

KnE
SOCIAL SCIENCES

Medan, Indonesia
19th of December 2022



**INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON
ADVANCE & SCIENTIFIC INNOVATION
2022 (ICASI)**


Knowledge E
enriching | engaging | empowering

ISSN 2518-668X.
www.knepublishing.com

ABOUT KAA AUBLIAHIAG

We help you publish your journals and AonferenAe proAeedings on our platfrom while making sure that the highest standards of quality and ethiAs are adhered to.

ORGANISATION

PUBLICATION ETHICS

THINK CHECK ATTEND

COPYRIGHT

ARCHIVING POLICY

MEET THE TEAM

ORGANISATION

KnE Publishing is the publishing arm of Knowledge E.

Knowledge E's mission is to disseminate regional academic journal articles and conference papers to the broader global research community thereby creating a permanent, discoverable, archived, and open access record of high quality scholarly content. All articles published by Knowledge E are made freely accessible online immediately upon publication. All content on the KnE Publishing Platform is published under the [Creative Commons Attribution License \(CC-BY\)](#).

Knowledge E takes a responsible approach to peer review insisting on the application of peer-review processes by journal and conference editors together with strict ethical policies and standards to ensure the addition of high-quality scientific content to the field of scholarly publication. Knowledge E adheres to the COPE [Code of Conduct](#) and [Best Practice Guidelines](#).

Knowledge E is a member of several industry organisations, including the Association of Learned and Professional Society Publishers ([ALPSP](#)), the Publishers International Linking Association ([Crossref](#)), and the Open Access Scholarly Publishing Association ([OASPA](#)), and is a signatory to the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals [Publishers Compact](#). It is also a member of the Open Researcher and Contributor ID ([ORCID](#)) and is its Institutional Ambassador in the Middle East.

All of Knowledge E's content is archived in [Portico](#) a not-for-profit organization with a mission and singular focus to provide a permanent archive of electronic scholarly content.

[Read more about Knowledge E on our corporate webpage](#)

PUBLICATION ETHICS

We make every effort to ensure high quality scholarship in all published articles and conference papers published by us.

This means that we consider best practices such as **peer review, anti-plagiarism control**, and adherence to **industry standard ethical practices and guidelines** to be matter of course, and therefore mandatory.

KnE Publishing adheres to the [Committee on Publication Ethics \(COPE\) Core practices](#).

[Read our full publication ethics statement](#)

THINK CHECK ATTEND

Think. Check. Attend. (www.thinkcheckattend.org) is an initiative that aims to guide and assist researchers and scholars to judge the legitimacy and academic credentials of conferences in order to help them decide whether to or not attend the same. Knowledge E adheres to the Core Principles of the Committee On Publication Ethics (COPE). As the initiator of the Think. Check. Attend. initiative, Knowledge E ensures all conference proceedings published on its platform come from conferences fitting the guidelines stipulated in the initiative.

[Read more about Think Check Attend](#)

COPYRIGHT

We publish all content under the [Creative Commons Attribution \(CC-BY\) license](#).

In short, under this license, authors retain ownership of the copyright for their content, but it also allows anyone to download, reuse, reprint, modify, distribute, and/or copy the content **as long as the original authors and source are cited**.

We have chosen this license because we have evaluated it as being fair to both authors and readers.

[Read the full copyright policy](#)

ARCHIVING POLICY

To preserve scholarly literature published in electronic form and to ensure that these materials remain available to future generations of scholars and researchers, Knowledge E content is archived in [Portico](#), a not-for-profit organization with a mission and singular focus to provide a permanent archive of electronic scholarly content. Portico representative offices are located in New York, New Jersey, and Michigan.

MEET THE TEAM

We are the people behind the scenes doing our best to get you edited, published and indexed as quickly as possible. Feel free to contact us for anything!



KAMRAN KARDAN
CEO and Founder



EMILY CHOYNOWSKI
Head of Publishing



MOHAMAD MOSTAFA
Publishing Editor

orcid.org/0000-0003-0768-6642



DEEPTI THAPA
Production & Copy Editor



LEILA ELIZABETH KARDAN
Publishing Executive



ABIGAIL ENOCH
Editorial Assistant



THERESE BILL
Head of Operations



CARL FRY
BDM for Government and Projects



SUSHANT VIRDI
IT & Client Support Manager



SOMIN GAJJAR
Accountant and Financial Controller

EXTERNAL ADVISER



PIPPA SMART
Publishing Adviser

orcid.org/0000-0002-5528-4704

Contact

Email publishing@knowledgee.com

Phone +971 4 4227043

Follow us

 [@KnePublishing](https://twitter.com/KnePublishing)

[Find out more about Knowledge E from our corporate page](#)

[KnE Social Sciences](#) / Aims and Scope

Aims and Scope

The series covers edited volumes and conference proceedings on proposed topics in the fields of Social Sciences. The subject areas covered by the series are:

- Anthropology
- Economics
- Law
- Linguistics
- Education
- Arts
- Business Administration and Accounting
- Geography
- History
- Political science
- Psychology
- Sociology
- Humanities
- Tourism

KnE Social Sciences does not accept individual submissions for review.





Upon submission, all authors will be automatically registered for content alerts from the publication. To stop receiving the alerts, authors can subsequently unsubscribe through the link provided in the email.

ISSN: 2518-668X

Contact

Email publishing@knowledgee.com
Phone +971 4 4227043
Address Knowledge E
Office 4401-04
Jumeirah Bay X2 Tower
Jumeirah Lakes Towers (JLT)
P.O. Box 488239
Dubai, UAE

Follow us

 [@KnePublishing](#)
 facebook.com/KnowledgeEGlobal
 [Youtube](#)
 [KnowledgeEGlobal](#)

[Find out more about Knowledge E from our corporate page](#)



Platform &
workflow by
OJS / PKP

[KnE Social Sciences](#) / Indexing

Indexing





KnE Social Sciences is listed with the following indexes and bibliometric databases:

- Dimensions
- Directory of Open Access Resources (ROAD)
- EBSCO
- Google Scholar
- Indonesia OneSearch
- Microsoft Academic Research
- MyScienceWork
- Neliti
- OpenAIRE
- Researcher App
- Scilit
- Semantic Scholar
- TheOneRepo
- Ulrichsweb
- UnPaywall
- WorldCat Discovery Service
- WorldWideScience

