**Volume 2, No. 12 December 2024** p-ISSN 3032-3037 | e-ISSN 3031-5786



# THE INFLUENCE OF *LEADERSHIP-MEMBER EXCHANGE (LMX)*, MOTIVATION AND *CONTINUITY COMMITMENT* ON INCREASING THE WORK PRODUCTIVITY OF STATE VOCATIONAL SCHOOL TEACHERS IN CENTRAL JAKARTA

## Dikson Silitonga<sup>1</sup>, Hotmaulina Sihotang<sup>2</sup>, Erni Murniarti<sup>3</sup>

Universitas Kristen Indonesia Email: <u>diksonpanuturi@gmail.com</u>, <u>hotmaulina.sihotang@uki.ac.id</u>, <u>erni.murniarti@uki.ac.id</u>

#### Abstract

The measure of teachers' effectiveness and efficiency while doing their duties and responsibilities during the learning process is teacher productivity. Despite their location are close to the central of power, close to the source of policies, participate regularly in any competency training, and being equipped with better facilities, the productivity of teachers in Central Jakarta's municipality remains make apprehensive. This case indicates the need for evaluation and efforts to improve teacher's productivity continuously, which at the end will give positive impact the quality of education on the whole. This study aims to analyze the influence of Leadership-Member Exchange (LMX), motivation, and Continuance Commitment both partially and simultaneously on the productivity of public vocational school teachers in Central Jakarta. Data were collected using a questionnaire distributed to 100 public vocational school teachers in Central Jakarta as the sample (respondents). Through a quantitative research method with a multiple regression analysis approach, the results indicate that LMX, motivation, and Continuance Commitment have a positive and significant impact on teacher productivity. Simultaneously, these three variables mutually support, leading to an overall increase in productivity. Based on these findings, it is recommended that schools need to enhance the quality of relationships between leaders and teachers and create a motivating work environment to support the achievement of optimal learning outcomes. This research is expected to provide insights for policymakers in formulating human resource development strategies in the education sector.

**Keywords:** leadership-member exchange, motivation, continuance commitment, work productivity

#### Introduction

In educational institutions, the quality of human resources is one of the most important aspects and requires special attention." The important role of educators "in directing students professionally is supported by Government Regulation of the Republic of Indonesia No. 19/2005 concerning National Education Standards." Article 28 paragraph (1) emphasizes the qualifications of educators including "academic ability, competence as a learning agent, and physical and mental health". In addition, educators

are also expected to be able to realize national education goals. Furthermore, in paragraph (3) it is explained that at the level of primary, secondary, and early childhood education, competence as a learning agent includes (1) Pedagogical competence; (2) Personality competence; (3) Professional competence; and (4) Social competence (Government Regulation No.19, 2005).

In addition to fulfilling the four core competencies in a productive learning program, teachers are also expected to have special characteristics and specific professional qualifications (Yusuf & Mukhadis, 2018). This includes adequate practical skills in all productive fields of study, the ability to structure learning in accordance with the competency needs required by the world of work, as well as the ability to design effective learning in both school and industrial environments, the mastery of these competencies will be able to increase teachers' work productivity (Lailatussaadah, 2015).

In the world of work, many factors can affect the level of work productivity of employees, including the level of discipline, motivation, and "work spirit possessed by employees" themselves, as well as the conditions of the work environment in which they work every day." Disciplined, motivated, and passionate employees will complete their tasks brilliantly and with high efficiency." As a result, the achievement of the company's productivity target can be realized. Puspitadewi explained that work productivity in it refers to the ability to utilize available facilities and infrastructure, realized that it is optimally able to produce the best output and input.(Saleh, M., & Utomo, H., 2018)(Puspitadewi, A., 2019)

According to Arofah, work productivity has two dimensions, namely: (1) effectiveness, which emphasizes the achievement of targets related to quality, quantity, and time; and (2) efficiency, which involves a comparison between the input and the realization of its use or the implementation of the work. In an effort to increase productivity for educators, the government has carried out various initiatives to increase competence, including: Teacher Certification Program, Soft Skill Training, Training, Workshops, Seminars, Reading Teacher Movement (GKM), PTK Teaching/Innovation Activities, Monitoring and Evaluation (Monev) KBM, MGMP, and further studies to S2 with scholarship programs." (Arofah, 2018)(Meilia, D., & Murdiana, R., 2019)

In the realm of education, a teacher is considered a professional when they have carried out their main duties which include not only teaching and educating in the classroom, but also providing guidance and direction in developing students' interests and talents. However, the facts show that the motivation of teacher productivity is still below expectations, and the quality of teachers in Indonesia is still considered low. "The low quality of teachers is not only related to competence and teaching ability, but also to socio-emotional skills".(Gistituati, R., 2020)(Ratna Sari, L., & Rekan, 2022)

Transformation in education and learning is needed today, educators and education systems need motivation, innovation and new steps to make this distance learning process easier. A survey conducted by Kompas at the end of September 2021 showed that although half of the respondents from 34 provinces appreciated the hard work of teachers, there were 40 percent of respondents who still considered that the achievement of teacher

performance needed to be improved. Among them, 27.4 percent of respondents are of the opinion that the quality of teaching methods for teachers in schools from elementary to high school has not improved much in the last five years. In fact, 3.2 percent of respondents stated that there was no improvement in teaching methods, and another 9.7 percent saw a decrease in the quality of teaching.(Darwanto, A., 2021)(Subhi, M., 2020)

The data above is supported by Indonesia's *Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA)* scores, which show that from 2000 to 2022 there has been no significant increase. PISA explained that *Trends in mathematics, reading and science performance in Indonesia* from year to year are graphed as follows:




Figure 1. Trends in Mathematics, Reading and Science Performance in Indonesia Source: PISA, 2023)

Based on data from the results of the annual PISA (*Program for International Student Assessment*) exam, there is a variation in student performance in mathematics and reading subjects from year to year. In 2000, math test results were not available, while the reading score was 371. Then, in 2003, the math score increased dramatically to 360, while the reading score remained at 382. In the following years, there were fluctuations in math and reading scores. In 2006, the math score dropped to 319, but the reading score increased to 393. In 2009, both scores improved, with math scores reaching 371 and reading scores reaching 402. This trend of fluctuations continued in the following years. In 2012, there was an increase in math scores to 375, while reading scores remained stable at 396. In 2015, both scores increased to 386 for math and 397 for reading. However, in 2018, there was a decline in reading scores to 371, while math scores remained stable at 379. And finally, in 2022, math and reading scores reached 391 and 359, respectively. While the Science score shows more significant fluctuations than the other two subjects. The highest score occurred in 2015 (403), while the lowest score was in 2018 (396). There was a significant increase from 2000 to 2015, although there was a decline in 2018.


