

Evaluation of Space Comfort based on Occupant Satisfaction in West Jatinegara Flats Residential Units

by CEK TURNITIN

Submission date: 10-Nov-2023 08:35PM (UTC-0600)

Submission ID: 2224430322

File name: 2621-Article_Text-9261-1-4-20231110.docx (8.22M)

Word count: 6765

Character count: 33624

Evaluation of Space Comfort based on Occupant Satisfaction in West Jatinegara Flats Residential Units

Ulinata¹, Sally Septania Napitupulu², Adinda Garda Merah³, Kristoforus Dandy⁴

¹Architecture Study Program, Faculty of Engineering, Universitas Kristen Indonesia
St. Mayjen Sutoyo No.2 Cawang, Jakarta Timur, DKI Jakarta, Indonesia

²LPPM, Universitas Kristen Indonesia

St. Mayjen Sutoyo No.2 Cawang, Jakarta Timur, DKI Jakarta, Indonesia

Email: ulinata@uki.ac.id, salnapitt.workspace2@gmail.com, gardamerahlt@gmail.com,
kristoforusdandy011@gmail.com

Abstract

The Special Capital Region (DKI) of Jakarta is an area that experiences population growth every year, which raises the number of housing-related needs and reduces the amount of available vacant land for housing. In order to get around the lack of land for housing, a plan for flats—like the West Jatinegara Flats—must be implemented. The spaces in an apartment that are designed by an architect are required to produce a design that takes into account the comfort factor of the space for the occupants or users in addition to aesthetics. Residents feel comfortable if they are at a thermal temperature of between 22.8 °C-25.8 °C with humidity of 70%. The study, "Evaluation of Space Comfort based on Occupant Satisfaction in West Jatinegara Flats Residential Units," attempts to ascertain whether or not improving strategies are required to raise space comfort based on occupant satisfaction and whether or not an appropriate level of space comfort is achieved. This study employs the quantitative method, which entails gathering primary and secondary data and conducting interviews with at least 10% of the resident population selected at random. This study's results indicate that improvement strategies are required to improve room comfort. These strategies include organizing each room to make it cozier and more compact, considering the type of opening material, the opening area ratio, and the materials used for the glass and frame to prevent breakage and damage to the windows and handles. Every room in the residential unit needs to have optimal air exchange during strong wind conditions.

Keywords: Evaluation, Space Comfort, Occupant Satisfaction, West Jatinegara Flats

Introduction

The Special Capital Region (DKI) of Jakarta is one of the provinces in Indonesia whose population continues to increase every year (Indonesia & Timur, 2021). So with the increase in population, the demand for housing in the Special Capital Region (DKI) of Jakarta will also increase (Susilowati, 2016). This has resulted in an increasingly limited amount of vacant land available in the Special Capital Region (DKI) of Jakarta (Ketut et al., 2014). Therefore, a solution is needed to optimize empty land which is increasingly limited, namely by providing vertical housing such as flats so that residents can obtain comfortable and decent housing (Hendaryono, 2010). A flat is a multi-storey building that functions as a residence that has elements of individual or joint ownership (Rubiati & Padjadjaran, 2023). A flat consists of several rooms including a

multi-purpose room and a residential unit measuring around 18 m²-54 m² which contains a bedroom, drying room, kitchen and bathroom (Kasus et al., 2016).

Space is an object consisting of length, width and height consisting of points, lines and planes, if in an architectural context it can be described in the form of floors, walls and roofs (Paryoko et al., 2015). The spaces in an apartment are generally designed by an architect and the architect is obliged to produce a design that not only considers aesthetic or beauty factors but also considers comfort factors for the occupants or users (Suwandi & Nur'aini, 2021). Comfort is a feeling of comfort that arises from physical and psychological comfort that comes from a person's assessment of their environment (Mandau, 2017). Activities can be carried out well so that optimal work productivity is obtained if comfort is created (Budiono et al.,

2023). Activity can also be interpreted as one of the residents' activities that cannot be avoided so that a space can accommodate activities that create comfort (Котлер, 2008). Therefore, space comfort is needed so that residents can feel comfortable in their activities.

Space comfort comes from thermal comfort which is one part of activity comfort so that if activity increases optimally in a space that is designed and built if the thermal comfort is appropriate (Sugini, 2014). Thermal comfort can be defined as the level of satisfaction experienced by a person when consciously or unconsciously accepting the thermal conditions they experience (Tondobala, n.d.).

Indonesian people feel comfortable if they are in a temperature range of 22.8°C - 25.8°C with humidity of 70% (Ramawangsa, 2021). Specifically, the capital city (DKI) Jakarta is located close to the equator and has a humid tropical external climate (Tomasowa, 2013). Humidity throughout the year is also quite high, namely around 80% to 90%, December to January also has very high rainfall conditions and under certain conditions the temperature in the Special Capital Region (DKI) Jakarta also experiences a temperature range where some people feel uncomfortable. comfortable (Wati & Fatkhuroyan, 2017). This causes several problems for the Indonesian people, especially the Special Capital Region (DKI) Jakarta because it will result in decreased productivity caused by temperature conditions that make it uncomfortable, such as very cold or very hot (Aldrian et al., 2011). So, research is needed to be able to evaluate room comfort based on occupant satisfaction through a survey or interview and filling out a questionnaire in an apartment residential unit.

