



## SARC Publisher

CH No.04 RR Towers, District: Visakhapatnam, Pin Code: 530002 Andhra Pradesh, India.

Website: <https://sarcouncil.com/>

E-mail: [Submit.Sarcouncil@gmail.com](mailto:Submit.Sarcouncil@gmail.com)

# ACCEPTANCE LETTER

**Dear**

Posma Sariguna Johnson Kennedy

**Manuscript Number:** **SJEBM-38-2022**

**Manuscript Title:** Analysis of the Indo-Pacific Outlook and Impact of the AUKUS Triatral Pact

**Dear**

Sir/Madam

On behalf of SARC Publisher, I Mustak Alom (**Managing Director of SARC Publisher**) take pride and pleasure to inform you that our reviewer has reviewed your article and recommended your Article for publication in our current issue (**SJEBM: Volume-1: Issue-9-2022**).

**Journal:**

**Sarcouncil Journal of Economics and Business Management**

**ISSN: 2945-3593**

**Frequency:** Monthly

**Language:** English

**Origin:** Philippines

**Website:** <https://sarcouncil.com/scientific-research-journal-of-economics-and-business-management/>

**Indexing:**

Google Scholar, Index Copernicus, ISI, GIF, Academia Edu, Research Bible, World Cat, Eurasian Scientific Journal Index (ESJI) Citefactor, SHERPA/RoMEO, Scientific Indexing Services (SIS), Road- Directory of Open Access Scholarly Resources, Directory of Research Journals Indexing (DRJI) and others in Progress



*Mustak Alom*

**Mustak Alom**  
**Managing Director**

## **REVIEW REPORT**

**Journal Name:** Sarcouncil Journal of Economics and Business Management

**Manuscript No:** SJEBM-38-2022

**Article Title:** Analysis of the Indo-Pacific Outlook and Impact of the AUKUS Triateral Pact.

SECTION	Review Order	Correct /Wrong	Modification/ Comment
PREPARATION	Sequence (Abstract, Keywords, Introduction, Methodology, Result, Discussion, Conclusion, Acknowledgement, Reference)	Yes	
	Reference style (MLA)	No	
	Grammar, Sentence Pattern, Punctuation etc.	Yes	
	Reference arrangement	Yes	
MANUSCRIPT CONTENT	Is the Title sounds clearly?	Yes	
	Is the Topic Important?	Yes	
	Is the Abstract concise and to the point?	Yes	
	Is the Objective clear?	Yes	
	Is the methodological design that was employed appropriate?	Yes	
	Is Mythology was Appropriate?		
	Is Literature drawn objective?	Yes	
	Is Analysis of Result/Observation/Findings done Correctly? (Tables and Figure appropriate)?	Yes	
	Has the author effectively linked their findings to literature that they discussed in their literature review?	Yes	
	Are the References in the literature review from academically appropriate sources such as peer-reviewed journals?	Yes	
	Are the References in the literature review relevant?	Yes	
	Are the References were complete and appropriate?	Yes	
	Is the Conclusion Sound?	Yes	
Is writing clear and concise?	Yes		

<b>Is Paper original</b>	<b>Plagiarism percentage</b>
Yes/No	16%

Reviewer Comment if any

Review Report Recommended/ disposition of the manuscript

Category	Criteria
A	Strongly Recommended
B	Acceptable (as written with no need for any revisions)
C	Acceptable (with minor revisions/Editorial correction)
D	Ask for revisions and continue with a second review
E	Rejection (Do not accept for publication)

**Final Decision Category:**

(Select A/B/C/D/E)

*Mustak Alom*

**Mustak Alom**

**(Director, SARC Publisher)**