



SARC Publisher

CH No.04 RR Towers, District: Visakhapatnam, Pin Code: 530002 Andhra Pradesh, India.

Website: <https://sarcouncil.com/>

E-mail: Submit.Sarcouncil@gmail.com

ACCEPTANCE LETTER

Dear

Posma Sariguna Johnson Kennedy

Manuscript Number: **SJAHSS-44-2022**

Manuscript Title: Legend of Batu Parsidangan Huta Siallagan: Story-Driven Marketing Strategy, Samosir Tourism, Lake Toba North Sumatra.

Dear

Sir/Madam

On behalf of SARC Publisher, I Mustak Alom (**Managing Director of SARC Publisher**) take pride and pleasure to inform you that our Reviewer has reviewed your article and recommended your Article for publication in our Upcoming issue (**SJAHS: Volume-1: Issue-09-2022**).

Journal:

Sarcouncil Journal of Arts Humanities and Social Sciences

ISSN: 2945-3488

Frequency: Monthly

Language: English

Origin: Philippines

Website: <https://sarcouncil.com/scientific-research-journal-of-arts-humanities-and-social-sciences/>

Indexing:

Google Scholar, Index Copernicus, ISI, GIF, Academia Edu, Research Bible, World Cat, Eurasian Scientific Journal Index (ESJI) Citefactor, SHERPA/RoMEO, Scientific Indexing Services (SIS), Road- Directory of Open Access Scholarly Resources, Directory of Research Journals Indexing (DRJI) and others in Progress



Mustak Alom

Mustak Alom
Managing Director

REVIEW REPORT

Journal Name: Sarcouncil Journal of Arts Humanities and Social Sciences

Manuscript No: SJAHSS-44-2022

Article Title: Legend of Batu Parsidangan Huta Siallagan: Story-Driven Marketing Strategy, Samosir Tourism, Lake Toba North Sumatra.

SECTION	Review Order	Correct /Wrong	Modification/ Comment
PREPARATION	Sequence (Abstract, Keywords, Introduction, Methodology, Result, Discussion, Conclusion, Acknowledgement, Reference)	Yes	
	Reference style (MLA)	No	
	Grammar, Sentence Pattern, Punctuation etc.	Yes	
	Reference arrangement	Yes	
MANUSCRIPT CONTENT	Is the Title sounds clearly?	Yes	Clear
	Is the Topic Important?	Yes	Important
	Is the Abstract concise and to the point?	Yes	
	Is the Objective clear?	Yes	clear
	Is the methodological design that was employed appropriate?	Yes	
	Is Mythology was Appropriate?	Yes	
	Is Literature drawn objective?	Yes	
	Is Analysis of Result/Observation/Findings done Correctly? (Tables and Figure appropriate)?	Yes	Correct
	Has the author effectively linked their findings to literature that they discussed in their literature review?	Yes	
	Are the References in the literature review from academically appropriate sources such as peer-reviewed journals?		
	Are the References in the literature review relevant?	Yes	
	Are the References were complete and appropriate?	No	
	Is the Conclusion Sound?	Yes	
	Is writing clear and concise?	Yes	

Is Paper original	Plagiarism percentage
Yes/No	17%

Reviewer Comment if any

Review Report Recommended/ disposition of the manuscript

Category	Criteria
A	Strongly Recommended
B	Acceptable (as written with no need for any revisions)
C	Acceptable (with minor revisions/Editorial correction)
D	Ask for revisions and continue with a second review
E	Rejection (Do not accept for publication)

Final Decision Category:
(Select A/B/C/D/E)

Mustak Alom

Mustak Alom
(Director, SARC Publisher)