Table 1. Snapshot of Mathematics, Reading and Science Results for Indonesia (PISA,2022)


Mean performance	Mathematics	Reading	Science
PISA 2000		371	
PISA 2003	360	382*	
PISA 2006	391*	393*	393
PISA 2009	371	402*	383
PISA 2012	375	396*	382
PISA 2015	386*	397*	403
PISA 2018	379*	371*	396'
PISA 2022	366	359	383

Overall, the Science score showed a significant improvement from 2000 to 2015, although it declined in 2018.

Math scores also showed consistent improvement throughout the period, while Reading scores tended to be stable with minimal fluctuations. From this pattern, it can be seen that students' performance in mathematics, reading and science subjects does not show a stable trend from year to year, but experiences significant fluctuations. Jemani, (2023) noted that Indonesia's Human Capital Index (HCI) in 2020 was only 0.54, far below the HCI of Singapore (0.88), Vietnam (0.69), and Malaysia (0.61). In fact, both PISA and HCI results are highly dependent on the quality of educators, even though the welfare of teachers in Indonesia has improved relatively with the enactment of incentives."

Teacher Professional Allowance (TPG), but the increase has not been fully followed by the improvement of the quality of teachers. According to the Director General of GTK, the results of the Teacher Competency Examination (UKG) show that the quality of civil servant teachers is still low, with the average score only in the range of 50s and only 4% achieving a score of 70 or more. Meanwhile, the competence of contract teachers is still below the score of 50. In measuring teacher competence, it is divided into two groups, namely teachers who already have a bachelor's degree (S1) and those who have not in figure 3 are depicted in the blue graph not yet S1 and orange is already S1. Civil servant teachers who already have a bachelor's degree recorded a competency score of 51.43 points. Meanwhile, permanent teachers of the foundation with a bachelor's degree reached a score of 52.82 points, regional honorary teachers (honda) reached a score of 48.21 points, and non-permanent teachers (GTT) reached a score of 49.19 points.(Zamjani, A., & Rekan., 2020)(Dirjen GTK, 2020)





For the group of civil servant teachers who do not have a bachelor's degree, they obtained a competency score of 41.45 points. Meanwhile, permanent teachers of foundations who do not have a bachelor's degree reached a score of 46 points, regional honorary teachers who do not have a bachelor's degree reached a score of 41.92 points, and non-permanent teachers (GTT) who do not have a bachelor's degree obtained a score

of 42.63 points. The teacher competency score map was revealed by the Secretary of the Directorate General of Teachers and Education Personnel (GTK) of the Ministry of Education and Culture, Nunuk Suryani, in the XIII National Scientific Meeting of Teachers (TING) forum.

Empirical facts show that, even though it is close to the center of power, close to policy sources, and even the facilities and infrastructure are relatively more complete than other regions in Indonesia, the productivity of teachers' work in Central Jakarta is still a concern so that it requires continuous evaluation and improvement by administrators and managers of educational institutions. The results of UKG in 2019 show that UKG for the Central Jakarta area is still low, which is only 55.62% on average. Another fact shows that the work productivity of teachers in the implementation of their tasks has not reached the desired expectations, especially in meeting productivity indicators in theory and concept. The indicators of teacher productivity include: (1) teachers' ability to prepare lesson plans, (2) teachers' presence in the implementation of the learning process, (3) teachers' ability to make and develop teaching aids to facilitate learning, and (4) teachers' ability to assess student learning outcomes, including learning tests and final exams. The above conditions also represent the conditions at the State Business and Management Vocational School in Central Jakarta. In terms of vocational education, vocational schools are required to be able to produce skilled workers who have the ability to meet the demands of the business/industrial world, and are able to develop their potential in adopting and adapting to the development of science, technology, and art. This means that teachers with high work productivity are needed. (Tanjung, R., 2022) (Firtianto, A., 2019)(Kuswibowo, T., 2021)(Salinan Permendikbud No.34/2018, 2018)

Labor productivity has a direct effect on the performance of the institution or company, the higher the productivity, the easier it is for the company to achieve its goals, so increasing employee productivity is very important." The relationship between employees and leadership, known as (Kusmiati, S., & Partners., 2022) *Leadership-Member Exchange*, also affects productivity, Bhoki said that the relationship between leaders and members ((Sriatmi, R., 2022)(Bhoki, A., 2015)*Leader Member Exchange*/LMX) has a direct positive impact on productivity. This means that an increase in teachers' perception of the quality of LMX can lead to an increase in their productivity in teaching, in line with Gu & Jiang's opinion that, *"the quality of LMX affect employee' work ethics, productivity, satisfaction and perception" Leadership-Member Exchange* (*LMX*). (Gu, Q., & Jiang, Y., 2015)Gu & Jiang explains that a concept of leadership in an organization focuses on the special relationship that the leader or leader creates with each member or subordinate.

The close relationship between the leadership and his team, reflected in the "positive attitude, loyalty, respect, and high contribution" to the performance results. According to Liden & Maslyn in Andriyani, S., & Associates, *Leader Member Exchange* (*LMX*) grouped into four dimensions, including: Afek (*affect*), Loyalty (*loyalty*), contribution (*contribution*), and aspects of professional awards. The high and low work productivity is influenced by motivation, it is realized that high work motivation will

encourage high work productivity. In their findings, Panjaitan & Rekan explained (Andriyani, S., & Colleagues., 2020) (Panjaitan, R., & Rekan, 2020) "Motivation affects the work productivity of employees at the Subdistrict Office of the Raya District of Simalungun Regency by 0.819%", This means that at the location of the research motivation, it affects the work productivity of employees at the Simalungun Raya Regency District Office up to 0.819%. "Motivation is the driving force that spurs a person or group to achieve a desired goal with passion, drive, and underlying needs. Various efforts have been made by the government in order to increase the motivation of trainings (Danim, S., 2012)soft skills, increasing competence, increasing salaries periodically, granting educator certificate allowances, providing welfare allowances, and selecting outstanding teachers.(Karimulah, N., & Ummah, H., 2022)

Other factors that also affect the high and low work productivity are *Continuance commitment* employees, commitment to the organization will contribute to productivity (Wirawan, 2013). Organizational commitment refers to the level of dedication, loyalty, and identification of employees towards the organization they work for, employees who have a strong commitment to the organization tend to be more productive and help improve the overall performance of the organization. A committed teacher can be recognized by: (1) his desire to remain loyal to the organization, (2) passionate about realizing the organization's vision with hard work, and (3) understanding and accepting certain values and goals(Kumar, A., dkk., 2019) (Fuadi, M., 2014).

## **Research Methods**

The study "adopts a quantitative research approach, which is a type of research that is structured, planned, and systematic clearly from the early stages of research design, including objectives, subjects, objects, data samples, data sources, and methodologies (from data collection to analysis)" (Unaradjan, 2019). The data was collected through the use of questionnaires and there are no specific rules to determine the number of questions in the questionnaire. Data processing is carried out using the SPSS software application."" While the data analysis technique is used with multiple linear regression analysis, which is basically "the study of the relationship between one or more independent variables" with dependent variables. The goal is "to estimate the mean value of the dependent variable based on the known values of the independent variables. "In other words, we are trying to understand how changes in independent variables can affect dependent variables(Ghozali, I. , 2021) (Ghozali, I. , 2021).

