

Wysyłanie wiadomości e-mail: Review_form

📎 5 ✓ 📧

ⓘ This message was sent with High importance.



Janina Sawicka <janina_sawicka@sggw.pl>

Thu 3/22/2018 9:30 PM

To: Ktut Silvanita



Review_form.doc

43 KB



do rec_2018.03.20.01.docx

60 KB

2 attachments (103 KB) Download all Save all to OneDrive - Universitas Kristen Indonesia

Dear Silvanita,

In the attachment, article for reviewing, after you can obtain certificate.

With regards, Janina Sawicka

Wiadomość jest gotowa do wysłania wraz z następującymi załącznikami (plikami lub linkami):

Review_form

ⓘ This message was sent with High importance.



Janina Sawicka <janina_sawicka@sggw.pl>

Tue 3/27/2018 6:56 PM

To: Ktut Silvanita

Cc: sekretariat@oeconomia@gmail.com



Dear Silvanita,

Thank you very much for a quick action. You still need certificate?

All the best,

Janina Sawicka

...

Wysyłanie wiadomości e-mail: Review_form

📎 5 ✓ 📧



Ktut Silvanita

Tue 3/27/2018 7:39 PM

To: Janina Sawicka <janina_sawicka@sggw.pl>



Dear Prof J. Sawicka.

You are welcome.

Yes. I would be very happy if I could get the certificate:-))

Kind regards,

Silvanita

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

...

Review questionnaire

ACTA SCIENTIARUM POLONORUM

Oeconomia

Title: Determinants of entrepreneurship development in the opinion of the authorities of rural and semi-urban municipalities in Warmia and Mazury Voivodeship

Please give your opinion on the following fields by marking the proper answer:

1. General value of the work.

- | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| a) It brings new values. | = |
| b) It is a valuable contribution. | V |
| c) Proves the existing theories. | = |
| d) Does not cover the profile of ACTA | = |

2. Methodology

- | | |
|---------------------------|---|
| a) Suitable | = |
| b) Not properly presented | V |
| c) Not suitable | = |

3. Research data

- | | |
|-------------------|---|
| a) Sufficient | V |
| b) Not sufficient | = |

4. Statistical study

- | | |
|---|---|
| a) Dispensable | = |
| b) Adequate | V |
| c) Can be accepted after taking the reviewer's remarks into consideration | = |
| d) Missed although necessary or wrong presumptions | = |

5. Graphical material

- | | |
|--|---|
| a) Quality and quantity suitable | V |
| b) Quality of figure no. not suitable | = |
| c) Needs to be improved | = |
| d) Not suitable | = |

6. Tables and figures

- | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| a) Suitable | = |
| b) Need to be improved | V |
| c) Not suitable or not sufficient | = |

7. Research results interpretation

- | | |
|---|---|
| a) Suitable | V |
| b) Can be accepted after taking the reviewer's remarks into consideration | = |
| c) Not suitable | = |

8. Quoted literature

- | | |
|---|---|
| a) Presented suitably | V |
| b) Presented against the publishing standards for Authors | = |
| c) Not complete, important publications missing | = |
| d) Selected not suitably | = |

9. Units of measure

- | | |
|-------------------------|---|
| a) Suitable (SI system) | V |
| b) Not suitable | = |

10. Abstract

- | | |
|---|---|
| a) Correct | V |
| b) Does not present the core of the paper | = |
| c) Needs a radical change | = |

11. Opinion on the lingual side of the work

- | | | |
|----|----------------------------|---|
| a) | Good | V |
| b) | Needs a little improvement | = |
| c) | Needs a radical change | = |

General opinion on the evaluated paper and suggestions on publishing in ACTA

- | | | |
|----|--|---|
| a) | Very good - should be accepted and published | = |
| b) | Good – should be accepted and published after taking the reviewer’s suggestions into consideration | V |
| c) | Can be accepted after radical change | = |
| d) | Weak – should not be published in ACTA | = |

COMMENTS

Abstract needs a little improvement (see comment in the text)

The article is quite interesting, looking for determinants of the development of entrepreneurship from the opinion of the authority side. However, it would be better if the results are compared with the findings / results of previous studies.

Need additional tables so it is more informative.

Reviewer’s signature

Ttd.

Remarks:

Lecturer in the Master of Management Study Program,
Graduate School,
Universitas Kristen Indonesia (UKI)

Scientific title and name of the reviewer:

Dr. Ir. Ktut Silvanita Mangani, MA