The research entitled Evaluation of Space Comfort based on Occupant Satisfaction in West Jatinegara Flats Residential Units aims to carry out an evaluation to determine whether spatial comfort based on Occupant Satisfaction in West Jatinegara Flats Residential Units is appropriate or not and whether improvement strategies are needed to increase space comfort based on Satisfaction the occupant. The results of this research will be a consideration in the design and construction of subsequent flats so that architects, practitioners, developers and other parties who contribute to the design and construction stages of flats then consider space comfort factors based on occupant satisfaction so that later residents will feel comfortable when carrying out activities in the residential unit. the flat.

Research Method

Before the research was conducted, the researcher took an approach first by reviewing several national and international journal articles from three (3) similar studies. This study is needed so that it can be known what methods previous researchers will use and use. From the results of this study, research methods that are most suitable for this research can be selected or determined.

The first research was entitled Post-Occupancy Evaluation of Pudai Rental Flats in Kendari City which was carried out in 2016 by Achmad Sepryadi, Ir. Agam Marsoyo, M.Sc., Ph.D., Dr. Ir. Budi Kamulyan, M.Eng who described the results of the evaluation of retirement of Pudai flats in Lapulu sub-district, Kendari City using quantitative and qualitative deductive research methods (Kendari, 2016). The second research is entitled Post Occupancy Evaluation (POE) in Flat Buildings in Banten Province (Case Study "Construction of MBR Flats in Banten Province) which describes the results of occupant satisfaction (Andiyan et al., 2020). This research uses quantitative methods. The third research is entitled Thermal Comfort in Flats in West Jakarta by Susanto, Sigit Wijaksono, Albertus Galih Prawata which describes how to create thermal comfort in flats in West Jakarta using quantitative methods (Wijaksono & Galih Prawata, n.d.). This research uses several approaches derived from three studies that have been carried out by a number of researchers, where there are several titles and backgrounds to the problems in this research.

Based on these three studies, it can be seen that the appropriate methodology to be used in the research entitled Evaluation of Space Comfort Based on Occupant Satisfaction in Residential Units of West Jatinegara Flats is quantitative deductive with a post-occupancy evaluation research approach method which focuses on the thermal conditions of the room and occupant activities. at the Jatinegara Barat flats.

The process of collecting and processing research data was carried out in the following steps: (1) field observation, (2) literature study, and (3) comparison. Field observations were carried out using two methods, namely field measurements (physical measurements of residential units and room thermal measurements) and occupant interviews; a literature study was carried out by reviewing literature related to research such as room comfort studies which focus on thermal comfort

and activity space, theories regarding housing, standards related to comfort in residences, and high-rise buildings; and comparison is carried out by comparing the results of field observations and literature studies to obtain final comfort evaluation results.

Physical measurements of West Jatinegara flats residential units with the aim of describing existing conditions were carried out using a measuring tool that has a measuring limit of 10 meters and a laser meter with the measured area being 2 (two) bedroom areas along with doors, windows and the clear height of the room (ceramic -ceiling), and 1 (one) common room area including the main door, the drying room area door, and the clear height of the room (tile-ceiling). Existing physical measurements in the analysis are used as basic data to determine the comfort of occupant activities.




Figure 1. Measuring tools, measuring area (plan), measuring area (piece)

Room thermal measurements are divided into three data, namely temperature, wind speed and humidity. Measurements were carried out at the highest radiation points, namely 2 hours after sunrise (08.00-10.00), mid-day (11.00-13.00), and 2 hours before sunset (15.00-17.00). The tools used in room thermal measurements are a 3 in 1 environment meter (vane anemometer, thermometer and hygrometer) and a hot wire anemometer. Vane anemometers are used to measure wind speed in areas outside the residential unit, while hot wire anemometers are used to measure air movement in areas inside the residential unit. The room thermal measurements in the analysis are used as basic

data to determine the body comfort of the occupants.



Figure 2. Environment Meter and Hot Wire Anemometer

The thermal measurement points are located in the bedroom area (two rooms), the common room including the kitchen (1 room), and the drying room area. In the bedroom area, the measurement points are at the window sill area, the living room area of the bedroom, and the bedroom doorway area; in the common room and kitchen area, the measurement points are in the main doorway area, the middle area of the common room, and the drying room doorway area; and the measurement points for the drying room area are located at the threshold area of the drying room fence and the middle area of the drying room. The measuring point for the indoor area is at an elevation of + 1.2 meters starting from the ceramic area.




Figure 3. Position of measuring point (plan) and height position of measuring point (section)

In addition to physical measurements of residential units and room thermals, Field observations were also carried out using the interview method to determine occupant satisfaction with the size of the supporting space and thermal conditions. Specific questions in the interview concerning thermal comfort and occupant activities are as follows:

1. What activities do you carry out in the apartment residential unit?
2. Is the size of the residential unit able to accommodate all the activities of the apartment residents?
3. What are the wind conditions in the flat?
4. Are the temperature conditions in the apartment building comfortable for the residents?
5. Are the air humidity conditions in the apartment building comfortable for the residents?
6. Do you feel comfortable living in an apartment?

Respondents who took part in the interview were residents of the residential unit used as the unit of measurement. The number of respondents was 12 people in tower A and 12 people in tower B. Apart from that, other respondents came from the technical management unit of Jatinegara Barat flats. The final results of the interview are entered into the Google Form platform to find out the final results in the form of a percentage diagram.