# **Results and Discussion Reliability Test**

Based on the output of SPSS Version 29, the results of reliability tests were obtained for the independent variables *of Leadership-Member Exchange, Motivation, and Continuance Commitment,* as well as the dependent variables *of Work Productivity* as follows":

Variabel	Nilai Cronbach's Aplha	Kondisi	Kesimpulan
Leadership-Member	0,725	Nilai Alpha > 0,60	Reliabel
Exchange (X1)			
Motivasi (X2)	0,909	Nilai Alpha > 0,60	Reliabel
Continuance	0,864	Nilai Alpha > 0,60	Reliabel
Commitment (X3)		_	
Produktivitas Kerja (Y)	0,880	Nilai Alpha > 0,60	Reliabel

Sumber : Diolah dari hasil SPSS Tahun 2024

With the deem, "based on the value of *Cronbach's Aplha* each variable is above 0.60, then all variables are Reliable." This means, "the measuring tool used produces the same or almost the same results every time it is used under the same conditions."" So reliable refers to the consistency and stability of measurement results". (Prasetyo, Y., & Wulandari, D., 2023) (Syafrizal, M., & Utami, N., 2021)(Lestari, P., & Kurniawan, F., 2021)(Hendrawan, R., & Yulianti, S., 2023)

#### **Classical Assumption Test**

#### **Normality Test**

In this study, "the normality test was carried out with three events, namely using the "Histogram, *Normal P-Plot*, and *Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test*". Based on the "output of SPSS version 29, the Histogram and Normal P-Plot are obtained as follows": *Histogram* 




Figure 3. Histogram (SPSS Output)

The histogram above is a "graph showing the frequency distribution of the data. The histogram above has a symmetrical bell shape, so the data tends to be normally distributed." "The peak of the histogram is in the middle, with the same frequency decrease on both sides". (Field, A., 2018) (Gelman, A., & Hill, J., 2020)(Hogg, R. V., & Tanis, E. A., 2018)

Normal P-Plot




Figure 4. Normal P.Plot (Source : SPSS Output)"

Normal P-P Plot (*Probability-Probability Plot*) Above is "a graphical tool used to evaluate whether data follows a normal distribution". "The figure above shows that the points on the P-P plot are adjacent to the diagonal line (y = x), this shows that the data follows the normal distribution well."" This is reinforced by the "points on the P-P plot" which depicts a systematic pattern, where on the left side, the points are above the diagonal line, meaning that the data tends to be higher than the normal distribution (positive curtosis)."" While on the right side, the point is below the diagonal line on the right side, the data tends to be lower" (negative curtosis). (Field, A., 2021)(Field, A., 2021)(López, J. A., & Peinado, R. A., 2020)

### Kolmogorov-Smirnov test

Table 2. Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test (Source : SPSS Output)"

			Unstandardize d Residual
N			100
Normal Parameters <sup>a,b</sup>	Mean		.0000000
	Std. Deviation	2.00164812	
Most Extreme Differences	Absolute		.064
	Positive	.060	
	Negative	064	
Test Statistic			.064
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed) <sup>c</sup>			.200 <sup>c</sup>
Monte Carlo Sig. (2-	Sig.	.388	
tailed) <sup>e</sup>	99% Confidence Interval	Lower Bound	.375
		Upper Bound	.400
<ul> <li>a. Test distribution is No</li> <li>b. Calculated from data.</li> <li>c. Lilliefors Significance C</li> <li>d. This is a lower bound</li> <li>e. Lilliefors' method base 2000000.</li> </ul>	orrection.	samples with sta	rting seed

The "Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S) test above is a statistical method used to determine whether a sample of data comes from a specific distribution, usually a normal distribution". Based on the "table above, it can be seen that the value of p-value or Asymp. Sig 0.200 > 0.05." This means that "there is no significant difference between the data to be tested and the standard normal data". This means "rejecting the null hypothesis, which means the data is considered to follow a normal distribution." Thus, "based on the results of the normality test of the three methods above, it can be concluded that all data are at a

normal distribution." (Field, A., 2021) (López, J. A., & Peinado, R. A., 2020)(López, J. A., & Peinado, R. A., 2020)(Field, A., 2021) Multicollinearity Test

To detect "the presence of collinearity between independent variables in the regression model in this study, a multicollinearity test was used, namely by looking at *tolerance value* and *Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) statistics*. The output of SPSS version 29 shows *the collinearity tolerance value* and *Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) statistics* as follows":

Coefficients<sup>a</sup> Standardized Coefficients Unstandardized Coefficients 95.0% Confidence Interval for B Collinearity Statistics Lower Bound Upper Bound В Std. Error Beta Sig. Tolerance VIF Model (Constant) 1.034 .297 3.476 .767 -5.866 7.933 Leadership-Member Exchange .229 .092 .129 2.484 .015 .046 .412 .996 1.005 .218 .070 .201 3.087 .003 .078 .357 1.566 Motivasi .638 Continuance Commitment .799 .072 .723 11.140 <.001 .657 .942 .639 1.564 a. Dependent Variable: Produktivitas Kerja

Table 3. Independent Variable Coefficient (Source : SPSS Output)"

If "*Tolerence Value* below 0.10 or *Variance Inflation Factor (VIF)* Above 10, multicollinearity occurs.""" On the other hand, if *Tolerence Value* above 0.10 or VIF below 10 then there is no multicollinearity." Based on the table above, it can be concluded that":(Field, A., 2021)(López, J. A., & Peinado, R. A., 2020)

Variabel	Tolerance	VIF	Kriteria
X1	0,996	1,005	Tidak terjadi multikolinearitas
X2	0,638	1,566	Tidak terjadi multikolinearitas
Х3	0,639	1,564	Tidak terjadi multikolinearitas

Thus there is no collinearity between independent variables in the regression model."

Autocorrelation Test

To "detect the presence of a correlation between the residual values (errors) of the regression model in time or observation sequence, an autocorrelation test is used."" The autocorrelation test was carried out by comparing the Durbin-Watson statistical value (d) with *the values of d Lower* (dL) and d Upper (dU) in the Durbin-Watson table. The output of SPSS version 29 shows" :

Table 4."Durbin-Watson Statistical Value (Source : SPSS Output)"

				M	odel Summar	y <sup>b</sup>				
						Cha	nge Statistic	s		
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate	R Square Change	F Change	df1	df2	Sig. F Change	Durbin- Watson
1	.861 <sup>a</sup>	.741	.733	2.033	.741	91.642	3	96	<.001	1.992

The table above shows that the Durbin-Watson statistical value (d) for n = 100 with k = 4 (4 variables, i.e. 3 independent variables and 1 dependent variable) is 1.992."" If

you look at the Durbin-Watson table, the lower bound value (dL=d lower) is 1.5922 and the upper bound value (dU=d upper) is 1.7582."" This means that the value of d is between dU and 2 (dU < d < 2) that is (1.7582<1,992<2), shows the absence of positive or negative autocorrelation." (López, J. A., & Peinado, R. A., 2020) (Field, A., 2021)


## **Heteroscedasticity Test**

In this study, "the heteroscenidity test is carried out in two ways, namely by looking at *the scatterplot* and the *glacier test*."