Contact

Email publishing@knowledgee.com
Phone +971 4 4227043
Address Knowledge E
Office 4401-04
Jumeirah Bay X2 Tower
Jumeirah Lakes Towers (JLT)
P.O. Box 488239
Dubai, UAE

Follow us

 [@KnePublishing](#)
 facebook.com/KnowledgeEGlobal
 [Youtube](#)
 [KnowledgeEGlobal](#)

[Find out more about Knowledge E from our corporate page](#)



International Conference on Advance & Scientific Innovation (ICASI)



PUBLISHED
2023-05-26

[Kne Social Sciences](#) / International Conference on Advance & Scientific Innovation (ICASI)

This collection contains selected papers from the **International Conference on Advance & Scientific Innovation 2022 (Social Sciences Track)**. The conference was held in Medan, Indonesia on the 19th of December 2022, and was hosted by the **Forum Kerjasama Pendidikan Tinggi**.

The conference was organised to bring together researchers and practitioners from the different branches of social sciences. Due to the huge scope of the topic and breadth of the valuable contributions from researchers from a number of professional and scholarly backgrounds, this conference was broken down into five major topical strands, which have been replicated within this issue. The sustainable development strand focuses on the latest advancements in wastewater management, wildfire prevention, and recycling policies. Strand 2 focuses on public health policies and practices, including mental health services and COVID-19 protection. Strand 3 looks at business management by tackling supply chain issues, quality control, and consumerism. Strand 4 focuses on cultural phenomena through a variety of intersecting lenses, including gender issues and Islamic philosophy. Finally, Strand 5 tackles issues around policy reform within the economy, educational institutions, and justice systems.

These papers will be of interest to academics, students, and professionals researching or working in the fields of Health, Education, Gender, Politics, Language, Management, and Economics.

Conference date: 19 December 2022

Location: Medan, Indonesia

Organizers: Forum Kerjasama Pendidikan Tinggi

Conference Editors: Robbi Rahim, Nasib Marbun, Ronal Watrianthos, Mesran, Dodi Siregar, Tengku Mohd Diansyah

Published: 26 May 2023

ISSN: 2518-668X

[Measuring the Level of Readiness for Integrated Business Startup System Adoption for SMEs Using the E-Readiness Model Approach](#)

[INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON ADVANCE & SCIENTIFIC INNOVATION \(ICASI\)](#)

Pages 1–15 • [Febrianty](#), [Hendra Hadiwijaya](#), [Guntoro Barovih](#), [Adelin](#)

[13 DOWNLOADS](#)

[Download fulltext](#)

[Comparison Approaches of the Fuzzy C-Means and Gaussian Mixture Model in Clustering the Welfare of the Indonesian People](#)

[INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON ADVANCE & SCIENTIFIC INNOVATION \(ICASI\)](#)

Pages 16–22 • [Erlin Windia Ambarsari](#), [Nurfidah Dwitiyanti](#), [Noni Selvia](#), [Wahyu Nur Cholifah](#), [Putri Dina](#)

[Mardika](#)

[12 DOWNLOADS](#)

[Download fulltext](#)

[Detection Suspicious Activities on Network Package Traffic](#)

[INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON ADVANCE & SCIENTIFIC INNOVATION \(ICASI\)](#)

Pages 23–33 • [Nunu Kustian, Anggit Ilham Tantowi, Herlinda., Dudi Parulian, Erlin Windia Ambarsari](#)

[9 DOWNLOADS](#)

[Download fulltext](#)

[Risk Assessment Technology on the Application of Admission of New Students in High School](#)

[INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON ADVANCE & SCIENTIFIC INNOVATION \(ICASI\)](#)

Pages 34–44 • [Jaka Purnama, Yayuk Ike Melani](#)

[7 DOWNLOADS](#)

[Download fulltext](#)

[Implementation Evaluation of Information Technology in the New Normal Era Using Cobit 2019 Method](#)

[INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON ADVANCE & SCIENTIFIC INNOVATION \(ICASI\)](#)

Pages 45–55 • [Surahmat., Fahmi Ajismanto](#)

[5 DOWNLOADS](#)

[Download fulltext](#)

[K-Means Method for Clustering Average Length of School \(ALS\) in Central Java](#)

[INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON ADVANCE & SCIENTIFIC INNOVATION \(ICASI\)](#)

Pages 56–66 • [Ajeng Tiara Wulandari, Jati Sumarah](#)

[6 DOWNLOADS](#)

[Download fulltext](#)

[Analysis of the K-Means Algorithm for Clustering School Participation Rates in Central Java](#)

[INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON ADVANCE & SCIENTIFIC INNOVATION \(ICASI\)](#)

Pages 67–77 • [Jati Sumarah, Ajeng Tiara Wulandari](#)

[5 DOWNLOADS](#)

[Download fulltext](#)

[Clustering Analysis Using K-Medoids on Poverty Level Problems in Central Java by District/City](#)

[INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON ADVANCE & SCIENTIFIC INNOVATION \(ICASI\)](#)

Pages 78–87 • [Fitriani Dwi Ratna Sari, Sotya Partwi Ediwijojo](#)

[6 DOWNLOADS](#)

[Download fulltext](#)

[An Implementation of Coding and Indexing Application for Outgoing Patients at Puskesmas Bringkoning Madura](#)

INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON ADVANCE & SCIENTIFIC INNOVATION (ICASI)

Pages 88–93 • [Wincoko](#)., [Muhamad Mahmud](#)., [Jin Kurniasari](#)

[5 DOWNLOADS](#)

[Download fulltext](#)

[Online Learning Quality Measurement Application for Higher Education: Development and Analysis Using ISO 9126](#)

INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON ADVANCE & SCIENTIFIC INNOVATION (ICASI)

Pages 94–107 • [Sri Suryanti](#)., [Deni Sutaji](#)., [Toto Nusantara](#)., [Subanji](#).,

[9 DOWNLOADS](#)

[Download fulltext](#)

[Blackwater Communal Septic Tank Domestic Waste Treatment into Clean Water with Multilevel Filter Method, Wetland, Lotus Fish Pond, Continued to Aquaponics IPAL in Terms of TSS and TDSA](#)

INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON ADVANCE & SCIENTIFIC INNOVATION (ICASI)

Pages 108–118 • [Lies K. Wulandari](#)., [Vega Aditama](#)., [Hery Setyobudiarso](#)

[8 DOWNLOADS](#)

[Download fulltext](#)

[Signs in Urban Anti-sexualism Harassment Graffiti: Semiotic Analysis](#)

INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON ADVANCE & SCIENTIFIC INNOVATION (ICASI)

Pages 119–129 • [Nabila Maiyasa](#)., [Mayasari](#)., [Arifuddin](#)., [Misla Geubrina](#)., [Hidayati](#)., [Muhizar Muchtar](#)

[6 DOWNLOADS](#)

[Download fulltext](#)

[Pentahelix Business Collaborations to Increase MSMEs' Post-pandemic Performance](#)

INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON ADVANCE & SCIENTIFIC INNOVATION (ICASI)

Pages 130–145 • [Muhammad Hafidz](#)., [Ade Indah Sari](#)., [Sopi Pentana](#)., [Listiorini](#)., [Teguh Setiawan](#)., [Iman Indrafana KH](#)

[10 DOWNLOADS](#)

[Download fulltext](#)

[The Language Attitudes of Indonesian Language Teachers Toward the Acehese Language](#)

INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON ADVANCE & SCIENTIFIC INNOVATION (ICASI)

Pages 146–155 • [Mhd Pujiono](#)., [Muhammad Kiki Wardana](#)., [Rostina Taib](#)., [Zuindra](#)., [Wan Anayati](#)

[48 DOWNLOADS](#)

[Download fulltext](#)

[Do Reforms in Indonesia Affect Economic Growth? Learn Ten Years from 1999-2008 Period](#)

[INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON ADVANCE & SCIENTIFIC INNOVATION \(ICASI\)](#)

Pages 156–166 • Pasma Sariguna Johnson Kennedy

[7 DOWNLOADS](#)

[Download fulltext](#)

[The Problem of Food Security in the Indonesia Border Area of Nusa Tenggara Timur Province with Timor Leste Country](#)

[INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON ADVANCE & SCIENTIFIC INNOVATION \(ICASI\)](#)

Pages 167–177 • Pasma Sariguna Johnson Kennedy

[9 DOWNLOADS](#)

[Download fulltext](#)

[The Implementation of Merdeka Belajar Kampus Merdeka Through Adaptive Learning Program and Curriculum Development](#)

[INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON ADVANCE & SCIENTIFIC INNOVATION \(ICASI\)](#)

Pages 178–186 • Olga Pattipawaej, Deni Setiawan, Asriwiyanti Desiani, Robby Tallar, Abdul Rahmat .

[11 DOWNLOADS](#)

[Download fulltext](#)

[The Implementation of Pancasila and Civic Education Building A Harmonious Life in Bhinneka Tunggal Ika](#)

[INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON ADVANCE & SCIENTIFIC INNOVATION \(ICASI\)](#)

Pages 187–197 • Miki Tjandra, Seriwati Ginting, Abdul Rahmat .

[4 DOWNLOADS](#)

[Download fulltext](#)

[The Use of Alphabet Flash Cards Learning Media in the Process of Learning While Playing at the Community Learning Activity Center in North Sulawesi Province](#)

[INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON ADVANCE & SCIENTIFIC INNOVATION \(ICASI\)](#)

Pages 187–197 • Abdul Rahmat ., Mozes Markus Wullur, Marien Pinontoan, Ronny G. Dumanaw

[5 DOWNLOADS](#)

[Download fulltext](#)

[Perceptions of Youth Religious Understanding of Natural Disaster Resistance and](#)

Do Reforms in Indonesia Affect Economic Growth? Learn Ten Years from 1999-2008 Period

[Download fulltext](#)[HTML](#)[How to Cite](#)<https://doi.org/10.18502/kss.v8i9.13328>

STATISTICS

39 Abstract Views

30 PDF Views

0 HTML Views

AUTHORS

[Posma Sariguna Johnson Kennedy](#)

PUBLISHED DATE

May 26, 2023

[KnE Social Sciences / International Conference on Advance & Scientific Innovation \(ICASI\)](#)
/ Pages 156–166

Abstract

Indonesia had observed a drastic drop in its economic growth in 1998 after the monetary crisis in mid-1997 but grew back slowly starting in 1999. Since then, the Indonesian economy has been moving slowly with low growth. From this phenomenon, this study aims to see which sectors positively influence economic growth. This research uses a quantitative method in which a model of economic growth is built as a linear regression model in a time series. The economic growth model is formed based on the neoclassical production function approach from the supply side. The economic reforms in Indonesia significantly impacted economic growth, although they had a negative effect in the first ten years. Investment growth and defense spending have a positive influence on national economic growth. Meanwhile, non-defense budget growth did not affect economic growth during the ten years before and after the reform. From these results, the best way to increase Indonesia's economic growth is to create an atmosphere that encourages both foreign and domestic investors who want to invest in Indonesia with conditions that are conducive for investors.

Keywords: economic growth, economic reforms, investment, government budget, defense expenditure

References

- [1] Robinson T. Analisis Pertumbuhan Ekonomi Indonesia Sejak Era Reformasi (1998), Pidato Pengukuhan Jabatan Guru Besar Tetap dalam Bidang Operation Research pada Fakultas Ekonomi, Rapat Terbuka Universitas Sumatera Utara, 3 Februari 2007.
- [2] Jawa Pos.Pertama, Sejak 1998 Pertumbuhan Ekonomi Indonesia Minus, Jawa- Pos.com, 6 August 2020.
- [3] Poku K, Opoku E, Ennin PA. The influence of government expenditure on economic growth in Ghana: An Ardl approach. Cogent Econ Finance. 2022;10(1):2160036.
- [4] Keynes JM. The general theory of employment, interest and money. Harcourt, Brace; 1936.