Discussion

Interviews and measurements were carried out in 36 residential apartment units, 18 units in each apartment tower. On average, residents have lived in an apartment unit for 6 years, with a percentage of 78% (30 respondents) of residents feeling comfortable and 22% (8 respondents) feeling uncomfortable living in an apartment. Based on interviews with the 36 units, with an area of 30 m², 20-unit residents felt that it was sufficient, 7 felt that it was not enough and 8 felt that it was not enough. From the results of interviews and thermal measurements in towers A and B, it can be concluded that:

1. Wind conditions in flat residential




Figure 1. Plan of the 4th floor in Tower A where a Temperature, Humidity and Wind Speed Measurement Survey was carried out (Source: Author, 2022)

units are inadequate. In the worst conditions, heavy rain and wind can cause the service area door to make a loud noise and the window handle often breaks.

2. The temperature conditions inside the apartment are inadequate. In the worst conditions, summer, causes high temperatures so that the help of a fan that is continuously turned on is needed.
3. The humidity conditions in the apartment are inadequate. High air humidity occurs after rain, which causes residents to feel hot in the unit.

These inadequate conditions also affect the physical condition of the residential unit. From the results of the interview, data on existing residential facilities was obtained as follows:

Tower A

1. 7 residential units with windows still functioning properly and window handles not damaged
2. 7 residential units with 1 broken window latch
3. 4 residential units with 2 broken window latches
4. *Tampyas* which causes rainwater to enter residential units in the worst conditions during heavy rain can flood the bathroom area.

Tower B

1. 9 residential units with windows still functioning properly and window handles not damaged
2. 2 residential units with 1 broken window latch
3. 7 residential units with 2 broken window latches
4. There was a leak in the ceiling area during heavy rain and the window edge area caused by the window installation not being tight enough
5. *Tampyas* which causes rainwater to enter residential units in the worst conditions when it rains **8**

The residential units where temperature, humidity and wind speed measurement surveys were carried out were units on the **8**, 10th and 15th floors. On the 4th floor, temperature, humidity and wind speed measurement surveys were carried out on units marked with red boxes as seen in the image below

In unit 1, a temperature, humidity and wind speed measurement survey was carried out in 2 periods, namely in March and September, where in March it was carried out from morning to evening with normal wind speed so that the windows in both bedrooms could be opened optimally. The results of temperature measurements from morning to evening were above normal. The humidity measurement results are still in the normal/ideal category.

Table 1. Survey Results for Temperature, Humidity and Wind Speed Measurements In Unit 1 Floor 4

Unit 1									
Time & Weather		Temperature (°C)				Humidity (%)			
03	09	03	09	03	09	03	09		
07:17	07:42	31.6	30.8	65.6	64.4				
11:11	11:11	30.8	32.3	62.9	57.3				
15:32	15:29	30.5	32.4	61.3	50.9				
Unit 1									
Time & Weather		Wind Velocity (m/s)							
		Outside Building				Room			
		03	09	03	09	R1	03	09	
07:17	07:42	1.42	0.73	0.91	0.42	R1	0.32	0.23	
11:11	11:11	2.33	3.36	0.77	0.42	R1	0.57	0.26	
15:32	15:29	1.26	3.81	0.67	0.47	R1	0.47	0.32	
Unit 1									
Time & Weather		Notes							
07:17	07:42	The windows of both rooms can be opened maximally							
11:11	11:11	The windows of both rooms can be opened maximally							
15:32	15:29	The windows of both rooms can be opened maximally							

In unit 2, a temperature, humidity and wind speed measurement survey was carried out in 2 periods, namely in March and September, where in September the survey was carried out in the morning until the evening with higher wind speeds than in March, causing there to be windows in the rooms, broken sleep. The results of temperature measurements from morning to evening were above normal. The humidity measurement results are still in the normal/ideal category.

Table 2. Survey Results for Temperature, Humidity and Wind Speed Measurements In Unit 2, Floor 4

Unit 2									
Time & Weather		Temperature (°C)				Humidity (%)			
03	09	03	09	03	09	03	09		
07:28	07:50	31.9	30.6	70.6	64.5				
11:35	11:16	30.9	32.3	63.2	58.5				
15:59	15:25	30.7	32.3	61.3	52.8				
Unit 2									
Time & Weather		Wind Velocity (m/s)							
		Outside Building				Room			
		03	09	03	09	R1	03	09	
07:28	07:50	1.47	1.80	—	0.46	R1	0.11	0.11	
11:35	11:16	2.61	3.86	—	0.60	R1	0.12	0.11	
15:59	15:25	1.28	2.04	—	0.37	R1	0.12	0.12	
Unit 2									
Time & Weather		Notes							
07:28	07:50	The windows of both rooms can be opened maximally							
11:35	11:16	The windows of both rooms can be opened maximally							
15:59	15:25	The windows of both rooms can be opened maximally							

Unit 2		
Time & Weather		Note
07:47	07:42	Window is broken
11:41	11:11	Window is broken
15:32	15:29	Window is broken

The temperature, humidity and wind speed measurement survey continued on the 10th floor of tower A, in the unit marked with a red box as in the picture below:

In unit 1, a temperature, humidity and wind speed measurement survey was carried out in 2 periods, namely March and September, where in September it was carried out from morning to evening with higher wind speeds than in March, causing parts of the bedroom windows to be damaged. The results of temperature measurements from morning to evening were above normal. The results of humidity measurements in March started to feel damp but in September it became normal/ideal again.