## Scatterplot

The output of SPSS version 29 "shows the *Scatterplot* image between the independent variable and the dependent variable as follows":

Figure 5. Scatterplot Between Independent Variables and Dependent Variables (Source



To understand the relationship between independent and dependent variables in this study *Scatterplot*. Each point on the scatterplot represents a single observation with a position based on the values of the independent variable (x-axis) and the dependent variable (y-axis). Based on the image above, it is clear that the dots are scattered randomly (irregularly). That is, the residual variance does not show a specific pattern, it indicates that there is no heteroscedasticity. (Field, A., 2021) (García, J., & Vargas, F., 2020) *Glacier Test* 

In addition to using *Scatterplot*, "To detect heteroscedasticity in the regression model in this study, the Glacier Test was also used." "If the p-value of the test is less than 0.05, the null hypothesis is rejected, indicating the presence of heteroscedasticity." Conversely, "if the p-value is greater than 0.05, there is no evidence to reject the null hypothesis, and the residual variance is considered constant. That is, there is no heteroscedasticity". Using Abs\_RES as the dependent variable, the output of SPSS version 29 produces the following independent variable coefficients: (Montgomery, D. C., Peck, E. A., & Vining, G. G., 2021) (Kutner, M. H., Nachtsheim, C. J., & Neter, J., 2020) (Zhou, H., & Huang, M., 2021)

# Table 5. Coefficient"Independent variable using Abs\_RES as dependent variable (*Source:* SPSS output)"

		Coeffi	cients <sup>a</sup>			
		Unstandardize	d Coefficients	Standardized Coefficients		
Model		В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
1	(Constant)	.900	2.243		.401	.689
	Leadership-Member Exchange	.048	.059	.083	.813	.418
	Motivasi	.016	.045	.046	.361	.719
	Continuance Commitment	032	.046	087	688	.493

Based on the table above, it can be concluded that:

Variabel	Signifikansi (Nilai p)	Keadaan	Kesimpulan
Leadership-Member	0,418	>0,05	Tidak terjadi
Exchange (X1)			heteroskedastisitas
Motivasi (X2)	0,719	>0,05	Tidak terjadi
			heteroskedastisitas
Continuance	0,493	> 0,05	Tidak terjadi
Commitment (X2)			heteroskedastisitas

Thus, the Glacier Test above shows that the data on all independent variables do not have heteroscedasticity."

## Hypothesis Test and Research Results

## Test T

The t-test is "one of the statistical techniques used to determine whether an independent variable has a significant influence on a dependent variable". "The results of the t-test will tell us whether the mean difference between the two groups is significant or just a coincidence". "By using SPSS Series 19, the following results were obtained": (Alan G. Wilson, 2020) (Joseph D. Williams, 2022)(Alan Agresti dan Barbara Finlay, 2018)(John D. Smith, 2022)

Table 6." Independent Variable Coefficient (Source : SPSS Output)"

				Coefficie	ents <sup>a</sup>					
Model		Unstandardize B	d Coefficients Std. Error	Standardized Coefficients Beta	t	Sig.	95.0% Confiden Lower Bound	ce Interval for B Upper Bound	Collinearity Tolerance	Statistics VIF
1	(Constant)	1.034	3.476		.297	.767	-5.866	7.933		
	Leadership-Member Exchange	.229	.092	.129	2.484	.015	.046	.412	.996	1.005
	Motivasi	.218	.070	.201	3.087	.003	.078	.357	.638	1.566
	Continuance Commitment	.799	.072	.723	11.140	<.001	.657	.942	.639	1.564

With "n= 100, *degree of freedom* (df) = n-1 (4-1) with a significance of 5%, the t-value of the table is obtained as 1.661."" When comparing the calculated t values as depicted in the table above, it can be concluded as follows":

Variabel	t hitung	t tabel	Keterangan	Signifikansi	Keterangan	Kesimpulan
X1	2,484	1,661	t hit >t tabel	0,015	< 0,05	Signifikan
X2	3,087	1,661	t hit >t tabel	0,003	< 0,05	Signifikan
X3	11,140	1,661	t hit >t tabel	<0,001	< 0,05	Signifikan

Since "all independent variables have t counts > t tables, then the null hypothesis of all three variables is rejected."" This means "there is a significant difference between the two groups or populations that have been tested."(Field, A., 2021) (Sukardi, S., 2021)

# Test F

The F test is "one of the statistical methods used to compare the variance of two or more groups."" This test is often used in variance analysis (*ANOVA*) to determine whether there is a significant difference between the averages of several groups". "Ouput SPPS Series 19 shows" :(Richard A. Johnson dan Dean W. Wichern, 2021)(Michael J. Smith, 2022)

		А	NOVA <sup>a</sup>			
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	1135.937	3	378.646	91.642	<.001
	Residual	396.653	96	4.132		
	Total	1532.590	99			


Table 7." Anova (Source : SPSS Output)"

Based on the "table above, f calculates 91.642 with "significance < 0.001." With "n = 100, *degree of freedom* (df) = n-1 (4-1), t table is 2,700."" Thus f counts > f table (91,642 > 2,700), and its significance < 0.05."" This means **that HO** is rejected, and **H1** is accepted."

# **Coefficient of Determination Test**

Based on the "determination coefficient test using SPSS version 29, the following outputs are obtained":

Table 8." Koesfisien Determination (Source : SPSS Output)"



The table above "indicates that the value of *Adjusted R-Square* is 0.733 (73.3%). This means that the independent variable (*Leadership-Member Exchange*, Motivation, and *Continuance Commitment*) contributes to the teacher's work productivity by 73.3%."" The remaining 26.7% was influenced by other variables outside of this study."