- [5] Jibir A, Aluthge C, Ercolano S. Modelling the determinants of government expenditure in Nigeria. *Cogent Econ Finance*. 2019a;7(1):1–32.
- [6] Aluthge C, Jibir A, Abdu M. Impact of government expenditure on economic growth in Nigeria, 1970-2019. *CBN J Appl Stat*. 2021;12(1):139–174.
- [7] West R, Thompson S. Impact of military expenditures on economic development, interim synthesis report. Fletcher School of Law and Diplomacy. Medford: Tufts University; 1990.
- [8] Kennedy PS. The relations of defense budget with investment: Evidence from Indonesia. *Int J Econ Financial Issues*. 2016 May;6 S6:115–119.
- [9] Balaj D, Lani L. The impact of public expenditure on economic growth of Kosovo. *Acta Universitatis Danubius. Economica*. 2017;13(5): Available from: <https://journals.univdanubius.ro/index.php/oeconomica/article/view/4443/4254>
- [10] Kunwar KB. Impact of government expenditure in economic growth of Nepal: ARDL approach. *Contemp Res: An Interdiscip Acad J*. 2019;3(1):33–40.
- [11] Nyarko-Asomani A, Bhasin VK, Aglobitse PB. Government capital expenditure, recurrent expenditure and economic growth in Ghana. *Ghanaian J Econ*. 2019;7(1):44–70. Available from: <https://hdl.handle.net/10520/EJC-1b2f6ea97f>
- [12] Aydin C, Esen Ö. Does too much government spending depress the economic development of transition economies? Evidences from dynamic panel threshold analysis. *Appl Econ*. 2019;51(15):1666–1678.
- [13] Okoye LU, Omankhanlen AE, Okoh JI, Urhie E, Ahmed A. Government expenditure and economic growth: The case of Nigeria. *Proceedings of SOCIOINT*; 2019. pp.1184–1194.
- [14] Barlas AW. The impact of government expenditure on economic growth in Afghanistan. *J Econ Bus*. 2020;3(2). <https://doi.org/10.31014/aior.1992.03.02.234>
- [15] Ogar A, Eyo IE, Arikpo OF. Public expenditure and economic growth in Nigeria: VAR approach. *Eur J Econ Financial Res*. 2019;3(3):36–60.
- [16] Maingi JN. The impact of government expenditure on economic growth in Kenya: 1963-2008. *Adv Econ Bus*. 2010;5(12):635–662.
- [17] Wang Z, Asghar MM, Zaidi SA, Wang B. Dynamic linkages among CO2 emissions, health expenditures, and economic growth: Empirical evidence from Pakistan. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int*. 2019 May;26(15):15285–15299. Available from: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11356-019-04876-x>
- [18] Odhiambo NM, Nyasha S. Public expenditure and economic growth in Kenya: A multivariate dynamic causal linkage. 2018;24895.
- [19] Havi ED, Enu P, Osei-Gyimah F, Attah-Obeng P, Opoku CD. Macroeconomic determinants of economic growth in Ghana: Cointegration approach. *Eur Sci J*. 2013;9(19).
- [20] Bose N, Haque ME, Osborn DR. Public expenditure and economic growth: A

disaggregated analysis for developing countries. *Manch Sch.* 2007;75(5):533–556.

[21] Nketiah-Amponsah E. Public spending and economic growth: Evidence from Ghana (1970–2004). *Dev South Afr.* 2009;26(3):477–497.

[22] Afonso A, Ibraimo Y. The macroeconomic effects of public debt: An empirical analysis of Mozambique. *Appl Econ.* 2020;52(2):212–226.

[23] Barro RJ. Government spending in a simple model of endogenous growth. *J Polit Econ.* 1990;98(5, Part 2):S103–S125.

[24] Feder G. On exports and economic growth. *J Dev Econ.* 1983;12(1-2):59–73.

[25] Denison EF. Trends in American economic growth, 1929-1982. Washington (DC): Brookings Institution; 1985.

[26] Ram R. Government size and economic growth: A new framework and some evidence from cross-section and time-series data. *Am Econ Rev.* 1986;76(1):191–203.

[27] Kennedy PS, Tobing SJ, Lumbantoruan R, Tampubolon E, Heatubun AB. Mathematical model: The long-term effects of defense expenditure on economic growth and the criticism. *IOP Conf Series: J Phys: Conf Series.* 2018;1114:012118. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1114/1/012118>

[28] Kennedy PSJ. Applied mathematics model: The effect of national defense on economic growth seen from the supply side. *J Elect Comp Net Appl Math.* 2022;02(03).

[29] Mintz A, Huang C. Defence expenditures, economic growth and the peace dividend. *Am Polit Sci Rev.* 1990;84(4):1283–1293.

[30] Mintz A, Huang C. Guns versus butter: The Indirect Link. *Am J Pol Sci.* 1991;35(3):738– 757.





[31] Cornes R, Sandler T. The theory of externalities, public goods, and club goods. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1986.

[32] WDI. World Development Indicator. <https://databank.worldbank.org/source/worlddevelopment-indicators>

Contact

Email publishing@knowledgee.com
Phone +971 4 4227043
Address Knowledge E
Office 4401-04
Jumeirah Bay X2 Tower
Jumeirah Lakes Towers (JLT)
P.O. Box 488239
Dubai, UAE

Follow us

 [@KnePublishing](https://twitter.com/KnePublishing)
 facebook.com/KnowledgeEGlobal
 [Youtube](https://www.youtube.com/KnowledgeEGlobal)
 [KnowledgeEGlobal](https://www.linkedin.com/company/KnowledgeEGlobal)

[Find out more about Knowledge E from our corporate page](#)



Research Article

Do Reforms in Indonesia Affect Economic Growth? Learn Ten Years from 1999-2008 Period

Posma Sariguna Johnson Kennedy

Faculty of Economics and Business, Indonesian Christian University, Jakarta, Indonesia

ORCID

Posma Sariguna Johnson Kennedy: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8146-3773>

Abstract.

Indonesia had observed a drastic drop in its economic growth in 1998 after the monetary crisis in mid-1997 but grew back slowly starting in 1999. Since then, the Indonesian economy has been moving slowly with low growth. From this phenomenon, this study aims to see which sectors positively influence economic growth. This research uses a quantitative method in which a model of economic growth is built as a linear regression model in a time series. The economic growth model is formed based on the neoclassical production function approach from the supply side. The economic reforms in Indonesia significantly impacted economic growth, although they had a negative effect in the first ten years. Investment growth and defense spending have a positive influence on national economic growth. Meanwhile, non-defense budget growth did not affect economic growth during the ten years before and after the reform. From these results, the best way to increase Indonesia's economic growth is to create an atmosphere that encourages both foreign and domestic investors who want to invest in Indonesia with conditions that are conducive for investors.