The temperature, humidity and wind speed measurement survey continued on the 10th floor of tower A, in the unit marked with a red box as in the picture below:




Figure 2. Plan of floor 10 in Tower A where a temperature, humidity and wind speed measurement survey was carried out (Source: Author, 2022)

In unit 1, a temperature, humidity and wind speed measurement survey was carried out in 2 periods, namely in March and September, where in September it was carried out in the morning until the evening with higher wind speeds than in March, causing part of the bedroom window to be damaged. The results of temperature measurements from morning to evening were above normal. The results of humidity measurements in March started to feel damp but in September it became normal/ideal again.

Table 3. Survey Results for Temperature, Humidity and Wind Speed Measurements

In Unit 1 on the 10th floor

Unit 1							
Time & Weather		Temperature (°C)				Humidity (%)	
03	09	03	09	03	09		
08:25	08:23	30.8	31.1	68.4	64.7		
Bright	Bright						
12:20	11:51	31.5	32.3	66.7	57.1		
Windy rain	Strong winds						
16:55	16:22	30.8	32.6	66.5	51.5		
Windy rain	Bright						
Unit 1							
Time & Weather		Wind velocity (m/s)					
		Outside Building		Window Sill		Room	
03	09	03	09	03	09	03	09
08:25	08:23	1.98	1.57	0.75	0.36	R1	R1
Bright	Bright					R1	R1
						R1	R1
						R1	R1
12:20	11:51	5.91	3.72	—	0.65	R1	R1
Windy sun	Strong winds					R1	R1
						R1	R1
						R1	R1
16:55	16:22	6.67	3.72	—	0.84	R1	R1
Windy rain	Bright					R1	R1
						R1	R1
						R1	R1
Unit 1							
Time & Weather		Note					
03	09						
08:25	08:23	Room R1 window is broken					
Bright	Bright						
12:20	11:51	Room R1 window is broken					
Windy rain	Strong winds						
16:55	16:22	Room R1 window is broken					
Windy rain	Bright						

In unit 2, a temperature, humidity and wind speed measurement survey was carried out in 2 periods, namely in March and September, which was carried out in the morning until the evening with normal wind speed and the windows were in good condition or functioning and nothing was damaged. The results of temperature measurements from morning to evening were above normal. The results of humidity measurements in March started to feel damp but in September it became normal/ideal again.

Table 4. Survey Results for Temperature, Humidity and Wind Speed Measurements in Unit 2 on the 10th Floor

Unit 2							
Time & Weather		Temperature (°C)				Humidity (%)	
03	09	03	09	03	09		
08:40	08:27	31.1	31.2	69	65.3		
Bright	Bright						
12:35	11:28	31.2	31.9	68.7	58.4		
Strong winds	Strong winds						
17:09	16:28	31.1	32.6	67.5	54.7		
Windy sun	Bright						
Unit 2							
Time & Weather		Wind velocity (m/s)					
		Outside Building		Window Sill		Room	
03	09	03	09	03	09	03	09
08:40	08:27	2.43	2.21	0.31	0.28	R1	R1
Bright	Bright					R1	R1
						R1	R1
						R1	R1
12:35	11:28	5.02	3.92	—	0.47	R1	R1
Strong winds	Strong winds					R1	R1
						R1	R1
						R1	R1
17:09	16:28	6.96	3.87	—	3.87	R1	R1
Windy sun	Bright					R1	R1
						R1	R1
						R1	R1
Unit 1							
Time & Weather		Note					
03	09						
08:40	08:27	Room R1 window is broken					
Bright	Bright						
12:35	11:28	Room R1 window is broken					
Strong winds	Strong winds						
17:09	16:28	Room R1 window is broken					
Strong winds	Bright						

The temperature, humidity and wind speed measurement survey was then continued again

on the 15th floor of tower A, in the unit marked with a red box as in the picture below:




Figure 3. Plan of floor 15 in Tower A where a temperature, humidity and wind speed measurement survey was carried out (Source: Author, 2022)

In unit 1, a temperature, humidity and wind speed measurement survey was carried out in 2 periods, namely in March and September, where in September it was carried out in the morning until the evening with normal wind speed and the windows were in good condition or functioning and nothing was damaged. . The results of temperature measurements from morning to evening were above normal. The results of humidity measurements in March started to feel damp but in September it became normal/ideal again.

Table 5. Survey Results for Temperature, Humidity and Wind Speed Measurements in Unit 1, 15th Floor

Unit 1							
Time & Weather		Temperature (°C)				Humidity (%)	
03	09	03	09	03	09		
09:25	08:50	30.7	31.7	70.9	62.5		
Bright	Bright						
08:50	12:31	30.5	32.0	71.3	61.6		
Bright	Strong winds						
17:47	17:02	30.5	32.6	70.8	49.4		
Windy rain	Bright						
Unit 1							
Time & Weather		Wind velocity (m/s)					
		Outside Building		Window Sill		Room	
03	09	03	09	03	09	03	09
09:25	08:50	3.34	2.62	0.46	0.28	R1	R1
Bright	Bright					R1	R1
						R1	R1
						R1	R1
08:50	12:31	6.82	3.48	0.27	0.43	R1	R1
Bright	Strong winds					R1	R1
						R1	R1
						R1	R1
17:47	17:02	7.21	6.39	—	0.90	R1	R1
Windy rain	Bright					R1	R1
						R1	R1
						R1	R1
Unit 1							
Time & Weather		Note					
03	09						
09:25	08:50	The window works					
Bright	Bright						
08:50	12:31	The window works					
Bright	Strong winds						
17:47	17:02	The window works					
Windy rain	Bright						

In unit 2, a temperature, humidity and wind speed measurement survey was carried out in 2 periods, namely in March and September, where in September it was carried out in the morning until the evening with normal wind

temperatures. The results of humidity measurements in March felt damp, however, and in September in the morning but in the afternoon to the evening it became normal/ideal again.