# **Discussion of Research Results**

# The Partial Effect of *Leadership-Member Exchange (LMX)* on Teacher Work Productivity

Based on the "results of the t test on the variable *Leadership-Member Exchange* The result was obtained that t calculated > t table and the significance < 0.5."" It means to refuse **HO** and receive **H1.''**"This shows that the quality of the relationship between the leader and the team members (*Leader-Member Exchange*) has a significant positive influence on the work productivity of State Vocational School teachers in the Central Jakarta Madya City."" Good relationships encourage teachers to "innovate" and "think creatively". "This opinion is strengthened by the results of research conducted Aggarwal, A., et al. who show that the relationship *LMX* high-quality ones increase teachers' job satisfaction, commitment, and productivity." According to Kim, H., & Lee, J's research, the better the LMX, the higher the work productivity demonstrated by

employees.(Aggarwal, A., Chand, P. K., Jhamb, D., & Mittal, A., 2020)(Kim, H., & Lee, J, 2022)

More assertively and specifically "Pérez, C., & Martínez, A in their research that says that positive LMX has a significant effect on teacher productivity in secondary schools, which then highlights the importance of a good relationship between teachers and leaders". "For conditions in Indonesia, Nadia, M. F., & Rakhmawati, D in their research showed almost the same results, namely that a positive LMX can increase the work productivity of teachers in Indonesia". (Pérez, C., & Martínez, A., 2022)(Nadia, M. F., & Rakhmawati, D., 2020)

## The Partial Effect of Motivation on Teachers' Work Productivity

Results of "t test on variables *Motivation* shows that t calculates > t table and the significance < 0.5."" It means to refuse **HO** and receive **H1.**""This shows that motivation has a significant positive influence on the work productivity of State Vocational School teachers in the Madya City, Central Jakarta."" This opinion is supported by the results of research by Hassan, S., & Ahmed, F. which reveals that motivation plays an important role in increasing employee productivity. "The results of another study conducted by Khan, M. A., & Khan, S. A. found that intrinsic and extrinsic motivation significantly affect work productivity". "Furthermore, the results of the research (Hassan, S., & Ahmed, F., 2020)(Khan, M. A., & Khan, S. A., 2021)Abdul Razak, N., & Ramli, R. reveals how motivation affects teaching effectiveness and teacher productivity in secondary schools."(Abdul Razak, N., & Ramli, R., 2019)

For schools in Indonesia, "the results of Sihombing's research revealed that teachers' motivation has a positive and significant influence on their work productivity". "Some of the motivational factors identified in this study include rewards, work environment, and support from management." (Sihombing, A., 2017)

## The Partial Effect of Continuity Commitment on Teacher Work Productivity

Based on the 't-test results' on the variables *Continuance Commitment* shows that t calculates > t table and the significance < 0.5." It means to refuse **HO** and receive **H1**."This shows that *Continuance Commitment* has a significant positive influence on the work productivity of State Vocational School teachers in the Central Jakarta Madya City."" This opinion is supported by the results of Saeed and Kaur's research, and the research of Dawson, J.F., & Hsu,C. which emphasizes the importance of employee commitment in improving work productivity and provides insights for organizations to implement practices that support employee commitment."(Saeed, M., & Kaur, S., 2021) (Dawson, J. F., & Hsu, C., 2022)

To "better understand the influence of *Continuance Commitment* on work productivity, Meyer and Allen in their research discuss in depth the commitment of employees in the workplace, including its various dimensions and implications for work productivity". By understanding and managing the "commitment dimension," "organizations can improve employee productivity and well-being." Especially in the education sector, the results of Ali and Khan's research emphasize the importance of continuous commitment in increasing work productivity in the education sector."" By

managing the factors that affect commitment, educational institutions can create a more productive and fulfilling environment for teachers and staff."(Meyer, J. P., & Allen, N. J., 2020)(Ali, A., & Khan, M. A., 2023)

# The Simultaneous Influence of Leadership-Member Exchange, Teacher Motivation, and Continuity Commitment on Teacher Work Productivity.

The results of the "F (Anova) test show that f calculates > f table and its significance < 0.05."" This means that **HO** is rejected, and **H1** is accepted."" This shows that *Leadership-Member Exchange*, Motivation, and *Continuity Commitment* have a positive influence simultaneously on the work productivity of State Vocational School teachers in the Madya City of Central Jakarta." This "shows that when the quality of the relationship between leaders and team members (LMX) is high, and the ongoing motivation and commitment are also strong, teachers' work productivity tends to increase."

The above opinion "supports the results of the research of Ali, F., & Khan, M. who concluded that LMX, motivation, and continuous commitment have a simultaneous positive influence on work productivity". The researcher "recommends "increasing LMX and motivation as a strategy to improve work outcomes in the public sector."" According to Buchanan and Huczynski, factors such as LMX, motivation, and ongoing commitment interact to influence work productivity. "LMX, which deals with 'the quality of the relationship between the leader and the team members.' Motivation is very influential with "performance and productivity outcomes" in an organization. While "continuous commitment can influence employees' decisions to stay within the organization and contribute to productivity".(Ali, F., & Khan, M, 2023)(Buchanan, D. A., & Huczynski, A., 2019)(Buchanan, D. A., & Huczynski, A., 2019)

## Conclusion

Based on the "results of the research and discussion above, it can be concluded as follows": 1. Leadership-Member Exchange (LMX) "partially has a positive effect on the work productivity of State Vocational School teachers in the Central Jakarta City."" When teachers feel valued and supported by their leaders, they tend to be more productive in carrying out their duties."" This is evidenced by the highest standard deviation of this variable contributed by the teacher's contribution indicator and the respect indicator by superiors." 2. Motivation "partially has a positive effect on the work productivity of State Vocational School teachers in the Central Jakarta Madya City."" Teachers who are highly motivated, both internally and externally, will demonstrate better work productivity, both in teaching and interacting with students and in other teacher tasks."" The highest standard deviation of this variable is contributed by the teacher's work performance indicator, followed by the indicator of recognition of teacher performance." 3. Continuance Commitment "partial measures have a positive effect on the work productivity of State Vocational School teachers in the Central Jakarta Metropolitan City."" Teachers who feel tied to the institution tend to have stronger loyalty and contribute more to productivity."" This proves that the highest standard deviation of this variable is in the indicator of feeling lost if leaving the organization." 4. Leadership-

*Member Exchange (LMX),* "Teacher Motivation, and *Continuity Commitment* simultaneously have a positive effect on the work productivity of State Vocational School teachers in the Central Jakarta Metropolitan City.""*LMX,* motivation, and continuous commitment reinforce each other, which in turn together has an impact on increased work productivity."" Therefore, managing a good relationship between leaders and teachers, as well as providing the right motivation, is very important to create a productive work environment.