Keywords: economic growth, economic reforms, investment, government budget, defense expenditure

Corresponding Author: Posma Sariguna Johnson Kennedy; email: posmahutasoit@gmail.com

Published 26 May 2023

Publishing services provided by Knowledge E

© Posma Sariguna Johnson Kennedy. This article is distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use and redistribution provided that the original author and source are credited.

Selection and Peer-review under the responsibility of the ICASI Conference Committee.

1. Introduction

As a result of the mid-1997 monetary crisis, Indonesia's economic growth dropped drastically in 1998 but grew back slowly starting in 1999. Since then, the Indonesian economy has been moving slowly with low growth. Since 10 (ten) years after the reform era, Indonesia's economic growth has averaged below 6%. Under normal conditions, growth ranges from 4–5%, for example, global economic conditions that grow well, good macroeconomic conditions, regular seasons, good harvests, no fatal natural disasters, conducive security, and other factors. Under good conditions, it may occasionally reach 6%, but conversely, under unfavorable conditions, growth can drop to 3% if several of the abovementioned factors turn out to be unfavorable[1].

OPEN ACCESS

TABLE 1: Indonesia's Economic Growth Period 1998-2008 (in percent year on year).

| 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 |
|--------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| -13.13 | 0.79 | 4.92 | 3.64 | 4.5 | 4.78 | 5.03 | 5.69 | 5.5 | 6.35 | 6.01 |

Source: Central Bureau of Statistics, 2020[2]

From this explanation, curiosity arises as to why the Indonesian economy is moving slowly, especially after the economic reforms in Indonesia in 1998. For this reason, this study aims to see which sectors influence economic growth. Did all of these sectors positively influence Indonesia's economic growth during the ten-year period after Indonesia carried out economic reforms?

2. Literature Review

2.1. Indonesia's Economic Growth After Reform[1]

After the 1997 crisis, Indonesia's economic growth rate fell (-13.13%) in 1998, grew slightly (0.79%) in 1999, and got better after that. The economic growth rate from 1999 to 2005 reached an average of 4.15%. The economy grew from only 0.62%, gradually improving to 4% between 2000 and 2003. Moreover, starting in 2004 it entered the range of 5%. Only in 2007 and 2008 did Indonesia grow above 6%. However, if examined more deeply, there are problems in Indonesia's economic growth. The economic sector can be grouped into two categories: the real sector and the non-real sector. Between 1999 and 2005, the real sector grew 3.33% while the non-real sector grew 5.1%. This growth needs to be improved because the non-real sector should grow to serve the growing real sector. Between 1999 and 2005, the agricultural sector grew by 3.11%, mining - by 0.8%, and the industrial sector by 5.12%. What is more worrying is that from 2002 to 2005, the growth rate of the real sector tended to slow down. It means that the overall economic growth since 2002 is due to the non-real sector growth, which has accelerated 2 (two) times that of the real sector.[1]

4-5% growth will not negatively impact if the dominant sector for growth is the real sector. Growth in the real sector will be able to absorb an additional new labor force and slightly reduce the unemployment *buffer stock*. However, the non-real sector is the dominant growth sector. In that case, the ability to absorb labor is very low, so the open unemployment rate can increase, which impacts increasing the number of groups of poor people. The absorption capacity of the non-real sector for labor is low because they

often cancel each other out. It can be seen from the continued decline in job creation for every 1% of economic growth. According to Dradjad Wibowo's calculations, from August 2002–August 2003, 1% growth created 250,000 jobs. The following year it dropped to 180,000 jobs; in February 2005 – 2006, the ratio dropped to 40,000. Suppose the non-real sector is not balanced with real sector growth. In that case, many business units will be subsistence, meaning they can survive but cannot accumulate capital to expand their business. Without business, expansion means no economic growth and no additional new jobs. Unqualified growth can make growth stagnate. Indonesia should not be trapped in a low-growth trap because it must implement policies to prevent or get out of it.[1]

2.2. Effect of Government Spending on Economic Growth[3]

According to Keynesian theory[4], "An increase in government spending causes economic growth through expansionary fiscal policy. When government spending increases, production also increases, thus causing an increase in aggregate demand, which in turn causes an increase in the gross domestic product (GDP). Theoretically, Keynesians argue that government intervention can smooth fluctuations in economic growth. The government influences the economy by promoting social welfare by implementing appropriate economic, political, social, and legal programs[5]. Therefore, public spending can be used as an exogenous fiscal policy tool to generate growth through various effects of aggregate demand, especially during recessions"[6]. Based on this argument, this study will build a model in which economic growth is a function of government spending while adding or controlling other macroeconomic variables, especially investment.

Investment is crucial in economic growth, where production depends on available capital capacity. In macro theory, investment flows are expenditures that add to the physical capital stock. In West and Thompson[7], Harrod Domar explains that investment has two characteristics. On the one hand, investment contributes to aggregate demand, helping to achieve full employment and capacity in the short term. Meanwhile, on the other hand, long-term investment contributes to economic output from the ability to produce.[8]

In empirical research, the effect of government spending on economic growth gives different results. For example, the research by Balaj & Lani[9], Kunwar[10], and Nyarko-Asonmani et al.[11] gave the result that government spending positively affects economic growth. Other studies have a negative effect[12,13,14], while other studies have no effect[15]. These varied findings are made possible by the different channels utilized in the government budget. Because of this, it is necessary to find the most appropriate channel so that government spending can positively affect economic growth.

In the debate, government spending can also be seen as an obstacle to development based on its[16]. “The government competes with private businesses in financing public spending through loans, causing a crowding-out effect. It will lead to a significant economic investment reduction[12]. Proponents of the neoclassical public expenditure theory emphasize that the government should not be too involved in the economy in carrying out its role in the economy[17]. In many literature studies, it is agreed that the effect of public sector spending on economic growth is indirect. However, it can stimulate economic growth[18].

