the results.
- 4. The use of interview sheets is mentioned, but the abstract does not delve into the qualitative data analysis process. It's crucial to provide insights into how the interview data were analyzed, such as themes or patterns that emerged. This will help readers understand the qualitative aspects of the study.
- 5. The abstract should briefly touch on the limitations of the study and suggest areas for future research. This can help readers and fellow researchers better understand the scope of the study and its potential for further exploration.

Articles that have been revised and please send them back via this email.

--

Abdeliazim Mustafa Mohamed Editorial Board PALARCH

Bernadetha Nadeak
bernadetha.nadeak@uki.ac.id>
Kepada: Abdeliazim Mustafa Mohamed <editor@palarch.nl>

16 Juli 2020 16.12

Dear

Editor The Journal of Archaeology of Egypt / Egyptology (PALARCH)

Thank you for your response. We will be happy to revise the article according to these instructions.

Bernadetha Nadeak Universitas Kristen Indonesia, Jakarta. Indonesia [Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

Bernadetha Nadeak
bernadetha.nadeak@uki.ac.id>
Kepada: Abdeliazim Mustafa Mohamed <editor@palarch.nl>

11 Agustus 2020 17.54

Dear

Editor The Journal of Archaeology of Egypt / Egyptology (PALARCH)

Thank you for the patience of the PALARCH Editorial Board. We were waiting for the revision of our article.

We have made improvements according to the reviewer's two instructions. We will be happy if there are still points that need further improvement in the article.

The revised Article is Attached.

Best Regards,

Bernadetha Nadeak Universitas Kristen Indonesia, Jakarta. Indonesia

Revision2_PALARCH_Article_Bernadetha.doc
678K



ACCEPTANCE LETTER

1 pesan

Abdeliazim Mustafa Mohamed <editor@palarch.nl> Kepada: Bernadetha Nadeak <bernadetha.nadeak@uki.ac.id> 19 September 2020 11.53

Dear Author(s) Bernadetha Nadeak & Lamhot Naibaho

Warm Greetings!

ACCEPTANCE LETTER

It's a great pleasure to inform you that, after the peer review process, the "*Video-Based Learning on Improving Students' Learning Output*" team article has been accepted for publication in the PALARCH Regular Issue 2020. Please make a payment publication fee.

Thank you for submitting the paper to this journal. We hope to receive it in the future too.

Abdeliazim Mustafa Mohamed Editorial Board PALARCH

LoA_PALARCH_Bernadetha.pdf