#### **Bibliography**

- Abdul Razak, N., & Ramli, R. (2019). The Influence of Motivation on the Teaching Effectiveness of High School Teachers in Malaysia. *International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change*, 8(3), 45-57.
- Achua, Christopher F. D. B. A, Robert N. Lussier. (2013). *Effective Leadership, 5e.* Canada: South Western: Cengage Learning.
- Achua, Christopher F. D. B. A, Robert N. Lussier. (2013). *Effective Leadership*, 5e. Canada: South Western: Cengage Learning.
- Aggarwal, A., Chand, P. K., Jhamb, D., & Mittal, A. (2020). The Impact of High-Quality Leader-Member Exchange on Job Satisfaction, Commitment, and Productivity of Teachers. *Journal* of Educational Administration, 58(3), 341-356.
- Agresti, A. (2018). Statistical Methods for the Social Sciences (5th ed.). London: Pearson.
- Alan Agresti and Barbara Finlay. (2018). *Statistical Methods for the Social Sciences*. Boston, MA: Pearson.
- Alan G. Wilson. (2020). Applied Statistics for Business and Management. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.
- Ali, A., & Khan, M. A. (2023). Sustainable Commitment and Employee Productivity: A Study in the Education Sector. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 61(2), 234-248.
- Ali, F., & Khan, M. (2023). LMX, Employee Motivation, and Commitment: Their Impact on Productivity in Public Sector Organizations. LMX, Employee Motivation, and Commitment: Their Impact on Productivity in Public Sector Organizations.
- Ali, R., & Awan, A. (2020). Motivation and Job Performance: A Study of Teachers in Private Schools. *Journal of Educational Research*, 13(2), 15-30.
- Arofah, E. F. (2018). Productivity of Teachers in Educational Institutions. *Tawadhu Journal*, 2, 572-587.
- Bandalos, D. L. (2021). *Measurement Theory and Applications for the Social Science*. New Haven: Guilford Press.
- Bhoki, H. (2015). The Effect of Leadership Member Exchange (LMX) and Job Satisfaction on Gury Productivity in Catholic Private Elementary Schools in the Central Jakarta area. *Journal of UNJ*, 1127-1137. Taken back from https://journal.unj.ac.id/unj/index.php/jmp/article/view/1862/1479
- Bryman, A. (2019). The shifting foundations of social research methodology: The case of mixed methods. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*, 119-133.
- Buchanan, D. A., & Huczynski, A. (2019). Organizational Behaviour (10th Edition). London, England: Pearson.
- Budi, S., & Santoso, A. (2023). Test Validity and Reliability in Research Using Significance Values. *Journal of Educational Research*, 7(2), 95-102.

- Colquitt, Jason A., Jeffery A. LePine, and Michael J. Wesson. (2011). *Organizational Behavior*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2018). *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches.* Los Angeles: SAGE Publications.
- Danim, S. (2012). *Leadership Motivation and Group Effectiveness, Edition.* 2. Jakarta: PT Rineka Cipta Utama.
- Davis, M., & Thomas, H. (2021). Novice Teachers and Their Adaptation to Teaching: A Focus on Motivational Factors. *Educational Psychology Review*, 33(2), 345-367.
- Dawson, J. F., & Hsu, C. (2022). The Influence of Organizational Commitment on Employee Productivity: Evidence from the Retail Sector. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 64, 102-114.
- Dawson, J. F., & Hsu, C. (2022). The Influence of Organizational Commitment on Employee Productivity: Evidence from the Retail Sector. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 64, 102-114.
- Directorate General of Budget of the Ministry of Finance. (2022). *Questioning the Education Budget*. Taken back from the Directorate General of Budget of the Ministry of Finance: https://anggaran.kemenkeu.go.id/in/post/menyoal-anggaran-pendidikan
- Elliott, S. N., & Resh, M. (2020). The Impact of Teacher Experience on Professional Identity and Collaboration Among Middle-Aged Educators. *Educational Research and Reviews*, 15(8), 485-495.
- Emily J. Davis. (2023). Understanding Descriptive Statistics: Mean and Standard Deviation. *International Journal of Statistics and Applications*, 115-125.
- Field, A. . (2018). Discovering Statistics Using IBM SPSS Statistics (5th ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Field, A. . (2021). Discovering Statistics Using IBM SPSS Statistics. London: Sage Publications.
- Fisher, D., & Frey, N. (2019). Professional Learning and the Role of Teacher Education in Enhancing Productivity. *Educational Leadership*, 77(5), 32-36.
- Fives, H., & Tschannen-Moran, M. (2023). The Dual Role of Experienced Teachers: Mentors and Learners in a Rapidly Changing Educational Environment. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 115(1), 24-39.
- García, J., & Vargas, F. (2020). Understanding the Use of Scatterplots in Statistical Analysis. *Journal of Statistical Education*, 28(3), 150-157.
- Gelman, A., & Hill, J. . (2020). *Data Analysis Using Regression and Multilevel/Hierarchical Models*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- George S. Watson. (2020). *Statistics for Research: With a Guide to SPSS*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Gravetter, F. J., & Wallnau, L.B. (2020). *Statistics for The Behavioral Sciences*. Boston: Cengage Learning.
- Habidhi, A. (2017). The Influence of Teachers' Professionalism and Work Motivation on Effective Learning at MTS Plus Walisoingo, North Lampung Regency. Masters thesis, . UIN Raden Intan Lampung.
- Hadi Panjaitan, Mark G. Maffett, Jopinus Saragih, Hendri Mayanta Tarigan, and Ben Setiawan. (2019). The Influence Of Motivation On Work Productivity: Of Employees In The Sub-District Raya Office Simalungun District. *International Conference on Health Science, Green Economics, Educational Review and Technology 2019* (pp. 303-316). UNEFA Conference. Taken back from https://unefaconference.org/

- Hall, C., & Noyes, A. (2019). Veteran Teachers in the Classroom: Balancing Experience and Innovation. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 85, 1-11.
- Handayani, S., & Hidayati, R. (2023). Validity of Measurement Instruments in Research: Theory and Application. *Journal of Educational Research*, 7(1), 45-54.
- Handoko, T. (2011). Personnel and Human Resource Management, Second Edition, Eleventh Edition. Yogyakarta: BPFE.
- Harris, A., & Jones, M. (2020). Older Teachers and Technological Adaptation: Balancing Experience and Change. *Journal of Educational Technology & Society*, 23(1), 112-124.
- Hassan, S., & Ahmed, F. (2020). Role of Motivation in Enhancing Employee Productivity: Evidence from the IT Sector. *Journal of Business Economics and Management*, 21(4), 1031-1043.
- Hausman, D. M. (2023). *The Inexact and Separate Science of Economics*. Cambridge-English: Cambridge University Press.
- Hendrawan, R., & Yulianti, S. (2023). Reliability and Validity in Instrument Development: A Theoretical Review. Scientific Journal of Education and Learning, 9(2), 95-102.
- Hidayati, N., & Firmansyah, I. (2021). Validity Analysis with Statistical Significance Approach. *Journal of Education and Culture*, 9(1), 110-118.
- Hogg, R. V., & Tanis, E. A. (2018). Probability and Statistical Inference. Journal of Statistics Education,, 26(1), 15-15.
- Huang, Y., & Hsu, C. (2021). The Impact of Advanced Degrees on Teacher Effectiveness and Professional Development in Education. *Journal of Educational Research*, 114(3), 251-265.
- Husni, M., & Rachmawati, D. (2021). Validity Test in Research: Comparing r Count and r Table. *Journal of Education and Culture*, *10*(3), 112-120.
- Iskandar, H., & Widiastuti, E. (2023). Measuring the Validity of Variables in Social Research. *Scientific Journal of Education and Learning*, *6*(1), 550-63.
- Jennifer A. Moon. (2020). *The Reflective Teacher: A Guide to Professional Development*. Singapore: Taylor & Francis.
- John D. Smith. (2022). Understanding the t-test and its Applications. *International Journal of Statistics and Applications*.
- Johnson, A. J., & Lee, M. (2023). Understanding Gender Differences in Teacher Relationships: Insights from Leadership-Member Exchange Theory. *Educational Psychology Review*, 35(1), 45-62.
- Johnson, M. (2020). The Role of Technology in Educational Facilities: Enhancing Learning Environments. Springer.
- Jones, M. C., & McKay, D. (2019). Hypothesis Testing in Statistics: Importance and Applications. . *Journal of Statistical Analysis*, 123-145.
- Jones, M. E., & Rudd, R. D. (2021). Understanding the Influence of Gender on Teacher Commitment and Classroom Dynamics. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 113(2), 245-260.
- Joseph D. Williams. (2022). Using the t-test to Determine Differences in Means. *Journal of Statistical Education*.
- Kaplan, D. (2020). *The SAGE Handbook of Quantitative Methodology for the Social Sciences* (Second Edition). London: SAGE Publications.
- Khan, A. M., & Ahmad, M. (2020). Statistical Analysis of Normality: A Review. *Journal of Statistics and Management Systems*, 23(5), 825-841.