The nature and influence of government spending on economic growth depend on its form or channel. Government spending on investment and productive activities in state-owned production positively contributes to economic growth. In contrast, government spending on consumption is expected to have a negative impact on growth[19]. According to Bose et al.[20], public sector spending can lead to economic growth indirectly by increasing the marginal productivity of government and private. For example, government spending on research and development can facilitate increased production levels. Increased government spending on security can facilitate lower production costs because it reduces the need to protect physical assets and employees, thereby attracting more private investment in physical asset investment and increasing worker productivity[21].

The country’s economy is negatively affected when the size of the government is so large, that it is necessary to finance government spending by increasing taxes, borrowing, and printing more money to finance the economy[22]. In addition, the size of the government can provide grounds for lobbying, corruption, and other rent-seeking activities. These activities can cause serious macroeconomic challenges, such as a decrease in the standard and quality of public infrastructure services, such as justice, health, education, and defense, unattractive foreign investment, and lead to unequal

distribution of income[17].” Despite this uncertainty, there is a well-founded theory that government spending can positively influence economic growth[4,23].[3]

3. Methods and Models

This research uses a quantitative method in which a model of economic growth is built as a linear regression model in a time series. The economic growth model is formed based on the supply-side neoclassical production function approach developed by Feder[24] and Denison[25]. The economy is divided into sectors, where each sector will provide externalities that affect other sectors. Adapting this, Ram[26] developed a two-sector model consisting of the government and the private sector.[27,28] In its development, Mintz & Huang[29,30] divided the economy into several sectors and argued that, “ The effects of externalities from the military sector and non-military sectors were different. Therefore, externality effects from the military sector, the non-military public sector, and the *private sector* are included in the production function separately.” Review the effects of these externalities because their influence is not reflected in market prices [31]. Based on this, a model was built that can see the influence of these various sectors on economic growth, as follows.

Production Function. Formally, the model of the production function of the three economic sectors is given by the following aggregate production function: $M = F(L_m, K_m)$; $N = G(L_n, K_n)$; $P = H(L_p, K_p, M, N)$ (1)

Marginal Productivity (δ_i). The marginal productivity of *labor* and *capital* can be written based on the *P (private)* sector as follows: $F_l/H_l = F_k/H_k = 1+\delta_m$; $G_l/H_l = G_k/H_k = 1+\delta_n$ (2)

Economic Input. Total input is the sum of labor (*labor*) and capital (*capital*):

$$L = L_m + L_n + L_p; K = K_m + K_n + K_p(3)$$

Total output as an objective function. Total output (*total economic output*), GDP (*Y*), is the sum of *the outputs* of all sectors, namely: $Y = M + N + P$ (4)

The economy grows over time; from equation (1), it can be differentiated with time *t* from each equation, namely:

$$M = F(L_m, K_m) \text{ then: } dM = F_l \cdot dL_m + F_k \cdot dK_m$$

$$N = G(L_n, K_n) \text{ then: } dN = G_l \cdot dL_n + G_k \cdot dK_n$$

$$P = H(L_p, K_p, M, N) \text{ So: } dP = H_l \cdot dL_p + H_k \cdot dK_p + H_m \cdot dM + H_n \cdot dN$$

(5)

The total differential sum of all outputs gives the result:

$$dY = F_l dL_m + F_k dK_m + G_l dL_n + G_k dK_n + H_l dL_p + H_k dK_p + H_m dM + H_n dN \quad (6)$$

Collecting the same variables gives:

$$\begin{aligned} dY &= ((1 + \delta_m)H_l dL_m + (1 + \delta_n)H_l dL_n + H_l dL_p) + ((1 + \delta_m) \\ &H_k dK_m + (1 + \delta_n)H_k dK_n + H_k dK_p) + (H_m dM + H_n dN) \\ \Leftrightarrow dY &= (H_l(dL_m + dL_n + dL_p) + (\delta_m H_l dL_m + \delta_n H_l dL_n)(H_k(dK_m + dK_n + dK_p) \\ &+ (\delta_m H_k dK_m + \delta_n H_k dK_n) + (H_m dM + H_n dN) \\ \Leftrightarrow dY &= H_l dL + (\delta_m H_l dL_m + \delta_n H_l dL_n) + H_k dK + (\delta_m H_k dK_m + \delta_n H_k dK_n) + (H_m dM + H_n dN) \\ \Leftrightarrow dY &= (H_l dL + H_k dK) + (H_m dM + H_n dN) + \delta_m H_l dL_m + \delta_n H_l dL_n + \delta_m H_k dK_m + \delta_n H_k dK_n \\ \Leftrightarrow dY &= (H_l dL + H_k dK) + (H_m dM + H_n dN) + \delta_m \left(\frac{F_l}{1 + \delta_m} dL_m \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{F_k}{1 + \delta_m} dK_m \right) + \delta_n \left(\frac{G_l}{1 + \delta_n} dL_n + \frac{G_k}{1 + \delta_n} dK_n \right) \\ \Leftrightarrow dY &= (H_l dL + H_k dK) + (H_m dM + H_n dN) + \frac{\delta_m}{1 + \delta_m} dM + \frac{\delta_n}{1 + \delta_n} dN \\ \Leftrightarrow dY &= (H_l dL + H_k dK) + \left(\frac{\delta_m}{1 + \delta_m} + H_m \right) dM + \left(\frac{\delta_n}{1 + \delta_n} + H_n \right) dN \quad (7) \end{aligned}$$

Both sides are divided by the total output or Y, so it becomes:

$$\frac{dY}{Y} = H_l \cdot \frac{dL}{Y} + H_k \cdot \frac{dK}{Y} + \left(\frac{\delta_m}{1 + \delta_m} + H_m \right) \cdot \frac{dM}{Y} + \left(\frac{\delta_n}{1 + \delta_n} + H_n \right) \cdot \frac{dN}{Y} \quad (8)$$

By performing mathematical manipulations it gives:

$$\frac{dY}{Y} = H_l \cdot \frac{dL}{L} \frac{L}{Y} + H_k \cdot \frac{dK}{K} \frac{K}{Y} + \left(\frac{\delta_m}{1 + \delta_m} + H_m \right) \cdot \frac{dM}{M} \frac{M}{Y} + \left(\frac{\delta_n}{1 + \delta_n} + H_n \right) \cdot \frac{dN}{N} \frac{N}{Y} \quad (9)$$