Table 7. Survey Results for Temperature, Humidity and Wind Velocity Measurements in Unit 1, Floor 4

Unit 1									
Time & Weather			Temperature (°C)				Humidity (%)		
03	09	03	09		03		09		
07:15	07:40		29.4		29.8		65.4		
Bright	Bright						68.2		
11:30	12:34	31.2	31.7		67.9		63.1		
Cloudy	Strong winds								
15:35	15:21	30.8	30.2		71.3		55.7		
After the rain	Bright								

Unit 1									
Time & Weather			Outside Building		Wind Velocity (m/s)		Rain		
03	09	03	09	03	09	03		09	
07:15	07:40	1.52	1.16	0.87	0.8	R1	0.12	0.07	
Bright	Bright					R2	0.17	0.11	
11:30	12:34	2.35	4.31	1.06	0.56	R1	0.25	0.13	
Cloudy	Strong winds					R2	0.26	0.14	
15:35	15:21	1.29	2.52	0.71	0.51	R1	0.47	0.72	
After the rain	Bright					R2	0.52	0.13	
						R1	0.42	0.27	
						R2	0.17	0.23	
						R3	0.23	0.18	

Unit 1			Note	
Time & Weather	03	09		
07:15	07:40		The window can be opened maximally	
Bright	Bright			
11:30	12:34		The window can be opened maximally	
Cloudy	Strong winds			
15:35	15:21		The window can be opened maximally	
After the rain	Bright			

Then in unit 2 a temperature, humidity and wind velocity measurement survey was carried out again in 2 periods, namely in March and September where in September it was carried out in the morning until the evening with high wind velocity so that the windows became non-functional (could not be opened). The results of temperature measurements from morning to evening were above normal. The results of humidity measurements in March felt damp, however, and in September in the morning but in the afternoon to the evening it became normal/ideal again.

Unit 1									
Time & Weather		Temperature (°C)				Humidity (%)			
03	09	03	09	03	09	03	09	03	09
07.35	07.15	29.8		30		67.5		66.2	
Bright	Bright								
11.35	11.05	30.9		31.6		67.7		59.3	
Strong winds	Strong winds								
15.57	15.32	31.1		31.6		73.9		57.5	
Light rain	Bright								

Unit 2									
Time & Weather		Wind Velocity (m/s)				Room			
		Outside Building		Window 34					
03	09	0.3	09	0.3	09	Rg	03	09	
07.35	07.15	1.47	1.41	0.93	0.75	R1	0.18	0.07	
Bright	Bright					R7	0.07	0.03	
						R3	0.37	0.23	
11.35	11.05	4.90	3.07	---	0.88	R1	0.52	0.09	
Strong winds	Strong winds					R2	0.15	0.05	
15.57	15.32	1.57	4.78	1.22	0.93	R3	0.22	0.21	
Light rain	Bright					R1	0.12	0.12	
						R2	0.08	0.06	
						R3	0.23	0.20	

Unit 2			Note	
Time & Weather	03	09		

2 periods, namely in March and September, where in September it was carried out in the morning until the evening with normal wind velocity so that it could be opened optimally. The temperature measurement results from morning to evening were at normal

07:35 Bright	07:45 Bright	Room window R2 is not working
11:35 Strong winds	11:06 Strong winds	Room window R2 is not working
15:57 Light rain	15:32 Bright	Room window R2 is not working

The temperature, humidity and wind velocity measurement survey was then continued on the 10th floor in tower B, in the unit marked with a red box as in the picture below:

In unit 1, a temperature, humidity and wind velocity measurement survey was carried out in




Figure 5. Plan of floor 10 in Tower B where a temperature, humidity and wind speed measurement survey was carried out
(Source: Author, 2022)

2 periods, namely in March and September, where in September it was carried out in the morning until the evening with normal wind velocity so that it could be opened optimally. The results of temperature measurements from morning to evening were above normal. The results of humidity measurements in March felt damp, but in September it became normal/ideal again.