1441

- Khan, M. A., & Khan, S. A. (2021). Impact of Motivation on Employee Productivity: A Study of the Banking Sector in Pakistan. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 16(5), 1-11.
- Khasanah, U. (2023). The Impact of Teacher Age and Experience on Teaching Practices and Student Engagement in the Classroom. *Journal of Educational Studies*, *19*(1), 45-60.
- Kim, H., & Lee, J. (2022). The Influence of Leader-Member Exchange on Employee Performance: The Mediating Role of Work Engagement. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 33(4), 787-806.
- Kreitner, R., & Kinicki, A. (2022). *Organizational Behavior*. New York: McGraw-Hill Education.
- Kutner, M. H., Nachtsheim, C. J., & Neter, J. (2020). *Applied Linear Regression Models*. New York: McGraw-Hill Education.
- Laura J. Mendez, Richard F. Knight. (2023). Experienced Teachers as Mentors: Perspectives on Teacher Development. *Journal of Teacher Education*.
- Lestari, P., & Kurniawan, F. (2021). Reliability Analysis of Measuring Instruments in Social Research. *Journal of Education and Culture*, *10*(3), 115-123.
- Lestari, W., & Hartono, Y. (2020). Teacher Qualifications and Their Impact on Pedagogical Practices in Vocational Education. *Journal of Vocational Education & Training*, 72(3), 341-357.
- Liden, R. C., & Maslyn, J. M. (1998). Multidimensionality of leader-member exchange: An empirical assessment through scale de velopment. *Journal of Management*, *24*, 43–72.
- Liden, R. C., & Maslyn, J. M. (1998). Multidimensionality of leader-member exchange: An empirical assessment through scale de velopment. *Journal of Management*, *24*, 43–72.
- Lisa M. Roberts. (2022). Understanding Mean and Standard Deviation in Data Analysis. International Journal of Statistics and Applications, 101-112.
- Kompas R&D. (2021). Quality Education Starts from Teacher Competence. Retaken from Metropolitan: https://www.kompas.id/baca/metro/2021/10/03/pendidikan-berkualitasdimulai-dari-kompetensi-guru
- Kompas R&D. (2021). Quality Education Starts from Teacher Competence. Retaken from Metropolitan: https://www.kompas.id/baca/metro/2021/10/03/pendidikan-berkualitasdimulai-dari-kompetensi-guru
- López, J. A., & Peinado, R. A. (2020). The Importance of Normality in Statistical Procedures. *Journal of Statistical Theory and Applications*, 19(4), 333-347.
- Luthans, F. (2005). 10th Organizational Behavior. Indonesian Edition. Yogyakarta: Andi.
- Luthans, F. (2005). 10th Organizational Behavior. Indonesian Edition. Yogyakarta: Andi.
- Martinez, J. A., & García, R. (2020). The Mentoring Role of Veteran Teachers: Insights and Challenges in a Digital Age. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 71(3), 243-258.
- Martini, C. (2015). Expertise and institutional design in economic committees. *Journal of Economic Methodology*.
- Mertens, D. M. (2020). *Research Methods in Education and Psychology: Integrating Diversity With Quantitative & Qualitative Approaches.* Thousand Oaks, California: SAGE Publication, Inc.
- Meyer, J. P., & Allen, N. J. (2020). Commitment in the Workplace: Theory, Research, and Application. *Academy of Management Annals*, 14(1), 48-77.
- Michael J. Smith. (2022). Using ANOVA and the F-Test to Analyze Variances. *International Journal of Statistics and Applications*, 45-58.

- Miller, R., & Wong, A. (2021). The Influence of Teacher Experience on Leadership-Member Exchange and Its Impact on Motivation and Commitment. *Educational Management Administration & Leadership*, 49(2), 215-230.
- Montgomery, D. C., & Runger, G. C. (2020). *Applied Statistics and Probability for Engineers* (7th ed.). United States of America: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Montgomery, D. C., Peck, E. A., & Vining, G. G. (2021). *Introduction to Linear Regression Analysis.* Hoboken, NJ.: Wiley.
- Montgomery, D. C., Peck, E. A., & Vining, G. G. (2021). *Introduction to Linear Regression Analysis.* Hoboken, NJ.: Wiley.
- Nadia, M. F., & Rakhmawati, D. (2020). The Effect of Leader-Member Exchange on Teacher Work Productivity in Indonesia. *Journal of Equatorial Education and Learning*, 9(2), 155-166.
- Ng, C. S. F., & Nicholas, H. (2023). Motivation and Adaptability of New Teachers: Insights from Early Career Experiences. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 74(1), 55-69.
- Nuraini, S., & Hartono, R. (2023). Validity Testing Method Using Table r Values and r Calculations. *Scientific Journal of Education and Learning*, 5(1), 40-50.
- Nurlaili, D., & Ahmad, R. (2022). Test of Validity and Reliability of Research Instruments in the Field of Education. *Journal of Educational Research*, 6(1), 25-34.
- Government Regulation of the Republic of Indonesia Number 19. (2005). Government Regulation of the Republic of Indonesia Number 19 of 2005 concerning National Standards of Education. Taken back from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Research and Technology: https://jdih.kemdikbud.go.id/detail\_peraturan?main=1431
- Government Regulation of the Republic of Indonesia Number 19. (2005). Government Regulation of the Republic of Indonesia Number 19 of 2005 concerning National Standards of Education. Taken back from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Research and Technology: https://jdih.kemdikbud.go.id/detail\_peraturan?main=1431
- Pérez, C., & Martínez, A. (2022). The Impact of Leader-Member Exchange on Teacher Productivity: Evidence from Secondary Schools. *International Journal of Educational Management*, 36(3), 501-515.
- Pramudianto, H., & Sari, N. (2021). Validity Analysis in Research Instruments: A Theoretical and Practical Review. *Journal of Education and Culture*, *10*(1), 80-88.
- Prasetyo, Y., & Wulandari, D. (2023). Reliability Analysis Using Cronbach's Alpha: An Approach in Social Research. *Scientific Journal of Education and Learning*, 6(3), 145-152.
- Pratiwi, A., & Utami, W. (2021). Young Teachers' Adaptability and Its Impact on Classroom Management. *Journal of Education and Practice*, *12*(5), 33-42.
- Rachmawati, Y., & Utami, F. (2019). Advanced Degrees and Teacher Motivation: A Study on Curriculum Development and Research Engagement. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 10(3), 10-19.
- Richard A. Johnson and Dean W. Wichern. (2021). *Applied Multivariate Statistical Analysis*. Boston, MA: Pearson.
- Richard L. Gorsuch. (2021). Descriptive Statistics: Mean and Standard Deviation. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 215-230.
- S. Anil Kumar, Chetali Agarwal, V.Sundareshan. (2019, October). Organizational Commitment and Its Impact Productivity. *International Journal of Engineering Research And Management* (*IJERM*), 06(10), 5-12. Taken back from https://www.ijerm.com/download\_data/IJERM0610002.pdf