Thus the final model becomes:

$$\frac{dY}{Y} = \psi_l \cdot \frac{dL}{L} + \psi_k \cdot \frac{dK}{K} + \left(\frac{\delta_m}{1 + \delta_m} \cdot \frac{M}{Y} + \psi_m \cdot \right) \cdot \frac{dM}{M} + \left(\frac{\delta_n}{1 + \delta_n} \cdot \frac{N}{Y} + \psi_n \cdot \right) \cdot \frac{dN}{N} \quad (10)$$

The hypothesis to be tested is:

Ho₁: There is no effect of investment growth on national economic growth

Ho₂: There is no effect of the growth of the defense budget on national economic growth

Ho₃: There is no influence from the growth of the non-defense budget on national economic growth

Ho₄: There is no influence of Indonesian reforms on national economic growth

Model (10) above is simplified into a linear regression model to test the hypothesis:

$$GROWTH=C(1)+ C(2).GNIV+C(3).GMIL+(C4).GNMIL+(C5).Dummy+e$$

(11)

Where: *GROWTH*= the economic growth; *GNIV*= the investment growth; *GMIL*= the government's defense budget growth; *GNMIL*= the non-defense government budget growth.

4. Results and Discussion

Data

The data is secondary data for 20 years, namely 10 (years) before the reformation in Indonesia and 10 (ten) years after the reformation. The data is taken from the *World Development Indicator*[32].

Note: Variables of labor or population are omitted in the model because their growth does not vary too much and to increase the degree of freedom in carrying out data regression. The dummy in the model shows economic reform in 1998 and the separation of the military and police departments in 1999. In 1998 and before that, the dummy value was 0 (zero), while in 1999 and after, the dummy value was 1 (one).

Regression results are presented in the following table:

From the table above, the regression results show that the reforms that took place in Indonesia significantly influenced economic growth, even though in the first ten years, they had a negative effect. Investment growth has a positive influence on national economic growth. The growth in military spending has had a significant negative effect on economic growth. In contrast, the growth in the non-defense budget did not affect economic growth during the 10 (ten) years before and after the reform.

TABLE 2: Data from Research Variables.

| No | Year | GROWTH | GINV | GMIL | GNMIL | YMIL | YNMIL | Dummy |
|-----|------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|-------|
| 1. | 1989 | 0.086955 | 0.116061 | 0.037838 | 0.112703 | 0.018076 | 0.077183 | 0 |
| 2. | 1990 | 0.086192 | 0.103303 | 0.135572 | 0.025341 | 0.018991 | 0.072627 | 0 |
| 3. | 1991 | 0.085515 | 0.091403 | 0.050202 | 0.051580 | 0.018332 | 0.070204 | 0 |
| 4. | 1992 | 0.069717 | 0.097548 | 0.106964 | 0.041856 | 0.019028 | 0.068275 | 0 |
| 5. | 1993 | 0.070030 | -0.002198 | 0.023484 | -0.004294 | 0.018163 | 0.063384 | 0 |
| 6. | 1994 | 0.072693 | 0.154239 | 0.146902 | -0.015813 | 0.019562 | 0.058015 | 0 |
| 7. | 1995 | 0.080624 | 0.122755 | 0.101412 | -0.018215 | 0.019973 | 0.052555 | 0 |
| 8. | 1996 | 0.073648 | 0.048201 | 0.119176 | -0.011015 | 0.020903 | 0.048289 | 0 |
| 9. | 1997 | 0.045928 | 0.061217 | -0.224455 | 0.084255 | 0.015951 | 0.050176 | 0 |
| 10. | 1998 | -0.140720 | -0.494906 | -1.019698 | 0.000651 | 0.006623 | 0.057795 | 0 |
| 11. | 1999 | 0.007880 | -0.264450 | 0.233553 | -0.022658 | 0.008300 | 0.056057 | 1 |
| 12. | 2000 | 0.048028 | 0.161018 | 0.237802 | 0.034181 | 0.010034 | 0.055286 | 1 |
| 13. | 2001 | 0.035787 | 0.082166 | -0.034380 | 0.091210 | 0.009354 | 0.058436 | 1 |
| 14. | 2002 | 0.044012 | -0.045626 | 0.258501 | 0.098500 | 0.011592 | 0.061709 | 1 |
| 15. | 2003 | 0.046696 | 0.102911 | 0.434699 | 0.016761 | 0.017087 | 0.059889 | 1 |
| 16. | 2004 | 0.049084 | 0.066757 | 0.115328 | 0.016279 | 0.018257 | 0.057956 | 1 |
| 17. | 2005 | 0.055364 | 0.116726 | -0.005535 | 0.085254 | 0.017178 | 0.059715 | 1 |
| 18. | 2006 | 0.053550 | 0.013346 | 0.243679 | 0.043416 | 0.020776 | 0.059113 | 1 |
| 19. | 2007 | 0.061519 | 0.019735 | 0.146921 | -0.003003 | 0.022628 | 0.055419 | 1 |
| 20. | 2008 | 0.058331 | 0.118880 | -0.052026 | 0.154917 | 0.020264 | 0.061039 | 1 |

Source: Results of Data Processing.

TABLE 3: Linear Regression Results from Data.

| | coefficient | std. Error | t-Statistics | Prob. |
|------|-------------|------------|--------------|----------|
| C(1) | 0.041635 | 0.024066 | 1.729995 | 0.1092* |
| C(2) | 0.090345 | 0.048434 | 1.865299 | 0.0868* |
| C(3) | 0.058791 | 0.025931 | 2.267235 | 0.0427** |
| C(4) | -0.115514 | 0.302637 | -0.381692 | 0.7094 |
| C(5) | -0.029250 | 0.010297 | -2.840499 | 0.0149** |

R-squared=0.942195 ; Adjusted R-squared=0.908475 ; Durbin-Watson stat=1.727902
 Source; Data Processing Results.

5. Conclusions

The regression results show that investment and military spending positively influence economic growth. To these results, the best way to increase Indonesia's economic growth is to create an atmosphere that encourages foreign and domestic investors to want to invest in Indonesia. There needs to be more than creating conducive conditions for investors with the availability of business fields but also good security conditions, legal certainty, and tolerance conditions for newcomers. For this reason, it is necessary

to increase the military budget, which is still too small compared to other countries in Indonesia's strategic environment. The government budget also needs to encourage economic growth in the real sector, not just the non-real sector and the routine annual budget. Further research needs to be carried out by adding variables and data, especially to form a model that can further explore the government budget so that it influences economic growth.

References

- [1] Robinson T. *Analisis Pertumbuhan Ekonomi Indonesia Sejak Era Reformasi (1998)*, Pidato Pengukuhan Jabatan Guru Besar Tetap dalam Bidang Operation Research pada Fakultas Ekonomi, Rapat Terbuka Universitas Sumatera Utara, 3 Februari 2007.
- [2] Jawa Pos.Pertama, Sejak 1998 Pertumbuhan Ekonomi Indonesia Minus, Jawa-Pos.com, 6 August 2020.
- [3] Poku K, Opoku E, Ennin PA. The influence of government expenditure on economic growth in Ghana: An Ardl approach. *Cogent Econ Finance*. 2022;10(1):2160036.
- [4] Keynes JM. *The general theory of employment, interest and money*. Harcourt, Brace; 1936.
- [5] Jibir A, Aluthge C, Ercolano S. Modelling the determinants of government expenditure in Nigeria. *Cogent Econ Finance*. 2019a;7(1):1–32.
- [6] Aluthge C, Jibir A, Abdu M. Impact of government expenditure on economic growth in Nigeria, 1970-2019. *CBN J Appl Stat*. 2021;12(1):139–174.
- [7] West R, Thompson S. *Impact of military expenditures on economic development, interim synthesis report*. Fletcher School of Law and Diplomacy. Medford: Tufts University; 1990.
- [8] Kennedy PS. The relations of defense budget with investment: Evidence from Indonesia. *Int J Econ Financial Issues*. 2016 May;6 S6:115–119.
- [9] Balaj D, Lani L. The impact of public expenditure on economic growth of Kosovo. *Acta Universitatis Danubius. Economica*. 2017;13(5): Available from: <https://journals.univ-danubius.ro/index.php/oeconomica/article/view/4443/4254>
- [10] Kunwar KB. Impact of government expenditure in economic growth of Nepal: ARDL approach. *Contemp Res: An Interdiscip Acad J*. 2019;3(1):33–40.

- [11] Nyarko-Asomani A, Bhasin VK, Aglobitse PB. Government capital expenditure, recurrent expenditure and economic growth in Ghana. *Ghanaian J Econ*. 2019;7(1):44–70. Available from: <https://hdl.handle.net/10520/EJC-1b2f6ea97f>
- [12] Aydin C, Esen Ö. Does too much government spending depress the economic development of transition economies? Evidences from dynamic panel threshold analysis. *Appl Econ*. 2019;51(15):1666–1678.
- [13] Okoye LU, Omankhanlen AE, Okoh JI, Urhie E, Ahmed A. Government expenditure and economic growth: The case of Nigeria. *Proceedings of SOCIOINT*; 2019. pp.1184–1194.
- [14] Barlas AW. The impact of government expenditure on economic growth in Afghanistan. *J Econ Bus*. 2020;3(2). <https://doi.org/10.31014/aior.1992.03.02.234>
- [15] Ogar A, Eyo IE, Arikpo OF. Public expenditure and economic growth in Nigeria: VAR approach. *Eur J Econ Financial Res*. 2019;3(3):36–60.
- [16] Maingi JN. The impact of government expenditure on economic growth in Kenya: 1963-2008. *Adv Econ Bus*. 2010;5(12):635–662.
- [17] Wang Z, Asghar MM, Zaidi SA, Wang B. Dynamic linkages among CO₂ emissions, health expenditures, and economic growth: Empirical evidence from Pakistan. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int*. 2019 May;26(15):15285–15299. Available from: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11356-019-04876-x>
- [18] Odhiambo NM, Nyasha S. Public expenditure and economic growth in Kenya: A multivariate dynamic causal linkage. 2018;24895.
- [19] Havi ED, Enu P, Osei-Gyimah F, Attah-Obeng P, Opoku CD. Macroeconomic determinants of economic growth in Ghana: Cointegration approach. *Eur Sci J*. 2013;9(19).
- [20] Bose N, Haque ME, Osborn DR. Public expenditure and economic growth: A disaggregated analysis for developing countries. *Manch Sch*. 2007;75(5):533–556.
- [21] Nketiah-Amponsah E. Public spending and economic growth: Evidence from Ghana (1970–2004). *Dev South Afr*. 2009;26(3):477–497.
- [22] Afonso A, Ibraimo Y. The macroeconomic effects of public debt: An empirical analysis of Mozambique. *Appl Econ*. 2020;52(2):212–226.
- [23] Barro RJ. Government spending in a simple model of endogenous growth. *J Polit Econ*. 1990;98(5, Part 2):S103–S125.
- [24] Feder G. On exports and economic growth. *J Dev Econ*. 1983;12(1-2):59–73.

- [25] Denison EF. *Trends in American economic growth, 1929-1982*. Washington (DC): Brookings Institution; 1985.
- [26] Ram R. Government size and economic growth: A new framework and some evidence from cross-section and time-series data. *Am Econ Rev*. 1986;76(1):191–203.
- [27] Kennedy PS, Tobing SJ, Lumbantoruan R, Tampubolon E, Heatubun AB. Mathematical model: The long-term effects of defense expenditure on economic growth and the criticism. *IOP Conf Series: J Phys: Conf Series*. 2018;1114:012118. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1114/1/012118>
- [28] Kennedy PSJ. Applied mathematics model: The effect of national defense on economic growth seen from the supply side. *J Elect Comp Net Appl Math*. 2022;02(03).
- [29] Mintz A, Huang C. Defence expenditures, economic growth and the peace dividend. *Am Polit Sci Rev*. 1990;84(4):1283–1293.
- [30] Mintz A, Huang C. Guns versus butter: The Indirect Link. *Am J Pol Sci*. 1991;35(3):738–757.
- [31] Cornes R, Sandler T. *The theory of externalities, public goods, and club goods*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1986.
- [32] WDI. *World Development Indicator*. <https://databank.worldbank.org/source/world-development-indicators>