Table 9. Survey Results for Temperature, Humidity and Wind Velocity Measurements in Unit 1, 10th Floor

Unit 1					
Time & Weather		Temperature (°C)		Humidity (%)	
03	09	03	09	03	09
08:27 Bright	08:05 Bright	30	30,7	66,7	64,9
12:17 Light rain	11:28 Strong winds	30,4	31,6	66,1	59,2
16:37 Windy rain	15:50 Bright	30,3	32,1	66,5	56,3
Unit 1					
Time & Weather		Wind Velocity (m/s)			
		Outside Building		Window Sill	
03	09	03	09	03	09
08:27 Bright	08:05 Bright	2,11	3,36	0,52	0,45
12:17 Light rain	11:28 Strong winds	2,80	6,72	0,76	0,29
16:37 Windy rain	15:50 Bright	4,27	5,09	—	0,67
Unit 1					
Time & Weather		Note			
03	09				
08:27 Bright	08:05 Bright	The window can be opened minimally			
12:17 Light rain	11:28 Strong winds	The window can be opened minimally			
16:37 Windy rain	15:50 Bright	The window can be opened minimally			

Then, in unit 2, a temperature, humidity and wind velocity measurement survey was carried

out in 2 periods, namely in March and September, where in September it was carried out in the morning until the evening with high wind velocity, resulting in damaged windows. The results of temperature measurements from morning to evening were above normal. The results of humidity measurements in March felt damp, but in September it became normal/ideal again.

Table 10. Survey Results for Temperature, Humidity and Wind Velocity Measurements in Unit 2, 10th Floor

Unit 2					
Time & Weather		Temperature (°C)		Humidity (%)	
03	09	03	09	03	09
08:39 Bright	08:12 Bright	30,1	30,7	65,4	65,6
08:12 Bright	11:37 Strong winds	30,4	31,7	66,1	60,9
16:55 Windy rain	15:58 Bright	30,2	32,3	66,3	54,8
Unit 2					
Time & Weather		Wind Velocity (m/s)			
		Outside Building		Window Sill	
03	09	03	09	03	09
08:39 Bright	08:12 Bright	2,43	2,41	0,71	0,56
08:12 Bright	11:37 Strong winds	6,06	4,98	0,82	0,77
16:55 Windy rain	15:58 Bright	6,75	12,4	—	2,30
Unit 2					
Time & Weather		Note			
03	09				
08:39 Bright	08:12 Bright	Window is broken			
08:12 Bright	11:37 Strong winds	Window is broken			
16:55 Windy rain	15:58 Bright	Window is broken			

The temperature, humidity and wind velocity measurement survey was then continued on the 15th floor in tower B, in the unit marked with a red box as in the picture below:

In unit 1, a temperature, humidity and wind



Figure 6. Plan of floor 15 in Tower B where a temperature, humidity and wind speed measurement survey was carried out
(Source: Author, 2022)

velocity measurement survey was carried out in 2 periods, namely in March and September, where in September it was carried out in the morning until the evening with normal wind velocity so that it could be opened optimally.

The results of temperature measurements from morning to evening were above normal. The results of humidity measurements in March and September were normal/ideal.

Table 11. Survey Results for Temperature, Humidity and Wind Velocity Measurements in Unit 1, 15th Floor

Unit 1						
Time & Weather		Temperature (°C)		Humidity (%)		
03	09	03	09	03	09	
06:17	08:25	31.2	30.9	63	63.6	
Bright	Bright					
13:21	12:00	30.7	32	64.5	59.3	
Strong winds	Strong winds					
17:23	16:17	30.8	31.9	64.3	53.1	
Windy rain	Bright					
Unit 1						
Time & Weather		Wind Velocity (m/s)				
		Outside Building		Window Sill	Room	
03	09	03	09	03	03	09
06:17	08:25	3.17	3.11	0.76	0.26	R1 0.02 0.07
Bright	Bright					R2 0.35 0.12
						R3 0.45 0.06
13:21	12:00	5.73	5.92	—	0.57	R1 0.21 0.05
Strong winds	Strong winds					R2 0.22 0.07
						R3 0.47 0.11
17:23	16:17	5.89	3.49	—	0.55	R1 0.27 0.04
Windy rain	Bright					R2 0.24 0.05
						R3 0.51 0.71
Unit 1						
Time & Weather		Note				
03	09					
06:17	08:25	The window can be opened manually				
Bright	Bright					
13:21	12:00	The window can be opened manually				
Strong winds	Strong winds					
17:23	16:17	The window can be opened manually				
Windy rain	Bright					

In unit 2, a temperature, humidity and wind velocity measurement survey was carried out in 2 periods, namely in March and September, where in September it was carried out in the morning until the evening with normal wind velocity so that it could be opened optimally. The results of temperature measurements from morning to evening were above normal. The results of humidity measurements in March and September were normal/ideal.

Table 12. Survey Results for Temperature, Humidity and Wind Velocity Measurements in Unit 2, 15th Floor

Unit 2						
Time & Weather		Temperature (°C)			Humidity (%)	
03	09	03	09	03	09	
09:26	08:43	31.1	31.3	66.5	67.9	
Bright	Bright					
13:31	12:08	30.4	31.9	71.6	59	
Strong winds	Strong winds					
17:24	16:26	30.3	31.9	70.2	55.9	
Windy rain	Bright					
Unit 2						
Time & Weather		Wind Velocity (m/s)				
		Outside Building		Window Sill	Room	
03	09	03	09	03	03	09
09:26	08:43	3.33	3.13	0.86	0.29	0.09
Bright	Bright				R1	0.09
					R2	0.14
					R3	0.06
13:31	12:08	6.28	4.62	1.28	0.62	0.15
Strong winds	Strong winds				R1	0.08
					R2	0.10
					R3	0.11
17:24	16:26	7.56	5.94	—	0.64	0.52
Windy rain	Bright				R1	0.25
					R2	0.13
					R3	0.12
					R3	0.55
					R3	0.55
Unit 2						
Time & Weather		Note				
03	09					
07:35	07:45	Room window R1 is not working				
Bright	Bright					
11:35	11:06	Room window R1 is not working				
Strong winds	Strong winds					
15:57	15:32	Room window R1 is not working				
Light rain	Bright					

Conclusion

The conclusions that can be drawn in this research are as follows:

1. Survey results measuring temperature, humidity and wind velocity were carried out in two periods, namely March and September.
2. Based on the results of temperature measurements in the two periods shown in the table above, the results of measuring the temperature range in each unit on floors 4, 10 and 15 in towers A and B are normal and above normal.
3. Based on the results of the humidity survey in the table above, the room in units 1 and 2 on floors 10 and 15 of tower A felt damp in March, the room in unit 1 on the 4th floor in March was damp and, in unit 2 on the 4th floor, it felt damp in March. March and morning in September. Units 1 and 2 on the 10th floor in March also felt damp.
4. Wind velocity in unit 2 on the 4th floor and units 1 and 2 on the 10th floor of tower A and units 2 10 of tower B, causing the windows to be damaged or even unable to function.
5. Apart from conducting surveys measuring temperature, humidity and wind velocity, researchers also conducted interviews with 36 residents in residential units where there were responses from residents as many as 8 respondents who felt uncomfortable living in flats and with an area of 30 m2 there were 7 residents who felt inadequate and 8 felt not enough.
6. From the results of interviews and thermal measurements, it was found that the worst conditions were rain and strong winds which caused the service area doors to make loud noises and window handles often broke. And if the dry season arrives, it causes high temperatures, so you need the help of a fan that is continuously turned on. The air humidity conditions inside the apartment are inadequate. High air humidity occurs after rain, which causes residents to feel hot in the unit

Based on the conclusions above, the researcher provides recommendations to increase room comfort in tower A and B units, as below:

1. Additional space is needed so that every activity can be accommodated so that it feels comfortable. However, current conditions do not allow for additional unit area, so it is

necessary to arrange each room so that it is more compact and comfortable.

2. It is necessary to consider the type of opening material, the ratio of the opening area and the glass and frame materials used so that the window is not easily damaged, the handle is not easily broken and does not make a loud sound if there is a strong wind.
3. The air humidity in tower A and B flats is still not good so it does not support thermal comfort inside. Therefore, it is necessary to optimize air exchange in every room in the residential unit.

Acknowledgment

The author offers praise and gratitude to the presence of God Almighty, because thanks to His mercy and grace the author was able to complete the research entitled Evaluation of Space Comfort based on Occupant Satisfaction in West Jatinegara Flats Residential Units. The author would like to thank:

1. LPPM Universitas Kristen Indonesia who has funded this research.
2. Head of the Flat I Management Unit, thank you for being given permission to conduct research at the West Jatinegara Flats.
3. Research Team Mrs. Ulinata, ST.Ars., MT, Mrs. Sally Septania Napitupulu, ST., MT, Adinda Garda Merah and Kristoforus Dandy thank you for your cooperation.
4. Residents of the Jatinegara Flats who have become respondents in this research, thank you for your willingness to fill out the questionnaire.
5. Fellow lecturers in the Architecture Study Program at Universitas Kristen Indonesia who have supported the smooth running of this research.
6. Research Reviewers thank you for your input and suggestions so that the results of this research will be better.

Reference list/References

- Aldrian, E., Karmini, M., & Budiman. (2011). *Adaptasi dan Mitigasi Perubahan Iklim di Indonesia, Pusat Perubahan Iklim dan Kualitas Udara*. November, 174.
- Andiyan, Rachmat, A., & Kadir, Y. (2020). Post Occupancy Evaluation (Poe) Pada Bangunan Rusun Di Prov.Banten (Studi Kasus "Pembangunan Rusun Mbr Di Prov.Banten). *Jurnal Arsitektur*

Archicentre, 4, 14–34.
<https://journal.inten.ac.id/index.php/archicentre/article/view/41>

- Budiono, I. Z., Amira, L. N., Syafii, A. D., Farida, A., & Abdulhadi, R. H. wilman. (2023). Evaluasi Kenyamanan Aktivitas Kerja para pegawai Berdasarkan Indikator Kenyamanan Termal. *Jurnal Desain Interior*, 7(2), 99.
<https://doi.org/10.12962/j12345678.v7i2.15367>
- Hendaryono, S. M. (2010). *Evaluasi Pengelolaan Rusun Pekunden dan Bandarharjo Semarang*. 1–208.
- Indonesia, U., & Timur, K. J. (2021). *Kajian Tingkat Kenyamanan Fisik Ruang Dalam Unit Hunian Rumah Susun Daan Mogot Jakarta Barat*. 12(01), 38–47.
- Kasus, S., Tebet, R., Abang, R. T., & Kalibata, R. (2016). *KAJIAN BESARAN RUANG PADA UNIT RUMAH SUSUN DI JAKARTA, Studi Kasus: Rusun Tebet, Rusun Tanah Abang dan Rusunami Kalibata*. 1(1), 93–112.
- Kendari, D. I. K. (2016). *EVALUASI PURNA HUNI RUMAH SUSUN SEWA PUDAI DI KOTA KENDARI ACHMAD SEPRYADI, Ir. Agam Marsoyo, M.Sc., Ph.D., Dr. Ir. Budi Kamulyan, M.Eng.* 2–3.
- Ketut, I., Jaya, P., Made, G., & Antara, Y. (2014). Pengaruh Terbatasnya Lahan Terhadap Intensitas Pembangunan Rumah Susun Di Dki Jakarta. *I Gede Made Yudi Antara*, 1(1), 22–27.
- Mandau, K. E. C. (2017). *SEKOLAH MENENGAH UMUM*. 7(2).
- Paryoko, V. G. P. J., Rachmawati, M., & Soemardiono, B. (2015). *Perancangan Rumah Susun dengan Pendekatan Simbiosis Ruang pada Tempat Tinggal Dulu dan Kini (Studi Kasus: Kediri)*.
- Ramawangsa, P. A. (2021). Perspektif Pengguna Terhadap Kenyamanan Termal Di Area Threshold Pada Iklim Mikro. *NALARs*, 20(2), 91.
<https://doi.org/10.24853/nalars.20.2.91-98>
- Rubiati, B., & Padjadjaran, U. (2023). *KEPEMILIKAN RUMAH SUSUN UMUM YANG DIBANGUN DI ATAS TANAH BARANG MILIK NEGARA / DAERAH Pasal 28H ayat (1) Undang Undang Dasar Tahun 1945 Amandemen*

Keempat (Selanjutnya Undang-undang No .20 Tahun 2011 tentang Rumah Susun (selanjutnya disebut UU Rumah r. 6, 206–220.

Sugini. (2014). *Kenyamanan Termal Ruang (Konsep dan Penerapan pada Desain)* (p. 251). <https://lcep.uir.ac.id/karya-ilmiah/SUGINI/Buku - Kenyamanan Termal Ruang Konsep dan Penerapan pada Desain.pdf>

Susilowati, M. H. D. (2016). *Perkembangan Permukiman Di Dki Jakarta*. 1–23.

Suwandi, A. A., & Nur'aini, R. D. (2021). **2** **ANALISIS KONSEP ARSITEKTUR PERILAKU DAN TINGKAT KENYAMANAN PENGHUNI PADA HUNIAN VERTICAL DENGAN ANALISIS BEHAVIORAL MAPPING (Studi Kasus: Rusunawa Pinus Elok Tower C, Jakarta Timur). *Vitruvian Jurnal Arsitektur Bangunan Dan Lingkungan*, 10(3), 257.** <https://doi.org/10.22441/vitruvian.2021.v10i3.009>

Tomasowa, R. (2013). Mencermati Jendela Matahari di Atas Jakarta sebagai Dasar Perancangan Arsitektur. *ComTech: Computer, Mathematics and Engineering Applications*, 4(2), 1393. <https://doi.org/10.21512/comtech.v4i2.2677>

Tondobala, L. (n.d.). *Jurnal Fraktal Vo. 6 No. 1* (30-37). 1(1).

Wati, T., & Fatkhuroyan, F. (2017). Analisis Tingkat Kenyamanan Di DKI Jakarta Berdasarkan Indeks THI (Temperature Humidity Index). *Jurnal Ilmu Lingkungan*, 15(1), 57. <https://doi.org/10.14710/jil.15.1.57-63>

Wijaksono, S., & Galih Prawata, A. (n.d.). *Kenyamanan Termal Pada Rumah Susun Di Jakarta Barat*. <http://www.bmkg.go.id/>.

Котлер, Ф. (2008). *No TitleМаркетинг по Котлеру*. 282.

Evaluation of Space Comfort based on Occupant Satisfaction in West Jatinegara Flats Residential Units

ORIGINALITY REPORT

6%

SIMILARITY INDEX

5%

INTERNET SOURCES

2%

PUBLICATIONS

1%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1

www.worldboxingmilano.org

Internet Source

3%

2

ojs.widyakartika.ac.id

Internet Source

<1%

3

Submitted to Krida Wacana Christian University

Student Paper

<1%

4

journal.inten.ac.id

Internet Source

<1%

5

Anastasio, Thomas J.. "Tutorial on Neural Systems Modeling", Oxford University Press

Publication

<1%

6

etd.repository.ugm.ac.id

Internet Source

<1%

7

Givo Aulia, Teguh Kurniawan. "The Implementation of Open Data Program in the Special Capital Region (DKI) of Jakarta Province", 2018 International Conference on

<1%

Sustainable Information Engineering and Technology (SIET), 2018

Publication

8

M. C. Acreman, R. J. Harding, C. R. Lloyd, D. D. McNeil. "Evaporation characteristics of wetlands: experience from a wetgrassland and a reedbed using eddy correlation measurements", Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 2003

Publication

<1 %

9

Novia Resti Junaidi, Endry Boeriswati, Liliana Muliastuti. "The Representation Ahok's Leadership Style in The Jakarta Post News: Critical Discourse Analysis", Indonesian Language Education and Literature, 2018

Publication

<1 %

10

jgrs.eng.unila.ac.id

Internet Source

<1 %

11

nashaucheba.ru

Internet Source

<1 %

12

www.andrushka.org

Internet Source

<1 %

13

R Daeli, S Pare, M M Sudarwani. "Design study with modern architectural approach to football academy building in Jakarta", IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2021

Publication

<1 %

Exclude quotes Off

Exclude matches Off

Exclude bibliography On