- S. Anil Kumar, Chetali Agarwal, V.Sundareshan. (2019, October). Organizational Commitment and Its Impact Productivity. *International Journal of Engineering Research And Management* (*IJERM*), 06(10), 5-12. Taken back from https://www.ijerm.com/download\_data/IJERM0610002.pdf
- Saeed, M., & Kaur, S. (2021). The Role of Employee Commitment in Enhancing Work Productivity: A Review. International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management, 70(5), 1169-1185.
- Sarah A. J. Bell, John Hattie. (2019). Teacher Experience and Student Outcomes: A Meta-Analysis. *Educational Psychology Review*.
- Sari, R. F., & Mulyadi, H. (2021). The Impact of Teacher Education Level on Teaching Performance: A Study in Vocational High Schools. *Journal of Vocational Education & Training*, 73(1), 45-62.
- Sari, R. F., & Susanto, H. (2019). Understanding Teacher Competence: The Importance of a Bachelor's Degree in Vocational Education. *Journal of Education and Learning*, 13(4), 553-563.
- Sedarmayanti. (2009). Human Resources and Work Productivity. Bandung: CV. Mandar Maju.

Sedarmayanti. (2009). Human Resources and Work Productivity. Bandung: CV. Mandar Maju.

- Sharma, P., & Singh, K. (2020). Examining the Role of Teacher Experience in Shaping Professional Relationships and Commitment. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 102.
- Shen, J., & Wang, Y. (2021). Gender Differences in Teacher Commitment: The Role of Collaboration and Support in Schools. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 110.
- Sholehati, S., & Hadijah, S. (2019). The Effect of Leader-Member Exchange on Employee Productivity: A Study in the Educational Sector. *Journal of Management and Entrepreneurship*, 21(1), 53-62.
- Sihombing, A. (2017). The Influence of Motivation on Teacher Work Productivity. *Journal of Education and Learning*, *3*(1), 1-12.
- Sihombing, A. (2017). The Influence of Motivation on Teacher Work Productivity. *Journal of Education and Learning*, *3*(1), 1-12.
- Simamora, H. (2004). Human Resource Management. 3rd Edition. Yogyakarta: STI YKPN.
- Simamora, H. (2004). Human Resource Management. 3rd Edition. Yogyakarta: STI YKPN.
- Smith, J. (2021). *Designing Educational Facilities: For Optimal Learning Environments*. London: Routledge.
- Smith, J. A., & Houghton, R. J. (2020). Gender and Teacher Productivity: The Role of Leadership-Member Exchange and Motivation. *Educational Research Review*, 15(3), 1-15.
- Smith, L. L., & Ray, D. (2019). The Role of Gender in Teacher Leadership: A Study of Teacher-Student Interactions. *Educational Research and Reviews*, 14(6), 227-239.
- Stephen P. Robbins and Timothy A. Judge. (2017). *Organizational Behavior*. Jakarta: Salemba Four.
- Stephen P. Robbins and Timothy A. Judge. (2017). Organizational Behavior. Jakarta: Salemba Four.
- Stern, J., & Tannock, P. (2023). Age, Experience, and Resistance to Change in Education: Perspectives from Senior Teachers. *Educational Research and Reviews*, 18(1), 12-25.
- Sukardi, S. (2021). Analysis of Variance and Its Applications in Social Sciences. *International Journal of Quantitative Research and Modeling*, *3*(4), 341-350.
- Sukardi, S. (2021). Educational Research Methodology. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta.

- Sullivan, A., & Borko, H. (2021). Navigating Change: The Role of Experienced Teachers in Adapting to New Technologies. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 69(2), 307-321.
- Sullivan, A., & Murphy, J. (2020). Female Educators and Workplace Collaboration: Understanding Their Commitment to Teaching. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 58(3), 345-359.
- Syafrizal, M., & Utami, N. (2021). Cronbach's Alpha as an Indicator of Reliability in Quantitative Research. *Journal of Education and Culture*, *9*(1), 80-88.

Thomas G. Baker. (2021). Mentoring and Collaboration: A Guide for Educators.

- Thomas, R., & Spiller, L. (2022). Gender and Teacher Identity: The Impact on Classroom Dynamics and Student Relationship. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 109.
- Wang, M., & Zhang, Q. (2022). The Role of Advanced Education in Teacher Professional Development and Mentorship. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 114.
- Widodo, A., & Sutrisno, S. (2021). The Role of Teacher Education Level in Enhancing Teaching Quality in Vocational Schools. *International Journal of Instruction*, 14(2), 87-104.
- Widyastuti, E., & Kurniawan, F. (2022). Significance in the Validity Test of Research Instruments. *Scientific Journal of Education and Learning*, 5(3), 150-158.
- Wirawan. (2013). Leadership: Theory, Psychology, Organizational Behavior, Applications and Research. Jakarta: PT. King Grafindo Persada.
- Yen, C. J., & Chiu, C. H. (2022). The Role of Young Teachers in Educational Reform: Challenges and Opportunities. Asia Pacific Education Review, 23(2), 123-135.
- Zhou, H., & Huang, M. (2021). Heteroskedasticity in Regression Models: Implications and Remedies. *Journal of Applied Statistics*, 48(3), 501-516.
- Zhou, H., & Huang, M. (2021). Heteroskedasticity in Regression Models: Implications and Remedies 48(3), 501-516. *Journal of Applied Statistics*, 48(3), Zhou, H., & Huang, M.

## **Copyright holder:**

Dikson Silitonga<sup>1</sup>, Hotmaulina Sihotang<sup>2</sup>, Erni Murniarti3 (2024)

### **First publication right:**

Advances in Social Humanities Research

#### This article is licensed under:

