

International Scientific Research Publishing Group

H No.20 Balaganj, District: Sylhet, Pin Code: 3128 Bangladesh **Sub Branch:** IARCON International LLP Shop No. 32 Phultoli Bazar, Lanka, Assam 782446, India Website: <u>https://isrpgroup.org/</u> E-mail: <u>isrpublisher@gmail.com</u>

ACCEPTANCE LETTER

Dear

Aswin Griksa Fitranto, Posma Sariguna Johnson Kennedy & Sri Pare Eni

Manuscript Number: SRJECS-62-2022

Manuscript Title: History of Hospital Design with the Lean Thinking

Dear

Sir/Madam

On behalf of ISRP, I Nasim Ahmed (**Managing Director of ISRP group**) take pride and pleasure to inform you that our Reviewer has reviewed your article and recommended your Article for publication in our Upcoming issue (**SRJECS: Volume-2: Issue-5; Sep-Oct, 2022**).

Journal:

Scientific Research Journal of Engineering and Computer Sciences ISSN Print : 2788-9394 | ISSN Online : 2788-9408 Frequency : Bi-Monthly Language : English Origin : Kenya Website : https://isrpgroup.org/srjecs/

Indexing:

Google Scholar, Index Copernicus, Research Bible, World Cat, Eurasian Scientific Journal Index (ESJI) Citefactor, SHERPA/RoMEO, Scientific Indexing Services (SIS), Road- Directory of Open Access Scholarly Resources, Directory of Research Journals Indexing (DRJI) and others in Progress



Nasim ahmed

Nasim Ahmed Managing Director

REVIEW REPORT

Journal Name: Scientific Research Journal of Engineering and Computer Sciences

Manuscript No: SRJECS-62-2022

Article Title: History of Hospital Design with the Lean Thinking

SECTION	Review Order	Correct /Wrong	Modification/ Comment
PREPARATION	Sequence (Abstract, Keywords, Introduction, Methodology, Result, Discussion, Conclusion, Acknowledgement, Reference)	Yes	Everything is Correct
	Reference style (APA)	No	Moderate
	Grammar, Sentence Pattern, Punctuation etc.	Yes	Moderate
	Reference arrangement	No	Moderate
MANUSCRIPT	Is the Title sounds clearly?	Yes	Clear
CONTENT	Is the Topic Important?	Yes	
	Is the Abstract concise and to the point?	Yes	Clarified
	Is the Objective clear?	Yes	Clarified
	Is the methodological design that was employed appropriate?	No	Moderate
	Is Mythology was Appropriate?	No	Moderate
	Is Literature drawn objective?	Yes	Clear
	Is Analysis of Result/Observation/Findings done Correctly? (Tables and Figure appropriate)?	Yes	Very Good
	Has the author effectively linked their findings to literature that they discussed in their literature review?	Yes	Clear
	Are the References in the literature review from academically appropriate sources such as peer-reviewed journals?	Yes	Moderate
	Are the References in the literature review relevant?	Yes	
	Are the References were complete and appropriate?	Yes	
	Is the Conclusion Sound?	Yes	Good
	Is writing clear and concise?	Yes	Clear

Is Paper original	Plagiarism percentage
Yes/ No	6.8 %

Reviewer Commentif any

<u>Review Report Recommended/ disposition of the manuscript</u>		
Category		Criteria
А		Strongly Recommended
В		Acceptable (as written with no need for any revisions)
С		Acceptable (with minor revisions/Editorial correction)
D		Ask for revisions and continue with a second review
Е		Rejection (Do not accept for publication)

Review Report Recommended/ disposition of the manuscript

Final Decision Category:

(Select A/B/C/D/E)

Nasim ahmed

Nasim Ahmed (Director, ISRP GROUP.)